

# State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority

# Himachal Pradesh

Ministry of Environment Forest & Climate Change, Government of India, at Department of Environment Science & Technology, Paryavaran Bhawan, Near US Club, Shimla-1

Ph: 0177-2656559, 2659608, Fax: 2659609

No. SEIAA/24th Meeting/2016-

Dated:  $\frac{2}{3}$ 

PROCEEDINGS OF 24<sup>th</sup> MEETING OF SEIAA, HIMACHAL PRADESH, HELD IN THE CONFERENCE HALL OF DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT, SCI. & TECH., PARYAVARAN BHAWAN, SHIMLA-1 ON 27<sup>TH</sup> FEBRUARY 2016 AT 12:30 P.M.

At the outset, the Member Secretary (HPSEIAA) extended warm welcome to Sh. S.S. Parmar, IAS (Retd.) as a new Chairperson, HPSEIAA. The Member Secretary apprised the Chairman of the message from Member SEIAA, Prof. Rajnish Shrivastava that due to an urgent meeting he was unable to attend the meeting. The matter was discussed and it was decided that the meeting may be convened in view of the pendency of case applications as proposed. With the permission of Chair, following agenda items were discussed:

#### ITEM NO. 1:

The Authority was appraised that the Performance Audit of "Environment Clearance and Post Clearance Monitoring" for inclusion in the Central Audit Report (Civil)" for the year 2015-16 has been conducted by the office of Principal Accountant General (Audit), Himachal Pradesh. The audit party conducted the audit in the office of SEIAA w.e.f. 2-4 December 2015 and after auditing the relevant records, 6 number of audit memos were issued. The audit memo-wise reply has been furnished and submitted to the office of Dy. A.G. (Eco. Sector) office of Principal Accountant General (Audit), Himachal Pradesh accordingly. (Concerned Audit file placed for kind perusal). The final audit report is awaited. However, it was decided that the actions as may be possible in light of observations of audit memos may be initiated in anticipation of recommendations.

#### ITEM NO. 2:

The matter regarding providing all financial and logistic support including accommodation, transportation and such other facilities in respect of all statutory functions of SEIAA and SEAC Himachal Pradesh was discussed, since the TA/DA/Bills of SEAC members are not cleared and pending since long in DEST due to non availability of budget etc. The sitting fee, travelling allowances/ dearness allowances to Chairman and Members of SEIAA & SEAC are being paid by the Department for which no special budget is allotted.

It was strongly felt that the HPSEIAA should also start levying application processing fee on similar lines of MPSEIAA, Gujarat SEIAA and Tamil Nadu SEIAA etc. These authorities have also prescribed processing fee for applications being received for environment clearances. SEIAA Bhopal has notified Rs. 5,000/- as processing fee/ administrative charges from the projects.

It was decided that a Committee of three members vis. Member Secretary (SEIAA), Secretary (SEAC) and Accounts Officer (DEST) may examine the procedure and may suggest a detailed mechanism and make a proposal on account of same to be placed in the next SEIAA Meeting for final decision immediately.

#### **ITEM NO. 2.1:**

It was observed that under the head CSR cost, some funds as earmarked were required to be spent by the entrepreneur. Moreover the objective and the actual utilization on the site was found to be unverified. As such it was observed that in the next Meeting, an indicative list of schemes under CSR and desirability of placing the same with the local Panchayat be placed by the Department of Environment, Science and Technology before the Authority for discussion and decision.

# ITEM NO. 3:

After deliberating on the notifications issued by MoEF&CC, GoI vide notification No. S.O. 141(E) dated 15/1/2016 and S.O. 190 (E) dated 20/1/2016, the Authority observed that though all the cases of mining of minor minerals having individual lease areas less than or equal to 5 hectares and cluster-

Muda

lease areas less that 25 hectare with no individual lease greater than 5 hectare now come under the purview of DEIAA. Since, constitution of these DEIAA and DEACs are in process, it was decided that till the finalization of constitution of DEIAA & DEACs all such cases pending at SEIAA/SEAC level may continue to be dealt by SEAC as well as this Authority and fresh applications may continue to be accepted as before. The SEIAA should also request the Government to expedite constitution of these Committees forth-with.

# ITEM NO. 4:

Confirmation of the Minutes of the 23<sup>rd</sup> Meeting of SEIAA held on 17<sup>th</sup> August, 2015:

Since no comments were received, the minutes were confirmed.

#### ITEM NO. 5:

Consideration of project proposals recommended by SEAC in its 41st and 42nd Meeting held on September 9-11, 2015 and November 16-18, 2015 respectively.

Sh. Pramod Kumar Sharma, M/s Pammu Stone Crusher, Village-Nagar & Post Office-5.1 Saini, Tehsil-Suni, District-Shimla, Himachal Pradesh.

# **Brief outline of the project:**

a)	Project type	Extraction of Stone.
<b>b</b> )	<b>Project Location</b>	Khasra number 688 (0-11-32 ha), 689 (0-01-93 ha), 690 (0-15-22
	-	ha), 691 (0-00-36 ha) & 693 (0-55-28 ha) falling in Mohal &
		Mauza Nagar of Tehsil-Suni, District-Shimla, Himachal Pradesh.
c)	Capacity	21,500 MTA.
ΑŃ	Mining Area	0-84-11 ha (Private land)

0-84-11 ha (Private land). Mining Area EMP Cost Capital Cost: Rs. 0.55 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.57 lakhs e) n CSR cost Cost: Rs. 0.50 lakhs.

The case was listed before the SEAC in 41st Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of project 'Specific Conditions' as at Annexure-A and 'General Conditions' to be stipulated as at Annexure-B.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by SEAC.

5.2 Sh. Anchal Singh Rana, S/o Sh. Anant Ram, Village-Upper Chula, P.O. Bairghatta, Sub-Tehsil-Thural, District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh.

### Brief outline of the project:

	21 of outline of the project.		
a)	Project type	Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri.	
b)	Project Location	Khasra number 2128 falling in Mauza Bandahu, Mohal-Chalah	
		Upperla, of Sub-Tehsil-Thural, District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh.	
c)	Capacity	43,636 TPA.	
d)	Mining Area	00.93.34 hectare (Private land, hill slope).	
e)	EMP Cost	Capital Cost: Rs.5.15 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 37,000.	
f)	CSR cost	Capital Cost:Rs.1.10 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs.29,000.	

The case was listed before the SEAC in 41st Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of project 'Specific Conditions' as at Annexure-A and 'General Conditions' to be stipulated as at Annexure-B.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by SEAC.

5.3 Sh. Gian Chand, M/s Ambay Stone Crusher, Village & Post Office-Bharmoti, Tehsil-Nadaun, District-Hamirpur, Himachal Pradesh.

# Brief outline of the project:

Project type Extraction of boulder, sand & bairi from river bed. a)

b) **Project Location** Khasra number 112 (3-71-95 ha), 133 (10-86-19 ha) falling at

Mohal Kalur, Mauza Kohla, Tehsil-Nadaun, District-Hamirpur,

Himachal Pradesh.

1,09,125 TPA. c) Capacity

14-58-14 ha (Private land). Mining Area d)

EMP Cost Capital Cost: Rs. 4.2 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 2.50 lakhs. e)

f) CSR cost Rs. 1.25 lakhs.

The case was listed before the SEAC in 41st Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of project 'Specific Conditions' as at Annexure-A and 'General Conditions' to be stipulated as at Annexure-B.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by SEAC.

5.4 M/s. Himalayan Stone Crusher, Partners Vikas Sohal, Mast Ram, Naval Kishore & Raj Kumar, Village & Post Office- Shamshi, Tehsil & District-Kullu, Himachal Pradesh.

#### Brief outline of the project:

Project type Extraction of Stone. a) b) **Project Location** Khasra number 3670/1, 3671/1 & 2882 falling in Mauza Kot

Kand, Phati Diyar, Tehsil & District-Kullu, Himachal Pradesh.

50,000 TPA. c) Capacity

d) **Mining Area** 16-19-07 Bighas, 1-37-31 hectare (Private land, hill slope) **EMP Cost** Capital Cost: Rs. 2.70 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.12 lakhs e)

Capital Cost: Rs. 1.20 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.29 lakhs f) CSR cost

The case was listed before the SEAC in 41st Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of project 'Specific Conditions' as at Annexure-A and 'General Conditions' to be stipulated as at Annexure-B.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by SEAC.

5.5 Smt. Ruma Devi, W/o Sh. Ramesh Chand, M/s Ruma Stone Crusher, Village-Parchhu, P.O. Sujao Piplu, Tehsil-Sarkaghat, District-Mandi, Himachal Pradesh.

# Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type Extraction/collection of Sand, Boulders and Bairi.

**Project Location** Khasra number 497/457/1 falling in Mauza Chuhru-ra-Balh of b)

Tehsil-Sarkaghat, District-Mandi, Himachal Pradesh.

c) Capacity 40,400 TPA.

2.00.00 hectare, (Govt. land, river bed). d) Mining Area

e) **EMP Cost** Capital Cost: Rs. 6.0 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 2.0 lakhs

The case was listed before the SEAC in 41<sup>st</sup> Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC **recommended** the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of project 'Specific Conditions' as at Annexure-A and 'General Conditions' to be stipulated as at Annexure-B.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by SEAC.

5.6 Sh. Joginder Mohan Sharma, M/s Kunal Stone Crusher, Mauza and Mohal Majra, Tehsil-Indora, District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh.

# Brief outline of the project:

a)	Project type	Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri.
b)	Project Location	Khasra number 270, 592/529, 530/2, 531 falling in Mauza/Mohal
		Majra of Tehsil-Indora, District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh.
c)	Capacity	2,26,125 MT for 5 year.
d)	Mining Area	2-42-68 hectare, Private land, terrace deposit
<b>e</b> )	EMP Cost	Capital Cost: Rs. 6.0 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 3.0 lakhs
f)	CSR cost	Capital Cost: Rs. 2.0 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.1 lakhs

The case was listed before the SEAC in 41<sup>st</sup> Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC **recommended** the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of project 'Specific Conditions' as at Annexure-A and 'General Conditions' to be stipulated as at Annexure-B.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by SEAC.

5.7 Sh. Deepak Kumar, M/s Luxmi Stone Crusher Village-Kango Nohra, P.O. Mehandobagh, Tehsil Pachhad, Distt. Sirmaur, (H.P.)

# Brief outline of the project:

Ditt	Differ outline of the project.		
a)	Project type	Extraction of Stone	
b)	<b>Project Location</b>	Khasra No. 192/3 & 191/1 at Mauza Kango Nohra, Tehsil	
		Pachhad, Distt Sirmour, Himachal Pradesh.	
c)	Capacit <del>y</del>	15,000 MTA.	
d)	Mining Area	39-02 Bighas (private land)	
e)	EMP Cost	Capital Cost: Rs. 4.6 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 2.76 lakhs	
_	CCD	D 440111	

f) CSR cost Rs. 1.10 lakhs

The proposal was listed in 36<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC and it was decided that case shall be reappraised after compliance to certain observations. In compliance to the observations of SEAC the project proponent has submitted all the documents and the application was listed before the SEAC in 41<sup>st</sup> Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC **recommended the case application** for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of project 'Specific Conditions' as at Annexure-A and 'General Conditions' to be stipulated as at Annexure-B.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by SEAC.

Change -

5.8 Sh. Abhishek Mahajan, S/o Sh. Jai Kumar Mahajan, Village-Bhambla, Post Office-Bhambla, Tehsil-Sarkaghat, District-Mandi, Himachal Pradesh.

#### Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type Extraction of Stone.

b) Project Location Khasra number 1595 &1618 at Mauza & Mohal Dhalwan/423,

Sub-Tehsil-Baldwara, District-Mandi, Himachal Pradesh.

c) Capacity 19,345 TPA.

d) Mining Area 00.93.39 hectare (Private land, hill slope).

e) EMP Cost Capital Cost: Rs.1.0 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs.2.56 lakhs.

The proposal was listed in 40<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC and it was decided that case shall be reappraised after compliance to certain observations. In compliance to the observations of SEAC the project proponent has submitted all the documents and the application was listed before the SEAC in 41<sup>st</sup> Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC **recommended the case application** for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of project 'Specific Conditions' as at Annexure-A and 'General Conditions' to be stipulated as at Annexure-B.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by SEAC.

5.9 Sh. Manjeet Singh, M/s Nav Durge Stone Crusher, Village-Bangarh, Post Office-Jakhera, Tehsil-Una, Himachal Pradesh.

#### Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri.

b) Project Location Khasra numbers 3817 & 3821at Mauza Mohal Bangarh, Tehsil &

District-Una, Himachal Pradesh.

c) **Capacity** 28,470 TPA.

d) Mining Area 01-99-88 hectares (Private land, Hill slope).

e) EMP Cost Capital cost as Rs. 1.90 lakhs and Recurring cost as Rs. 0.80 lakhs.

The proposal was listed in 39<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC and it was decided that case shall be reappraised after compliance to certain observations. In compliance to the observations of SEAC the project proponent has submitted all the documents and the application was listed before the SEAC in 41<sup>st</sup> Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC **recommended the case application** for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of project 'Specific Conditions' as at Annexure-A and 'General Conditions' to be stipulated as at Annexure-B.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by SEAC.

5.10 Sh. Ranbir Singh, S/o Sh. Jagdish Kumar, Surat Palace, Nahan, District-Sirmaur, Himachal Pradesh.

#### Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type Extraction/collection Sand, Stone & Bajri.

b) Project Location Khasra number 1249/1026/912/1 located at Mauza Manpur

Deora, Paonta Sahib, District-Sirmaur, Himachal Pradesh.

c) Capacity 14,500 TPA.

d) Mining Area 23.06 Bighas, 1.964 hectare (Private land).

e) EMP Cost Capital Cost: Rs. 6.0 lakhs; Recurring cost:Rs. 1.4 lakhs.

Charles -

The proposal was listed in 40th meeting of SEAC and it was decided that case shall be reappraised after compliance to certain observations. In compliance to the observations of SEAC the project proponent has submitted all the documents and the application was listed before the SEAC 41st Meeting. After deliberating on the certificate of mining department regarding distance of his mine from other mines within the periphery of 500 meters and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC observed that it is a cluster case and directed the project proponent to present his case to the MoEF&CC, GoI.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC it is decided that Committee may re-visit the case in view of the notification issued by MoEF&CC, GoI vide S.O. 141(E) dated 15th January 2016.

5.11 Sh. Amit Bindal S/o Sh. Ram Avtar Bindal, Bindal colony, Circular Road, Solan, District-Solan, Himachal Pradesh

# Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type Extraction of Sand.

b) **Project Location** Khasra number 109/103/79/36 (4-12 bighas), 111/38 (3-10

> bighas), 37 (0-12 bighas), 108/103/79/36 (5-13 bighas) & 110/38 (0-09 bighas) falling in Mauza & Mohal Dalehan in

Tehsil & District-Solan, Himachal Pradesh.

5,090 TPA. c) Capacity

Mining Area 14-16 Bighas, 1.1328 hectare (Private land, hill slope). d)

EMP Cost Capital Cost:Rs. 3.0 lakhs; Recurring cost:Rs. 1.30 lakhs. e)

The proposal was listed in 40th meeting of SEAC and it was decided that case shall be reappraised after compliance to certain observations. In compliance to the observations of SEAC the project proponent has submitted all the documents and the application was listed before the SEAC 41<sup>st</sup> Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of project 'Specific Conditions' as at Annexure-A and 'General Conditions' to be stipulated as at Annexure-B.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by SEAC.

5.12 Sh. Sunil Kumar Sood, M/s Homeland Exotica-mixed land use construction project, 143, Sector-7, Panchkula, Haryana-134 109.

# Brief outline of the project:

Project type a) Homeland Exotica-mixed land use construction project.

b) **Project Location** Khasra number 1363/1127/632/1, 641,408,1372/426,613,626/1

> 626/3, 1374/627, 1376/1126/628, 629, 630, 631, 1369/1137/632, 642, 643, 644, 1368/1137/632, 646,647,648, 649, 429/1, 640, 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,321/10 at

Village-Kiyari & Rirka, Tehsil & District-Shimla, H.P.

46,599.00 sq. mtrs. Capacity/area

c)

d) EMP Cost For construction Phase:

Capital cost: 4.40 lakhs, Recurring cost: 5.30 lakhs.

For operation Phase:

Capital cost:90.2 lakhs, Recurring cost: 6.0 lakhs.

The M/s Homeland Exotica-mixed land use construction project proposes to develop a residential & commercial complex at Village-Kiyari & Rirka, Tehsil & District-Shimla, H.P.

The proposal was listed in 39th meeting of SEAC and it was decided that case shall be reappraised after compliance to certain observations. In compliance to the observations of

[Proceedings of 24th Meeting of the SEIAA held on 27th February 2016] Page 6/20

SEAC the project proponent has submitted all the documents and the application was listed before the SEAC 41<sup>st</sup> Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC **recommended** the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of project 'Specific Conditions' as at Annexure-A and 'General Conditions' to be stipulated as at Annexure-B.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by SEAC.

5.13 Sh. Pritam Thakur, S/o Sh. Gopi Ram, M/s Kathla Stone Crusher, Village-Gagal, Tehsil & District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh.

Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri.

b) Project Location Khasra number Khasra numbers 53 (2-51-50 hectare), 1/2 (2-41-

97 hectare) falling in Mauza Lunj Mohal Prei of Tehsil &

District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh.

c) Capacity 34,330 TPA.d) Mining Area 4-93-47 hectare.

e) EMP Cost Capital Cost: Rs. 0.95 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.77 lakhs/

annum.

The case was listed in 40<sup>th</sup> Meeting of SEAC and it was decided that case shall be reappraised after compliance to certain observations. In compliance to the observations of SEAC the project proponent has submitted all the documents and the application was listed before the SEAC 41<sup>st</sup> Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC **recommended the case application** for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of project 'Specific Conditions' as at Annexure-A and 'General Conditions' to be stipulated as at Annexure-B.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by SEAC.

5.14 Sh. Inder Singh, S/o Sh. Sunder Singh, Village & Post Office-Karoo, Tehsil-Paonta Sahib, District-Sirmaur, Himachal Pradesh.

Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri.

b) Project Location Khasra number 805/1/1 falling in Mauza Bhuppur-II, Tehsil-

Paonta Sahib, District-Sirmaur, Himachal Pradesh.

c) Capacity 21,060 TPA.

d) Mining Area 2.26.20 hectare (Private land, river bed).

e) EMP Cost Capital Cost: 0.5 lakhs; Recurring cost: 0.9 lakhs.

f) CSR cost Capital Cost: 1.0 lakhs; Recurring cost:0.2 lakhs.

The case application was listed before the SEAC in 41<sup>st</sup> Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC **recommended** the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of project 'Specific Conditions' as at Annexure-A and 'General Conditions' to be stipulated as at Annexure-B.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by SEAC.

Mundad

5.15 Sh. Surjeet Singh, M/s Surjeet Stone Crusher, B-28, 3465, MIG flat, Phase-2, Urban Estate, Dugri Road, Ludhiana, Punjab, 141013.

Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri.

b) Project Location Khasra number 267/213/1 & 270/216/1 falling in Mauza-Rey

Mohal Rehatpur of Tehsil-Fatehpur, District-Kangra, Himachal

Pradesh.

c) Capacity 21,230 TPA

d) Mining Area 2-45-38 hectare, Private land

e) EMP Cost Capital Cost: Rs. 1.50 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 1.00 lakhs

f) CSR cost

The case was listed before the SEAC in 42<sup>nd</sup> Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC observed that case falls under cluster mining and need to be appraised and considered as Category-A project at the level of MoEF&CC, GoI.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC it is decided that Committee may re-visit the case in view of the notification issued by MoEF&CC, GoI vide S.O. 141(E) dated 15th January 2016.

5.16 Sh. Jiwa Nand & Smt. Rakhi Gupta, M/s Bajrang Stone Mine & Crusher, Village & Post Office-Bhojpur, Tehsil-Sundernagar, District-Mandi, Himachal Pradesh.

# Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type Extraction/collection of Stone.

b) Project Location Khasra number 238/2, 246, 247 & 248 situated in Mauza &

Mohal-Bhyanwar/99 of Tehsil-Sundemagar, District-Mandi, Himachal

Pradesh.

c) Capacity 79,955 MT for the lease period of 5 year.

d) Mining Area 1-50-00 ha, 19-19-05 Bighas (Private land)

e) EMP Cost Capital Cost: Rs. 8.0 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 2.7 lakhs

f) CSR cost Capital Cost: Rs. 2.0 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.1 lakhs

The proposed project is extraction of stone from the hill slope. As proposed the raw material & finished product will be stored at open space. The existing hill slope land will be used for mining & crushing operations.

The case was listed before the SEAC in 42<sup>nd</sup> Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC **recommended** the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of project 'Specific Conditions' as at Annexure-A and 'General Conditions' to be stipulated as at Annexure-B.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by SEAC.

5.17 Sh. Vijay Kumar, S/o Sh. Sher Singh, Village & Post Office-Tiara, Tehsil & District-Kangra, Pin-176 214, Himachal Pradesh.

#### Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type Extraction of Sand, Stone & Bajri.

b) Project Location Khasra number 1685/1, 1685/2 falling at Mauza Lambagaon

Mohal Tikki Ghumarnu of Tehsil-Jaisinghpur, District-Kangra,

Himachal Pradesh.

c) Capacity 27,330 TPA.

d) Mining Area 4-65-85 ha (Govt. land).

Munda

e) EMP Cost

Capital Cost: Rs. 4.69 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs.3.49 lakhs.

f) CSR cost

Rs. 1.25 lakhs.

The case was listed before the SEAC in 42<sup>nd</sup> Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application to be treated as Cat B1 since the project of Sh. Kushal Rana, Sh. Baldev Singh Rana, village & Post office Jaisingpur/ Kuthan, Tehsil Jaisinghpur, Distt. Kangra HP which also falls within the periphery of 500 meters of the present case as per Mining Department certificate and has already been recommended and granted environment clearance on first come first basis, therefore, the Public Hearing is required to be conducted before consideration of case for grant of environmental clearance to the present case.

Hence, the SEAC recommended that the EIA report and EMP may be prepared as per standard TORs and case application be accordingly submitted to this office after the public hearing.

In addition the SEAC also recommended that the directions be issued to the project proponent Sh. Kushal Rana, Sh. Baldev Singh Rana, village & Post office Jaisingpur/Kuthan, Tehsil Jaisinghpur, Distt. Kangra HP to join the and take part in the public hearing jointly with the present proponent, and in case any issue is raised by the public and same is recommended by the concerned authority which may be required to be attended by the proponent Sh. Kushal Rana, Sh. Baldev Singh Rana the compliance to same shall be ensured by them as well.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the authority approved the recommendations of SEAC.

5.18 Sh. Ajay Katoch Partner M/s Jai Ambay Brick Kiln Unit, Village & Post Office-Indpur, Tehsil-Indora, District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh.

# Brief outline of the project:

	brief outline of the project.			
a)	Project type	Mining of clay (Brick earth)		
b)	Project Location	Khasra number 6096/1 falling in Mauza /Mohal Indpur, Tehsil-		
	-	Indora, District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh.		
c)	Capacity	8,800 metric tons of clay per year.		
d)	Mining Area	0.66.91 ha.		
e)	EMP Cost	Capital Cost: Rs. 3.56 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.40 lakhs		
ń	CSR cost	Capital Cost: Rs. 0.40 lakhs: Recurring cost: Rs. 0.06 lakhs		

The proposed project is manual extraction of clay (brick earth) from foothill terrace up to a depth of two meter only. As proposed there shall not be any permanent/significant changes in existing land use, land cover or topography.

The case was listed before the SEAC in 42<sup>nd</sup> Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC **recommended** the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of project 'Specific Conditions' as at Annexure-A and 'General Conditions' to be stipulated as at Annexure-B.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by SEAC.

Sh. Ram Dev Duvedi & Sh. Kamal Kishore Sharma Partners M/s Matri Stone Crusher, Village-Bhatoli, Post Office-Bhatoli, Tehsil-Una, Himachal Pradesh, Pin-174 315.

# Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type

Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri.

July -

b) Project Location Khasra number 804, 805, 806, 807, 808 & 809 (Private Land) Mauza and Mohal-Lower Basal/khaduni of Tehsil & District-Una, Himachal Pradesh.
 c) Capacity 1,02,550 metric tons per annum
 d) Mining Area 4-75-67 ha (Pvt. Land, river bed)
 e) EMP Cost Capital Cost: Rs. 4.50 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.90 lakhs

The proposed project is extraction of sand, stone and bajri from river bed. The mining lease area lies in the bed of Soan river, a tributary of River Satluj. It lies at a distance of about 10 km from Una near Una-Amb road (NH-25). The leased block is about 1 km from the NH-25.

Rs. 1.5 lakh

The case was listed before the SEAC in 42<sup>nd</sup> Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC **recommended** the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of project 'Specific Conditions' as at Annexure-A and 'General Conditions' to be stipulated as at Annexure-B.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by SEAC.

5.20 Sh. Jay Mahajan S/o Sh. Rajneesh Mahajan, M/s Himachal Bricks, Village-Baghni, Post Office-Nurpur, Tehsil-Nurpur, District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh.

#### Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type Mining of clay (Brick earth).
 b) Project Location Khasra number 3823/1123/2 falling in Mauza /Mohal Hatli, Tehsil-Nurpur, District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh.
 c) Capacity 5,000 cubic meter/7,200 metric tons of clay per year.
 d) Mining Area
 e) EMP Cost Capital Cost: Rs. 4 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 1.5 lakhs

including CSR costing.

The manual mining is confined to extraction of clay from the proposed mine site up to a depth of two meters. The clay will be extracted in its existing form. Mining of clay will be carried out only up to a depth of 2 meters. As proposed no raw material will be required in the proposed mining project. The operation involves the extraction of clay (brick earth) in its existing form and loaded in to tractor trolleys to be transported to the Brick Kiln Unit to make

existing bricks.

f)

CSR cost

The case was listed before the SEAC in 42<sup>nd</sup> Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC **recommended** the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of project 'Specific Conditions' as at Annexure-A and 'General Conditions' to be stipulated as at Annexure-B.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by SEAC.

5.21 Sh. Harish Sharma (GPA), Sh. Kunal Pathania & Kartik Pathania, Village & Post Office-Bai Attarian, Tehsil-Indora, District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh.

#### Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri.

b) Project Location Khasra number 1076/2 falling in Mauza/Mohal Barota/paral,

Tehsil-Indora, District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh.

c) Capacity 1, 67,380 TPA.

Charles -

d) Mining Area
 e) EMP Cost
 f) CSR cost
 d-90-24 ha, Private land.
 Capital Cost: Rs. 2.20 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.12 lakhs
 Capital Cost: Rs. 2.60 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 4.29 lakhs

The proposed project is an open cast mining project. The case was listed before the SEAC in 42<sup>nd</sup> Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC observed that case falls under cluster mining and need to be appraised and considered as Category-A project at the level of MoEF&CC, GoI.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC it is decided that Committee may re-visit the case in view of the notification issued by MoEF&CC, GoI vide S.O. 141(E) dated 15<sup>th</sup> January 2016.

5.22 Sh. Girja Nand, S/o Sh. Khem Chand, Village-Samlon, P.O. Khakhariana, Tehsil-Sadar, District-Mandi, Himachal Pradesh.

# Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type Extraction/collection of Stone.

b) Project Location Khasra number 275, 271, 272, 273 & 274 falling in Mauza

Khakhariana, Tehsil-Sader, District-Mandi, Himachal Pradesh.

c) Capacity 26,220 TPA

d) Mining Area 0.7 ha (8-13-10 Bighas)

e) EMP Cost Capital Cost: Rs. 6.0 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 1.7 lakhs

f) CSR cost Not given.

The project involves collection of Stone. It is an open cast manual mining. The case was listed before the SEAC in 42<sup>nd</sup> Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC **recommended the case application** for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of project 'Specific Conditions' as at Annexure-A and 'General Conditions' to be stipulated as at Annexure-B.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by SEAC.

5.23 M/s Municipal Council, District-Mandi, Himachal Pradesh.

#### Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type Setting up of waste processing /disposal facility

b) Project Location Village-Ayala, Tehsil-Sadar, District-Mandi, Himachal Pradesh.

c) Capacity 36 tons/day.d) Mining Area 0.53 hectare

e) EMP Cost f) CSR cost

The proposal was listed in 30<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC, committee decided to defer the proposal with the observation that the proponent will get the public hearing conducted and take further necessary action for obtaining environmental clearance in accordance with the procedure prescribed under EIA Notification, 2006. The public hearing was conducted by H.P. State Pollution Control Board on 31.07.2015 and PP has submitted the copy of proceeding of Environmental public hearing.

The case was listed before the SEAC in 42<sup>nd</sup> Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC **recommended** the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of project 'Specific Conditions' as at Annexure-A and 'General Conditions' to be stipulated as at Annexure-B.

Chinds -

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by SEAC.

5.24 Mr. Naib Singh, M/s Shiv Shakti Stone Crusher, Village-Mirpur Kotla, Tehsil-Nahan, District-Sirmaur, Himachal Pradesh.

#### Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type Extraction/collection Sand, Stone & Bajri.

b) Project Location Khasra number 46 and 47/1 situated at Mauza Kotla, Tehsil-

Nahan, District-Sirmaur, Himachal Pradesh.

c) Capacity 25,984 TPA.

d) Mining Area 3.76 ha. / 50-05 Bighas (Private land).

e) EMP Cost Capital Cost: Rs. 6.25 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 5.0

lakhs/annum.

f) CSR cost Capital Cost: Rs. 1.00 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.25

lakhs/annum

The case was listed in 33<sup>rd</sup> meeting of SEAC held on November 14<sup>th</sup>, 2013 with certain observations. The project proponent had complied and submitted all the documents desired by the SEAC. The case was again listed before the SEAC in 42<sup>nd</sup> Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC **recommended the case application** for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of project 'Specific Conditions' as at Annexure-A and 'General Conditions' to be stipulated as at Annexure-B.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by SEAC.

5.25 Sh. Rajat Thakur, Village-Chanjar, P.O. Dhaweli, Tehsil-Sarkaghat, District-Mandi, Himachal Pradesh.

#### Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type Extraction of Sand, Stone & Bajri

b) Project Location Khasra number 728/1 having an area of 120 kanal (Govt. land)

in the rivulet bed of Beas river for a period of ten years.

c) Capacity 40,200 TPA (Excluding waste).

d) Mining Area 04-80-00 hectare (Govt. land).

e) EMP Cost Rs. 5.5 Lakhs (Capital Cost) and Rs. 1.7 Lakhs (Recurring Cost)

The project proposal is for extraction of minor minerals like sand, stone and bajri in village Mauza Jangal Rajgeer, Mohal Tikka Khanoli, Tehsil-Sujanpur Tihra, District-Hamirpur, H.P. The project proponent has been granted mining lease of an area of 04-80-00 hectare (Govt. land) for mining of stone at village Mauza Jangal Rajgeer.

The project was listed in 41<sup>st</sup> meeting of SEAC and the Committee made certain observations. The case was again listed before the SEAC in 42<sup>nd</sup> Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC **recommended** the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of project 'Specific Conditions' as at Annexure-A and 'General Conditions' to be stipulated as at Annexure-B.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by SEAC.

# 5.26 Sh. Keshav Ram, Village-Kanufari, Post Office-Dharech, Tehsil-Theog, District-Shimla, Himachal Pradesh, 171012

Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type Extraction of Stone.

b) Project Location Khasra number 337/1 & 336 falling in Mauza Dharech, District-

Shimla, Himachal Pradesh.

c) Capacity 8,835 MTA

d) Mining Area 00.57.32 hectare (Private land, hill slope)

e) EMP Cost Capital Cost: Rs. 0.75 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.65 lakhs

The project is manual/mechanical extraction of stone from hill slope for supply to proposed captive stone crusher and further sale in the local market and adjoining area, where sizable demand exists. The project was listed in 41<sup>st</sup> meeting of SEAC and the Committee made certain observations. The case was again listed before the SEAC in 42<sup>nd</sup> Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC **recommended** the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of project 'Specific Conditions' as at Annexure-A and 'General Conditions' to be stipulated as at Annexure-B.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by SEAC.

5.27 Sh. Som Nath, Village-Upper Basal, Post Office-Basal, Tehsil-Una, Pin-174 301, Himachal Pradesh.

Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri.

b) Project Location Khasra number 1551 and 1553 falling in Mauza & Mohal Upper

Basal, Tehsil & District-Una, Himachal Pradesh.

c) Capacity 37,480 TPA

d) Mining Area 3.47.69 hectare (Pvt. Land, hill slope).

e) EMP Cost Capital Cost: Rs. 6.0 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 1.4 lakhs

including CSR cost.

The proposed project is for mining of Sand, Stone and Bajri. The lease block is part of river bed of Soan river which is a tributary of Satluj river. The project was listed in 41<sup>st</sup> meeting of SEAC and the Committee made certain observations. The case was again listed before the SEAC in 42<sup>nd</sup> Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC **recommended** the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of project 'Specific Conditions' as at Annexure-A and 'General Conditions' to be stipulated as at Annexure-B.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by SEAC.

5.28 M/s Laxmi Stone Crusher, Village & Post Office-Thathal, Tehsil- Amb, District-Una, Himachal Pradesh.

# Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type Extraction/collection Sand, Stone & Bajri

b) Project Location Thathal (Ram Nagar), Tehsil Amb, Distt. Una, H.P.

c) Capacity 54,000 TPA.

d) Mining Area 18-11-31 Hectare (Private Land).

e) EMP Cost Capital cost as Rs. 13.50 Lakhs; Recurring cost as Rs. 5.0 Lakhs.

C. Land

The case was first listed in 13<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC. The SEAC approved ToR's and advised for conducting EIA studies for the project. The same has been submitted by the project proponent. The case was again listed in 38<sup>th</sup> meeting earlier where in the project proponent did not appear before the committee to present his case and requested to list the case in forthcoming meeting of SEAC. The project was again listed in 39<sup>th</sup> meeting, the Committee after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts presented during the appraisal, decided to defer the proposal with certain observations.

In compliance to the observations the project proponent submitted the desired documents. The case was listed in 42<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of SEAC. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the project proponent shall also **submit the copy of credible action under Environment (Protection)Act, 1986** by the competent authority on account of violations committed by them and the case application for **consideration of grant of environmental clearance** by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of project 'Specific Conditions' as at Annexure-A and 'General Conditions' to be stipulated as at Annexure-B.

The project proponent has submitted the certificate of the Mining Officer that No mining activities has been carried out in the mining area.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by SEAC.

5.29 Sh. Rohit Kumar, Partner M/s Diamond Stone Crusher, (Harish Sharma, GPA holder) Village & Post Office-Sirat, Tehsil-Indora, District-Kangra, 176403, Himachal Pradesh.

#### Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri.

b) Project Location Khasra number 976, 977 & 978 falling in Mauza & Mohal-Sirat

of Tehsil-Indora, District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh.

c) Capacity 23,215 TPA.

d) Mining Area 02.01.55 hectare (Private land, terrace deposit)

e) EMP Cost Capital Cost: Rs. 5.15 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.37 lakhs
f) CSR cost Capital Cost: Rs. 1.10 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.29 lakhs

The project proposal is open cast mining. It has been proposed to mine boulders, bajri (pebbles) and sand from the proposed area. The case was listed in 41<sup>st</sup> meeting of SEAC and the Committee made certain observations. The case was again listed before the SEAC in 42<sup>nd</sup> Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC **recommended** the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of project 'Specific Conditions' as at Annexure-A and 'General Conditions' to be stipulated as at Annexure-B.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by SEAC.

5.30 Sh. Gagan Singh. Partner, M/s Shiv Shankar Industries Stone Crusher, Village-Indora, Tehşil-Indora, District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh, 176 401.

#### Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri.

b) Project Location Khasra number 2926/1 & 2927/1 falling in Mauza/Mohal Indora

of Tehsil-Indora, District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh.

c) Capacity 53,450 TPA

d) Mining Area 4-90-35 hectare (Private land, river bed)

Chinal China

Capital Cost: Rs. 6.5 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 2.0 lakhs

f) CSR cost

**EMP Cost** 

e)

The mining lease area is located in the Beas river. The mining/collection of minerals will be in semi-mechanized way. The case was listed in 41<sup>st</sup> meeting of SEAC and the Committee made certain observations. The case was again listed before the SEAC in 42<sup>nd</sup> Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC **recommended** the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of project 'Specific Conditions' as at Annexure-A and 'General Conditions' to be stipulated as at Annexure-B.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by SEAC.

5.31 Sh. Ashok Kumar Dabra, Prop. Jeewan Industries, S/o Sh. Mast Ram Dabra, House No. 196-197, Roura Sector-II, Main Market Bilaspur, District-Bilaspur, Himachal Pradesh.

# Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type Extraction/collection of Stone
 b) Project Location Khasra number 5/1, 6/1, 10/1 & 13/1 falling at Mauza Kotlu/47 in Tehsil-Sadar, District-Bilaspur, Himachal Pradesh.
 c) Capacity 9700 TPA.
 d) Mining Area 13-05-00 Bighas, 0.997 hectare (Private land, terrace deposit)

d) Mining Area
 e) EMP Cost
 13-05-00 Bighas, 0.997 hectare (Private land, terrace deposed Capital Cost: Rs. 3.6 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 3.02 lakhs

The proposed project is a part of old/dead meandering corridor/ terrace deposit of Ali Khad. As proposed no mining will be done in the area up to 7.5 meters from the boundary. The case was listed in 41<sup>st</sup> meeting of SEAC and the Committee made certain observations. The case was again listed before the SEAC in 42<sup>nd</sup> Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC **recommended** the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of project 'Specific Conditions' as at Annexure-A and 'General Conditions' to be stipulated as at Annexure-B.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by SEAC.

5.32 Sh. Mohan Lal, S/o Sh. Gurbachan Lal, Village & Post Office-Bathu, Tehsil-Una, District-Una, Himachal Pradesh.

#### Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type Extraction/collection Sand, Stone & Bajri.

b) Project Location Khasra number 1435/2, 1452/2, 1451/2, 1450/2, 1448/2, 1447/2

&1449 at Mauza Bathu up-Mohal Gurplah of sub Tehsil-Haroli,

Una, H.P.

c) Capacity 18,250 MTA.

d) Mining Area 1-98-97 hectare (Private land, river bed).

e) EMP Cost Capital Cost: Rs. 2.25 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 2.45 lakhs.

f) CSR Cost Capital Cost: Rs. 60,000; Recurring cost: Rs. 6,000.

The proposed project is manual extraction and mining of stone, bajri & sand from the river bed. As proposed the area is part of river bed and no habitation is required to be disturbed from the mining lease area, therefore, no R&R plan is envisaged.

The case was listed in 41<sup>st</sup> meeting of SEAC and the Committee made certain observations. The case was again listed before the SEAC in 42<sup>nd</sup> Meeting. After deliberating on the

information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of project 'Specific Conditions' as at Annexure-A and 'General Conditions' to be stipulated as at Annexure-B.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by SEAC.

5.33 Smt. Meera Chandel, Prop. M/s Meera Mines and Minerals, House No. 186/10, Devi Nagar, Paonta Sahib, District-Sirmaur, Himachal Pradesh.

# Brief outline of the project:

a)	Project type	Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri from river bed.
b)	<b>Project Location</b>	Khasra number 66, 67, 68/2 and 72/2 falling in Mohal Devi
		Nagar, Tehsil-Paonta Sahib, District-Sirmaur, Himachal
		Pradesh.
c)	Capacity	16,930 TPA.
d)	Mining Area	4-70-10 hectare (Private land)

e) EMP Cost Capital Cost:Rs.3.5 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 3.45lakhs
f) CSR cost Cost:Rs.1.60 lakhs

The mining is confined to extraction of Sand, Stone & Bajri from proposed mine site which will be carried out manually. The case was listed in 41<sup>st</sup> meeting of SEAC and the Committee made certain observations. The case was again listed before the SEAC in 42<sup>nd</sup> Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC **recommended** the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of project 'Specific Conditions' as at Annexure-A and 'General Conditions' to be stipulated as at Annexure-B.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by SEAC.

5.34 Sh. Daljeet Sharma S/o Late Sh. Satya Prakash Sharma. Village & P.O. Chhatradi, Tehsil & District-Chamba, 176 324, Himachal Pradesh.

#### Brief outline of the project:

a)	Project type	Extraction of Slate.
b)	<b>Project Location</b>	Khasra number 1268/667/1 falling in Mauza Toor of Tehsil- &
	-	District-Chamba, Himachal Pradesh.
c)	Capacity	2,000 MTA of slate.
d)	Mining Area	Measuring 2-00 Bighas, 0.16 hectare (Govt. Land).
e)	EMP Cost	Capital Cost: Rs. 3.0 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.90 lakhs.
f)	CSR cost	Rs. 0.80 lakhs.

The project proposal is extraction of slate. The slates will be carried by persons/animals. The extraction of slates will be done manually.

The case was listed in 41<sup>st</sup> meeting of SEAC and the Committee made certain observations. The case was again listed before the SEAC in 42<sup>nd</sup> Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC **recommended** the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of project 'Specific Conditions' as at Annexure-A and 'General Conditions' to be stipulated as at Annexure-B.

Control -

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by SEAC.

5.35 Sh. Rajesh Thakur, M/s Jai Laxmi Stone Crusher, Village-Bain Attarian, Post Office-Kandrori, Tehsil-Indora, District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh, Himachal Pradesh, 177001.

# Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri.

b) Project Location Khasra number 408, 409, 417/1, 418/1, 420/1, 389/2 and 413/1

falling in Mauza and Mohal Kandrori, Tehsil-Indora, District-

Kangra, Himachal Pradesh.

c) Capacity 33,390 TPA.

d) Mining Area 4-34-76 hectare (Private land, river bed).

e) EMP Cost Capital Cost: Rs. 13.65 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 4.10 lakhs

f) CSR cost Cost: Rs. 1.10 lakhs.

The case was listed in 41<sup>st</sup> meeting of SEAC, the Committee decided that the case will be reappraised after certain observations. In compliance to the observations made by the SEAC the project proponent did not submit the desired documents. However, PP has made a representation that the necessary action should be taken against the M/s Jai Shankar Stone Crusher, (2-87-25 ha) VPO-Churpur, Tehsil-Indora, District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh who has submitted the wrong certificate for Environment Clearance to SEIAA, Himachal Pradesh.

The committee in its 42<sup>nd</sup> Meeting, heard the plea of both the proponent(s) one by one.

After deliberating on representation made by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the committee observed that there is ambiguity amongst the certificates so issued by the mining department w.r.t. 500 mtrs radius in both the cases and has led the committee to decide the either case of M/s. Jai Shanker Stone Crusher in the instant matter. Now in view of the fact the certificate so issued by the mining department has been challenged by the present applicant, it may be appropriate that the Mining Department State Geologist may clarify the exact position in both the cases.

Henceforth, till the time clarification is received from State Geologist the SEAC recommends that the Environment Clearance so grated by the SEIAA earlier to the M/s. Jai Shanker Stone Crusher may be held in abeyance. And afterward the cases will be decided by the committee on merit.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority has decided that we may await clarification from State Geologist.

5.36 M/s Nagni Mata Stone Crusher, Sh. Rajeshwar Singh Guleria, Village & Post Office-Sakoh, Tehsil-Dharamshala, Himachal Pradesh.

#### Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type Collection/ Extraction of Sand, Stone & Bajri.

b) Project Location Khasra Number 1/1, Mauza Nagrota Suriyan, Mohal Basa,

Tehsil Jawali, Kangra, HP.

c) Capacity 47,250 TPA.

d) Mining Area 04-93-68 Hectares (Govt. Land).

(e) EMP Cost Rs 4,50,000 under EMP and Rs. 80,000 under CSR.

The case was listed in 21<sup>st</sup> Meeting of SEIAA and authority after considering the recommendations of SEAC decided that the case be listed in its next Meeting of SEIAA upon prior compliance to the observations of SEAC by the project proponent. The case was again listed in 22<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of SEIAA where in it was decided that the SEAC has recommended the

Ching of Stranger

case on certain conditions and prior submission of certain documents by the project proponent, the Authority observed that the additional information/documents submitted by the project proponent should have been re-examined by SEAC itself as to ensure that the compliance reported by the project proponent as per the observations of SEAC was complete. It was recommended that in view of the validity of Letter of Intent the case may be reexamined by the SEAC for further necessary action.

The case was listed in 23<sup>rd</sup> Meeting of SEIAA held on 17<sup>th</sup> August 2015, where it was decided that on the basis of the recommendations of SEAC, the Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the authority approved to return the case to SEAC for re-examination.

The project proponent has submitted the extension of LoI dated 04.11.2015 valid for one year. The case was listed in 42<sup>nd</sup> meeting of SEAC. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC **recommended the case application** for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of project 'Specific Conditions' as at Annexure-A and 'General Conditions' to be stipulated as at Annexure-B.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by SEAC.

5.37 Sh. Hemender Chandel, Village-Pawan Kunj, P.O. Brahmna, Tehsil-Kandaghat, District-Solan, H.P.

# Brief outline of the project:

a) b)	Project type Project Location	Extraction of Stone. Khasra numbers 589/1 at Mauza & Mohal Neri of Kandaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh.
c)	Capacity	21,160 TPA.
d)	Mining Area	13-04-00 Bighas/ 0.9933 ha (Private Land).
e)	EMP Cost	Rs. 2.0 Lakhs (Capital Cost) and Rs. 1.2 Lakhs per annum

(Recurring Cost).

The case was listed in 22<sup>nd</sup> meeting of SEIAA where in Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the project proponent has submitted compliance to the conditions imposed by SEAC as per requirement but has stated that the R&R plan is not applicable to them in view of above submission by the project proponent the case may be relisted before SEAC for further necessary action.

The case was again listed in 23<sup>rd</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 17<sup>th</sup> August 2015, where it was decided that on the basis of the recommendations of SEAC, the Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the authority approved to return the case to SEAC for re-examination.

The project proponent has submitted the desired information vide letter dated 23/9/2015 on observations made by the Authority in  $22^{nd}$  &  $23^{rd}$  Meetings.

The case was again listed in 42<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of SEAC. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC **recommended** the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of project 'Specific Conditions' as at Annexure-A and 'General Conditions' to be stipulated as at Annexure-B.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by SEAC.

1 2 - Colored -

# 5.38 Sh. Anoop Thakur, Village & Post Office-Jankaur, Tehsil & District-Una, Himachal Pradesh.

# Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type Extraction/ collection of Sand & Bajri.
 b) Project Location Khasra numbers 1123, 1125 & 1126, Mauza & Mohal Jankaur, Tehsil & Distt. Una. H.P.
 c) Capacity 24,180 TPA.

c) Capacity
 d) Mining Area
 24,180 TPA.
 4-72-93 Hectare (Private Land).

e) EMP Cost Capital cost as Rs. 2.5 Lakhs and Recurring cost as Rs. 0.50

Lakhs/ Annum.

The case was listed in 22<sup>nd</sup> meeting of SEIAA where in Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the project proponent has submitted compliance to the above mentioned conditions imposed by SEAC as per requirement. The additional documents examined/ scrutinized and found that the provisional mining lease was issued on 24/9/2012 i.e. valid up to 23/9/2013. Therefore, in view of the validity of mining lease the case may be relisted before SEAC for further necessary action.

Then after the case was listed in 23<sup>rd</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 17<sup>th</sup> August 2015, where it was decided that on the basis of the recommendations of SEAC, the Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the authority approved to return the case to SEAC for reexamination.

The case was listed in 42<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of SEAC. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC **recommended the case application** for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of project 'Specific Conditions' as at Annexure-A and 'General Conditions' to be stipulated as at Annexure-B.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by SEAC.

5.39 Sh. Deepak Bhatnagar, M/s Janki Mines & Minerals, Ward No. 406/1, Nr. Radha Swami Satsang Bhawan, Ram Kundi, at Post-Nahan, Distt. Sirmaur, H.P.

a) Project type Mining of lime stone.

b) Project Location Khasra number 528/1 and 62 Mauza Kamroo, Distt. Sirmour,

HP.

c) Capacity 30,000 TPA.
d) Mining Area 66-04 Bighas.
e) EMP cost Rs. 15 Lakhs.

The case was transferred by Ministry of Environment, Forests & CC, Govt. of India to SEIAA. The case was listed in 37<sup>th</sup> Meting of SEAC. Then the case was listed in 22<sup>nd</sup> meeting of SEIAA where in Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the case may be referred back to the SEAC for further appraisal since it is a case of violation and clear recommendations be made by SEAC.

Then after the case was listed in 23<sup>rd</sup> Meeting of SEIAA held on 17<sup>th</sup> August 2015, where it was decided that on the basis of the recommendations of SEAC, the Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the authority approved to return the case to SEAC for reexamination.

Sound and -

A letter dated 18.05.2015 has been received from the Environment Engineer, Regional Office, H.P. State Pollution Control Board, Paonta Sahib regarding issuance of show cause notice under Environment Protection Act, 1986.

The case was listed in 42<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of SEAC. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC **recommended** the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of project 'Specific Conditions' as at Annexure-A and 'General Conditions' to be stipulated as at Annexure-B.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by SEAC.

# ITEM NO. 6: Any other item:

6.1 Consideration of Representation of Sh. Sanjeev Thakur, S/o Sh. Kaman Singh Thakur, Village & Post Office- Sainsowal, Tehsil-Haroli, Una Himachal Pradesh.

A representation of Sh. Sanjeev Thakur, S/o Sh. Kaman Singh Thakur, Village & Post Office-Sainsowal, Tehsil-Haroli, Una Himachal Pradesh dated 24/2/2016 was taken up by SEIAA.

The matter was discussed in the light of SEAC recommendations made in 38<sup>th</sup> Meeting dated 17-19<sup>th</sup> March 2015 with certain conditions, which were fulfilled by the project proponent, However, SEIAA in 22<sup>nd</sup> Meeting dated 21/7/2015 decided that the matter be re-examined by the SEAC in view of Expiry of Letter of Intent. Now the project proponent has made a representation that since he has submitted the Extension of Letter of Intent issued by State Geologist, Industry Department, HP vide letter dated 17/10/2015 valid upto 3/12/2016. The matter was deliberated in light of given facts that since the case application has already been recommended by SEAC and the PP has also submitted the valid Letter of Intent, therefore, it was decided that the case is considered keeping in view of the merit of case and approved for grant of Environmental Clearance as per conditions stipulated by SEAC.

The Meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.

(Sh. A.K. Lal)

Member Secretary

HPSEIAA

Dated 1/3/20/6, Shimla-1.

(Sh. S.S. Parmar) Chairperson

HPSĖIAA

[Proceedings of 24th Meeting of the SEIAA held on 27th February 2016] Page 20/20