



State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority

Himachal Pradesh

Ministry of Environment Forest & Climate Change, Government of India,
at Department of Environment Science & Technology,
Paryavaran Bhawan, Near US Club, Shimla-1

Ph: 0177-2656559, 2659608, Fax: 2659609

No. SEIAA/26th Meeting/2016-

Dated: 5/5/2016.

PROCEEDINGS OF 26th MEETING OF SEIAA, HIMACHAL PRADESH, HELD UNDER THE CHAIRPERSONSHIP OF SH. S.S. PARMAR, IAS (RETD.) IN THE CONFERENCE HALL OF DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT, SCI. & TECH., PARYAVARAN BHAWAN, SHIMLA-1 ON 29TH APRIL 2016 AT 3:30 PM.

At the outset, the Member Secretary (HPSEIAA) extended warm welcome to Sh. S.S. Parmar, IAS (Retd.), Chairman, HPSEIAA. With the permission of the Chair, following agenda items were discussed:

ITEM NO. 1:

- 1.1 Confirmation of the Minutes of the 25th Meeting of the SEIAA held on 8th April, 2016:
All decisions of the Authority were executed. No additional comments were received; hence, Minutes of the 25th Meeting of SEIAA were confirmed by the Authority except for proposal w.r.t. imposition of application processing fee. It was decided that the same proposal be placed before the Authority in the next Meeting.
- 1.2 The Chairman observed that the Environment Management Plans (EMP) that are submitted do not specifically contain the time frame for implementation of various components. As a result it might not become clear for monitoring authorities to check exact status of progress of implementation. Henceforth, EMP details should also contain the exact time frame of implementation. For the cases under consideration, it was decided that grant of EC to such projects will be granted with the condition that the proponent shall submit time frame for activities being proposed under EMP within one month from the date of issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.

It was further decided that the SEAC may carefully examine the EMP & CSR component from that angle while appraising the case and ensure that the project proponent shall mention time frame of the activities proposed to be implemented under EMP & CSR components by the project proponent.
- 1.3 The Authority expressed concern for inadequate monitoring status of compliance to the conditions imposed in grant of Environmental Clearance. It was observed that due to various limitations there has been no regular monitoring of the concerned projects. It was decided that a checking drive of the projects shall be initiated and a team comprising of representatives from the DEST, PCB & Mining/ Industry Department may visit the project sites time to time as regular feature and submit its report to the Authority. Based upon the facts submitted in such reports, appropriate actions would be taken against the projects found not complying with conditions imposed on them especially under EMP & CSR components.
- 1.4 The Authority has directed the secretariat to expedite the execution of decision taken in 24th Meeting regarding framing of mechanism to start levying application processing fee from the projects applying for grant of Environmental Clearance. For facilitating the proposed framework, a meeting with the PCB be also organized forth-with.

ITEM NO. 2

Consideration of project proposals recommended by SEAC in its 44th Meeting held on 1st to 5th April, 2016 [as per SEAC Meetings held on Day-II to Day-IV i.e. 2nd, 4th, 5th April, 2016].

ITEMS AS PER MINUTES OF THE SEAC MEETING HELD ON 2ND APRIL 2016.

2.1.1 Sh. Naresh Kumar, M/s Naresh Construction Company, 97-B, Main Market, District-Bilaspur, Pin-174001, Himachal Pradesh.

Brief outline of the project:

- | | |
|---------------------|---|
| a) Project type | Mining of Minerals
(Extraction/collection of Shale) |
| b) Project Location | Khasra number 411 situated in Mohal & Mauza-Delag, Tehsil-Sadar, District-Bilaspur, Himachal Pradesh. |
| c) Capacity | 88,720 TPA. |
| d) Mining Area | 1-80-00 ha, 23-19 Bighas (Private land, hill slope) |
| e) EMP Cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 16.02 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 4.7 lakhs |
| f) CSR cost | Rs. 1.30 lakhs |

The case was represented before the SEAC in 44th Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I and fulfillment of following special condition:

- The project proponent shall install retaining wall along the road.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:

- The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.

2.1.2 Smt. Praveen Kalia, W/o Sh. G.K. Kalia, Near Post Office-Ram Nagar, Tehsil-Dharamshala, District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh. (04-54-75 ha)

Brief outline of the project:

- | | |
|---------------------|--|
| a) Project type | Mining of Minerals
(Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri) |
| b) Project Location | Khasra number 1/1 and 2 in Mauza Jangal Mohal-Bag of Tehsil-Jawali, District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh. |
| c) Capacity | 97,185 TPA. |
| d) Mining Area | 4-54-75 hectare |
| e) EMP Cost | Capital Cost: 1.2 lakhs; Recurring cost: 0.9 lakhs
(including CSR) |

The case was represented before SEAC in 44th Meeting. During presentation the project proponent stated that there is no Kulh (Canal) in nearby area but Panchayat has issued NOC with the condition that project proponent shall carry out mining 100 meter away from the Kulh and PP has submitted an affidavit w.r.t. same.

After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:

- The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.

2.1.3 Sh. Surjeet Singh, M/s Surjeet Stone Crusher, B-28, 3465, MIG flat, Phase-2, Urban Estate, Dugri Road, Ludhiana, Punjab, 141013.

Brief outline of the project:

- | | |
|---------------------|--|
| a) Project type | Mining of Minerals
(Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri) |
| b) Project Location | Khasra number 267/213/1 & 270/216/1 falling in Mauza-Rey Mohal Rehatpur of Tehsil-Fatehpur, District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh. |
| c) Capacity | 21,230 TPA |
| d) Mining Area | 2-45-38 hectare, Private land |
| e) EMP Cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 90 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.75 lakhs |
| f) CSR cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 90 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.18 lakhs |

The case was represented before the SEAC in 44th Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:

- The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.

2.1.4 Sh. Vijay Kumar, S/o Sh. Mangat Ram, Village & Post Office-Charatgarh, Tehsil-Una, Himachal Pradesh.

Brief outline of the project:

- | | |
|---------------------|--|
| a) Project type | Mining of Minerals
(Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri) |
| b) Project Location | Khasra number 1197/2 situated in Mauza & Mohal-Udaypur of Tehsil & District-Una, Himachal Pradesh. |
| c) Capacity | 72,960 metric tons of sand per year. |
| d) Mining Area | 03-99-79 ha |
| e) EMP Cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 1.10 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 1.55 lakhs |
| f) CSR cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 0.80 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.12 lakhs |

The case was represented before the SEAC in 44th Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:

- The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.

2.1.5 Sh. Satya Prakash Verma, S/O Sh. Padam Dass Verma, R/O Village-Mandar, P.O. Baldyan, Tehsil & District-Shimla, Pin-171 018, Himachal Pradesh.

Brief outline of the project:

- | | |
|---------------------|--|
| a) Project type | Mining of Minerals
(Extraction/collection of Sand & Stone) |
| b) Project Location | Khasra number 190 falling in Mauza/Mohal Mandar, Tehsil & District-Shimla, Himachal Pradesh. |
| c) Capacity | 7,446 TPA during 5 th year of mining. |
| d) Mining Area | 0-90-83 ha, Private land. |
| e) EMP Cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 2.65 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 1.85 lakhs |
| f) CSR cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 1.0 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.20 lakhs |

The case was represented before the SEAC in 44th Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:

- The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.

2.1.6 Sh. Nand Kishore, S/o Late Sh. Ved Brat, Village and Post Office-Guglehar, Tehsil-Amb, District-Una, Himachal Pradesh.

Brief outline of the project:

- | | |
|---------------------|---|
| a) Project type | Mining of Minerals
(Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri) |
| b) Project Location | Khasra number 2190, 2187, 2189 & 2191 situated in Up-Mohal, Piplu of Tehsi-Amb, District-Una, Himachal Pradesh. |
| c) Capacity | 8,460 TPA. |
| d) Mining Area | 00-81-31 ha, Private land. |
| e) EMP Cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 0.60 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 1.25 lakhs |
| f) CSR cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 0.40 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.12 lakhs |

The case was represented before the SEAC in 44th Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:

Shruti

- The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.

2.1.7 Sh. Kartar Singh, M/s Hari Om Stone Crusher, Mauza/Mohal-Mand Bhogarwan, Tehsil-Indora, District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh.

Brief outline of the project:

- | | |
|---------------------|---|
| a) Project type | Mining of Minerals
(Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri) |
| b) Project Location | Khasra number 10, 11 & 12, 12/1, 59, 63, 62, 74, 76 & 77 situated in Mohal & Mauza-Mand Bhorawan of Tehsil-Indora, District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh. |
| c) Capacity | 1,05,300 TPA (5,26,500 MT for the lease period of 5 year) |
| d) Mining Area | 4-92-32 ha (Private land, River bed) |
| e) EMP Cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 3.0 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.6 lakhs |
| f) CSR cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 2.0 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.1 lakhs |

The case was represented before the SEAC in 44th Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-1 and subject to the following special condition:

- The project proponent shall re-submit detailed revised muck management plan with quantification and location.

The project proponent vide letter dated 27/4/16 has submitted revised muck management plan.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:

- The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.

2.1.8 Sh. Naresh Kumar, S/o Sh. Dhanu Ram, Village-Dhart & P.O.-Saryanj, Tehsil-Arki, District-Solan, Himachal Pradesh. Mob: 98164 72419.

Brief outline of the project:

- | | |
|---------------------|---|
| a) Project type | Mining of Minerals
(Extraction of Stone) |
| b) Project Location | Khasra number 72/1 in Mauza & Mohal-Dhart in Tehsil-Arki, District-Solan, Himachal Pradesh. |
| c) Capacity | 27,000 TPA. |
| d) Mining Area | 22-01 Bighas/ 1.6630 ha. |
| e) EMP Cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 0.75 lakhs/annum; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.50 lakhs/annum. |
| f) CSR Cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 0.40 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 5000. |

The case was represented before the SEAC in 44th Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of

Crushed

environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I and subject to the following special condition:

- That the EC will be valid upto the period of valid mining lease.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:

- The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.

2.1.9 Sh. Hemraj Sharma, M/s Kamakasha Construction Company, Village-Javi, Post Office-Darlaghat, Tehsil-Arki, District-Solan, Himachal Pradesh.

Brief outline of the project:

- | | |
|---------------------|---|
| a) Project type | Mining of Minerals
(Extraction/collection of Stone) |
| b) Project Location | Khasra number 190/109/1 and 141/136/110/1 in Mohal & Mauza-Bhel, Tehsil-Arki, District-Solan, Himachal Pradesh. |
| c) Capacity | 15,912 TPA. |
| d) Mining Area | 0-607 ha, 8 Bighas (Private land, hill slope). |
| e) EMP Cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 5.3 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 2.27 lakhs |
| f) CSR cost | Rs. 2.00 lakhs |

The case was represented before the SEAC in 44th Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:

- The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.

2.1.10 Sh. Vishal Sharma, V.P.O. Maidangarh, Tehsil-Amb, District-Una, Himachal Pradesh, Mob: 98162 45666. (14-61-59 ha).

Brief outline of the project:

- | | |
|---------------------|---|
| a) Project type | Mining of Minerals
(Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri) |
| b) Project Location | Khasra number 1624, 1631 & 1656 in Mauza & Mohal-Joh Beh in Tehsil-Amb, District-Una, Himachal Pradesh. |
| c) Capacity | 4,70,250 MT for the leased period of 5 years. |
| d) Mining Area | 14-61-59 ha (Govt. land). |
| e) EMP Cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 5.5 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 1.0 lakhs. |
| f) CSR Cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 2.5 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.1 lakhs. |

The case was represented before the SEAC in 44th Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of

Opulay

environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:

- The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.

2.1.11 Sh. Bikram Singh Rana, S/o Late Sh. Ranjha Ram, Village & Post Office-Chhenchhari (Khera), Tehsil-Palampur, District-Kangra, 176 086, Himachal Pradesh.

Brief outline of the project:

- | | |
|---------------------|--|
| a) Project type | Mining of Minerals
(Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri) |
| b) Project Location | Khasra number 3 & 50/1 falling in Mauza Bandahu, Mohal Kotlu of Tehsil-Jaisinghpur, District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh. |
| c) Capacity | 54,000 TPA. |
| d) Mining Area | 4-80-04 hectare (Govt. land) |
| e) EMP Cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 2.70 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.72 lakhs |
| f) CSR cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 1.10 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.29 lakhs |

The case was represented before the SEAC in 44th Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:

- The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.

2.1.12 Sh. Prit Pal Singh, GPA, S/o Sh. Daljeet Singh, M/s New Randhawa Stone Crusher, Dhangu Majra Road, Post Office-Damtal, Tehsil-Indora, District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh (04-08-28 ha).

Brief outline of the project:

- | | |
|---------------------|--|
| a) Project type | Mining of Minerals
(Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri) |
| b) Project Location | Khasra number 1176/1 Mauza-Mohtli, Tehsil-Indora, District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh. |
| c) Capacity | 1,07,180 TPA. |
| d) Mining Area | 04-08-28 ha, (Private land, Terrace). |
| e) EMP Cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 2.70 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.47 lakhs |
| f) CSR cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 1.60 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.29 lakhs |

The case was represented before SEAC in 44th Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:

- The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.

2.1.13 Sh. Lakhwinder Singh, S/o Sh. Jagmel Singh, Flat No. 824, Phase-2, Mohali, Punjab, Pin-160062, Mob: 094172 75087. (4-08 Hectare).

Brief outline of the project:

- | | |
|---------------------|---|
| a) Project type | Mining of Minerals
(Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri) |
| b) Project Location | Khasra number 69 in Mauza & Mohal-Majri Paharuwala, Paonta Sahib, District-Sirmaur, Himachal Pradesh. |
| c) Capacity | 93,390 TPA. |
| d) Mining Area | 49-6 Bighas, 4.08 ha |
| e) EMP Cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 3.2 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 2.05 lakhs. |
| f) CSR Cost | Rs. 1.30 lakhs. |

The case was represented before the SEAC in 44th Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:

- The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.

2.1.14 Sh. Lakhwinder Singh, S/o Sh. Jagmel Singh, Flat No. 824, Phase-2, Mohali, Punjab, Pin-160062, Mob: 094172 75087. (4-35 Hectare).

Brief outline of the project:

- | | |
|---------------------|---|
| a) Project type | Mining of Minerals
(Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri) |
| b) Project Location | Khasra number 331/94, 330/94, 323/94, 324/94, 322/94 & 301/207 in Mauza & Mohal-Guruwala, Paonta Sahib, District-Sirmaur, Himachal Pradesh. |
| c) Capacity | 94,934 TPA. |
| d) Mining Area | 51-13 Bighas, 4.35 ha. |
| e) EMP Cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 6.2 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 3.85 lakhs. |
| f) CSR Cost | Rs. 1.30 lakhs. |

The case was represented before the SEAC in 44th Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:

- The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.

ITEMS AS PER MINUTES OF THE SEAC MEETING HELD ON 4TH APRIL 2016.

2.2.1 Sh. Gaurav Singh, Partner Shivam Stone Crusher, S/o Lt. Onkar Singh, R/o VPO Mohtli, Tehsil-Indora, District-Kangra, Pin-176 403, Himachal Pradesh.

Brief outline of the project:

- a) Project type Mining of Minerals
(Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri)
- b) Project Location Khasra number 17, 18, 19, 23 & 15/1 falling in Mohal Mauza-Mand Bhogrwana, Tehsil-Indora, District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh.
- c) Capacity 90,790 TPA.
- d) Mining Area 4-25-56 ha (Pvt. land).
- e) EMP Cost Capital Cost: Rs. 6.5 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 2.0 lakhs.
(including CSR cost)

The case was represented before the SEAC in 44th Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:

- The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.

2.2.2 Mr. Ran Vijay, S/o Sh. Des Raj, Village & Post Office-Nangra, Tehsil-Una, District-Una, Himachal Pradesh.

Brief outline of the project:

- a) Project type Mining of Minerals
(Extraction/collection of Sand & Bajri)
- b) Project Location Khasra number 1960, 1961, 1962, 1971, 1972, 1976, 1987 & 1973 falling in Mauza Nangra of Tehsil & District-Una, Himachal Pradesh.
- c) Capacity 26,100 TPA.
- d) Mining Area 2-84-13 hectare (Private land, river bed).
- e) EMP Cost Capital Cost: Rs. 0.50 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.9 lakhs.
(under EMP) and Capital Cost: Rs. 1.00 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 5,000 (under CSR).

The case was represented before the SEAC in 44th Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of

Handwritten signature

environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:

- The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.

2.2.3 Sh. Santosh Kumar, M/s Negi Stone Crusher, Village Dhar, P.O. Darpa, Tehsil Sarkaghat, Distt. Mandi, Himachal Pradesh.

Brief outline of the project:

- | | |
|---------------------|---|
| a) Project type | Mining of Minerals
(Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri) |
| b) Project Location | Khasra number 201,202,203,205 & 576/2 in Mauza-Shamlat of Tehsil-Sarkaghat, District-Mandi, Himachal Pradesh. |
| c) Capacity | 7,900 TPA. |
| d) Mining Area | 1-12-23 ha |
| e) EMP Cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 6.0 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 2.0 lakhs
(including CSR cost) |

The case was represented before SEAC in 44th Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I after submission of corrected cross sections.

The project proponent vide letter dated 26/4/2016 has re-submitted details of corrected cross sections.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:

- The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.

2.2.4 Sh. Sanjeev Guleria, M/s Devyani Builders Pvt. Ltd., R/o Village & Post Office-Leda, Tehsil-Balh, District-Mandi, Himachal Pradesh.

Brief outline of the project:

- | | |
|---------------------|---|
| a) Project type | Mining of Minerals
(Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri) |
| b) Project Location | Khasra number 84/31, 32, 86/36, 48 & 85/36 in Mauza-/Mohal-Padhanu/Makari of Tehsil-Sadar, District-Bilaspur, Himachal Pradesh. |
| c) Capacity | 37,427 TPA. |
| d) Mining Area | 25-07 Bighas/ 1.8 ha., (Pvt. land, river bed). |
| e) EMP Cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 2.75 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 1.84 lakhs. |
| f) CSR Cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 1.74 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.24 lakhs. |

Shukla

The case was represented before SEAC in 44th Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:

- The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.

2.2.5 Sh. Chunni Lal & Mohinder Pal, M/s Verma Stone Crusher, Village & Post Office-Kumarsain, Tehsil-Kumarsain, District-Shimla, 172029, Himachal Pradesh.

Brief outline of the project:

- | | | |
|----|------------------|--|
| a) | Project type | Mining of Minerals
(Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri) |
| b) | Project Location | Khasra number 326/298/1 falling in Mauza Kot/567, Tehsil-Karsog, District-Mandi, Himachal Pradesh. |
| c) | Capacity | 20,200 TPA. |
| d) | Mining Area | 36-00-16 Bighas (Govt. land, diverted land) |
| e) | EMP Cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 4.7 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 3.62 lakhs |
| f) | CSR cost | Cost: 1.55 lakhs. |

The case was represented before the SEAC in 44th Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I and after submission of photograph of sign board at mining site showing details of the project.

The project proponent on dated 23/4/2016 has submitted photograph of sign board showing details of the project.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:

- The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.

2.2.6 Sh. Parlad Singh, M/s New Nurpur Stone Crusher, Village & Post Office-Kandwal, Tehsil- Nurpur, District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh.

Brief outline of the project:

- | | | |
|----|------------------|--|
| a) | Project type | Mining of Minerals
(Collection/Extraction of Sand, Stone & Bajri) |
| b) | Project Location | Khasra number 154/1/1 at Mauza/Mohal Maira Dumal/Maira Batrah of Tehsil-Nurpur, District-Kangra. |
| c) | Capacity | 38,000 MTP. |
| d) | Mining Area | 4-99-19 ha on Private land, river bed. |
| e) | EMP Cost | Capital cost: Rs. 2.50 lakhs, Recurring cost: Rs. 0.35 lakhs |
| f) | CSR Cost | Capital cost: Rs. 1.20 lakhs, Recurring cost: Rs. 0.12 lakhs |

Shundal

The case was represented before the SEAC in 44th Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I and resubmit 500 meter distance from other mines certificate as per prescribed format from State Geologist, Deptt. of Industries.

The project proponent has submitted 500 meter distance from other mines certificate as per prescribed format from State Geologist, Deptt. of Industries.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:

- The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.

2.2.7 Sh. Indresh Kumar, M/s Sharma Stone Crusher, Village-Taraaur, P.O. Shaigali, Tehsil-Chachyot, District-Mandi, 175 029 H.P.

Brief outline of the project:

- | | |
|---------------------|--|
| a) Project type | Mining of Minerals
(Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri) |
| b) Project Location | Khasra number 724 & 726 falling in Mauza Taraaur, Tehsil-Chachyot, District-Mandi, Himachal Pradesh. |
| c) Capacity | 13,000 MTA. |
| d) Mining Area | 9-16-08 Bighas/ 0.7947 ha. (Private land, hill slope). |
| e) EMP Cost | Capital Cost: Rs.1.40 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs.0.77 lakhs. |
| f) CSR Cost | Rs. 30,000/- |

The case was represented before the SEAC in 44th Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:

- The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.

2.2.8 Sh. Brij Mohan Sharma, M/s Sharma Stone Crusher, Village & Post Office-Bhumti, Tehsil-Arki, District-Solan, 173221, Himachal Pradesh.

Brief outline of the project:

- | | |
|---------------------|--|
| a) Project type | Mining of Minerals
(Extraction of Stone) |
| b) Project Location | Khasra number 29/1 (15-12 Bighas) falling in Mauza & Mohal Basantpur in Tehsil-Arki, District-Solan, Himachal Pradesh. |
| c) Capacity | 24,700 MTA. |
| d) Mining Area | 15-12 Bighas, 1.137 hectare (Private land, hill slope) |
| e) EMP Cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 0.80 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.57 lakhs |
| f) CSR cost | Rs. 75,000 lakhs. |

Shrimal

The case was represented before the SEAC in 44th Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:

- The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.

2.2.9 Sh. Parvesh Kumar, Barwas Limestone Mine, Village & Post Office-Kamroo, Tehsil-Kamroo, District-Sirmaur, 173 029, Himachal Pradesh.

Brief outline of the project:

- | | |
|---------------------|---|
| a) Project type | Mining of Minerals
(Extraction of Lime Stone from Barwas Limestone Mine) |
| b) Project Location | Khasra number 1505/753/1, 1505/753/2, 1717/1503 & 1716/1503 in village-Kamroo, Tehsil-Paonta Sahib, District-Sirmaur, Himachal Pradesh. |
| c) Capacity | 66,540 TPA. |
| d) Mining Area | 4.157 hectare |
| e) EMP Cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 0.65 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 2.41 lakhs |
| f) CSR cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 1.00 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.50 lakhs |

The case was represented before the SEAC in 44th Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:

- The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.

2.2.10 Sh. Naveen Kumar Sharma, Village-Devdhar, P.O. Bali Chowki, Sub Tehsil-Bali Chowki, District-Mandi, Pin-175 106 Himachal Pradesh.

Brief outline of the project:

- | | |
|---------------------|--|
| a) Project type | Mining of Minerals
(Extraction of Stone) |
| b) Project Location | Khasra number 1369/ 1086 falling in Mauza Devdhar, Mohal Rahi, Sub-Tehsil-Bali Chowki, District-Mandi, Himachal Pradesh. |
| c) Capacity | 9,500 MTA stones per annum. |
| d) Mining Area | 04.14.05 Bighas, 0.3350 hectare (Pvt. Land, hill slope). |
| e) EMP Cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 0.95 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.57 lakhs. |

The case was represented before the SEAC in 44th Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:

- The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.

2.2.11 Sh. Gian Chand, S/o Sh. Chuni Lal, V.P.O. Bharmoti, Tehsil-Nadaun, District-Hamirpur, Himachal Pradesh.

Brief outline of the project:

- | | |
|---------------------|---|
| a) Project type | Mining of Minerals
(Collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri) |
| b) Project Location | Khasra number 1,2,4,5, 18 & 19 falling in Mauza/Mohal Bhadoli/Adhawani of Tehsil-Dehra, District-Kangra, H.P. |
| c) Capacity | 36,450 TPA from Beas river bed. |
| d) Mining Area | 04-68-52 hectare (private land, river bed) |
| e) EMP Cost | Capital cost: Rs. 6.5 lakhs, Recurring cost: Rs. 5.70 lakhs. |
| f) CSR Cost | Rs. 1.20 lakhs. |

The case was represented before SEAC in 44th Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I and after submission of certificate from Ground Water Authority.

The project proponent has submitted the NOC from IPH Sub Division, Dehra regarding use of ground water.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:

- The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.

2.2.12 Sh. Rajender Singh Prop. M/s Ashapuri Stone Crusher, Village & Post Office-Hurla, Tehsil & District-Kullu, Himachal Pradesh.

Brief outline of the project:

- | | |
|---------------------|---|
| a) Project type | Mining of Minerals
(Extraction of Stone) |
| b) Project Location | Khasra number 2888/2567/2 falling in Mohal Diyar, Mauza Kot Kandi of Tehsil & District-Kullu, Himachal Pradesh. |
| c) Capacity | 21,000 TPA. |
| d) Mining Area | 21.03.12 Bighas (Pvt. Land, Hill slope). |
| e) EMP Cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 2.70 Lakhs, Recurring Cost: Rs. 12,000/- |

The case was represented before the SEAC in 44th Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:

- The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.

2.2.13 Sh. Ganesh Dutt Sharma, S/O Sh. Diwakar Dutt Sharma, Village-Talona, P.O. Kakkarhatti, District-Solan, Himachal Pradesh.

Brief outline of the project:

- | | |
|---------------------|--|
| a) Project type | Mining of Minerals
(Extraction of Stone) |
| b) Project Location | Khasra number 4&5 at Mauza Mohal Bugar Kaneta, Solan, H.P. |
| c) Capacity | 20,000 TPA. |
| d) Mining Area | 26-15-00 Bighas (3.0067 ha). |
| e) EMP Cost | Capital cost as Rs. 2.0 lakhs and Recurring cost as Rs. 1.2 lakhs. |

The case was represented before the SEAC in 44th Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:

- The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.

2.2.14 M/s Yashwinder Singh, S/o Sh. Milap Singh, Village-Nirath, Post Office-Duttnager, Tehsil-Rampur Bushehar, District-Shimla, 172 001, Himachal Pradesh.

Brief outline of the project:

- | | |
|---------------------|--|
| a) Project type | Mining of Minerals
(Extraction/collection of Stone from hill slope) |
| b) Project Location | Khasra number 1838, 1839, 1840 & 1841 falling in Mauza/Mohal-Nirath, Tehsil-Rampur, District-Shimla, Himachal Pradesh. |
| c) Capacity | 21,660 TPA. |
| d) Mining Area | 1-53-62 ha (Private land) |
| e) EMP Cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 5.60 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 2.60 lakhs |
| f) CSR cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 1.0 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.30 lakhs |

The case was represented before the SEAC in 44th Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of

Shimla

environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:

- The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.

2.2.15 Sh. Labh Singh, Prop. M/s R.K. Industries, Village & Post Office-Gutkar, Tehsil & District-Mandi, 175 004, Himachal Pradesh.

Brief outline of the project:

- | | |
|---------------------|---|
| a) Project type | Mining of Minerals
(Extraction of Stone) |
| b) Project Location | Khasra number 552, 553, 554, 555, 559, 560, 565 (957/565 & 958/565), 556 & (563 old Khasra number) (563/1 & 563/2 new Khasra number) falling in Mauza Gurkotha, Mohal Khuddi, Tehsil-Sadar, District-Mandi, Himachal Pradesh. |
| c) Capacity | 25,000 TPA |
| d) Mining Area | 13-13-11 bighas/ 1.37 ha, (private land, hill slope). |
| e) EMP Cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 1.45 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 3.80 lakhs |

The case was represented before the SEAC in 44th Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:

- The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.

ITEMS AS PER MINUTES OF THE SEAC MEETING HELD ON 5TH APRIL 2016.

2.3.1 Sh. Tarsem Lal Soni, S/o Sh. Sukhdyal Soni, Surajkund Road, Kangra, District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh.

Brief outline of the project:

- | | |
|---------------------|--|
| a) Project type | Mining of Minerals
(Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri) |
| b) Project Location | Khasra number 1890/1 at Mauza Mohal Mundla, Tehsil & District-Kangra, H.P. |
| c) Capacity | 16,200 TPA. |
| d) Mining Area | 4-86-20 ha (Govt. land, river bed). |
| e) EMP Cost | Capital cost as Rs. 5.0 lakhs and Recurring cost as Rs. 2.0 lakhs/annum. |

The case was represented before the SEAC in 44th Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of

Squid

environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:

- The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.

2.3.2 Sh. Nirbhay Bhatnagar, R/O H. No. 2/365, Sunder Colony Nahan, P.O. & Tehsil-Nahan, District-Sirmaur, Himachal Pradesh.

Brief outline of the project:

- | | |
|---------------------|---|
| a) Project type | Mining of Minerals
(Extraction/collection of Sand) |
| b) Project Location | Khasra number 5 falling in Mauza & Mohal Jokhari, Tehsil & District- Solan, Himachal Pradesh. |
| c) Capacity | 15,000 TPA. |
| d) Mining Area | 11-09-00 Bigha, 0.8872 hectare, Pvt. Land/hill slope. |
| e) EMP Cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 2.79 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 2.04 lakhs |
| f) CSR cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 1.50 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.25 lakhs |

The case was represented before SEAC in 44th Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:

- The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.

2.3.3 Sh. Hitesh Sharma, M/s AAR ESS Stone Crusher, Village & Post Office-Kuthar Beet, Tehsil-Haroli, District-Una, Himachal Pradesh.

Brief outline of the project:

- | | |
|---------------------|--|
| a) Project type | Mining of Minerals
(Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri) |
| b) Project Location | Khasra number 1200/1 falling in Mauza Gondpur Bulla Uperla, Tehsil-Haroli, District-Una, Himachal Pradesh. |
| c) Capacity | 3,09,780 TPA. |
| d) Mining Area | 4-45-08 hectare (Pvt. Land, hill slope). |
| e) EMP Cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 5.39 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 4.14 lakhs. |
| f) CSR cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 1.74 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.24 lakhs. |

The case was represented before the SEAC in 44th Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I.

Scanned

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:

- The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.

2.3.4 Sh. Ajay Rana, M/s Mahadev Stone Crusher, Village-Salah, Post Office Bhojpur, Tehsil-Sunder Nagar, District-Mandi Himachal Pradesh. Mob: 94180 60138, 97360 10990.

Brief outline of the project:

- | | |
|---------------------|---|
| a) Project type | Mining of Minerals
(Extraction of Stone) |
| b) Project Location | Khasra number 160/1 at Mauza Maloh, Mohal Nalani in Tehsil-Sundernagar, District-Mandi, Himachal Pradesh. |
| c) Capacity | 10,830 TPA. |
| d) Mining Area | 5.01.11 Bigha/ 0.4055 ha. (Diversified Forest Land, hill slope). |
| e) EMP Cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 0.70 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.62 lakhs |

The case was represented before the SEAC in 44th Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:

- The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.

2.3.5 Sh. Jagat Pal, M/s Jagat Pal Stone Crusher, Village-Kothi & Post Office-Rani Kotla, Tehsil-Sadar, District-Bilaspur, 174 021, Himachal Pradesh.

Brief outline of the project:

- | | |
|---------------------|--|
| a) Project type | Mining of Minerals
(Extraction/collection of Stone) |
| b) Project Location | Khasra number 35/13 (6-19 Bighas) & 26 (1-06 Bighas) falling in Mauza & Mohal Bharater, Tehsil-Sadar, District-Bilaspur, Himachal Pradesh. |
| c) Capacity | 20,000 TPA (55,300 Tonnes for 5 years) |
| d) Mining Area | 8-05-00 Bighas, 0.6057 hectare, Pvt. land |
| e) EMP Cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 1.7 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 1.0 lakhs |
| f) CSR cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 60,000, Recurring cost: 12,000. |

The case was represented before the SEAC in 44th Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:

Shweta

- The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.

2.3.6 Sh. Kishan Lal Goel, M/s Shiva Stone Crusher, Village & Post Office-Dhamandri, Tehsil-Una, District-Una, 171006, Himachal Pradesh.

Brief outline of the project:

- | | | |
|----|------------------|--|
| a) | Project type | Mining of Minerals
(Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri) |
| b) | Project Location | Khasra number 3696/3330, 3591, 3695/3330, 3709/3590 in Mauza and Mohal Dhamandri of Tehsil & District-Una, Himachal Pradesh. |
| c) | Capacity | 12,375 TPA. |
| d) | Mining Area | 01-16-96 hectare (Private land, river bed) |
| e) | EMP Cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 7.70 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.37 lakhs |
| f) | CSR cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 1.60 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.29 lakhs |

The case was represented before the SEAC in 44th Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:

- The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.

2.3.7 Sh. Tek Chand, S/o Late Sh. Bhima Ram, Village-Ralidhar & P.O. Banthal, Tehsil-Karsog, District-Mandi, 171 304, Himachal Pradesh.

Brief outline of the project:

- | | | |
|----|------------------|--|
| a) | Project type | Mining of Minerals
(Extraction of cut Stone) |
| b) | Project Location | Khasra number 637, 638/1, 638/2, 638/3, 660/1, 660/2, 660/3 & 661 falling in Mauza Banthal, Mohal Rikkee/413 in Tehsil Karsog, District-Mandi, Himachal Pradesh. |
| c) | Capacity | 11,400 TPA. |
| d) | Mining Area | 10.06.11 Bighas, 0.835 ha (Private land, hill slope) |
| e) | EMP Cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 4.1 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 3.32 lakhs |
| f) | CSR Cost | Rs. 50,000/- |

The case was represented before the SEAC in 44th Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I and and subject to prior submission of 500 meter distance certificate duly signed by State Geologist, Deptt. of Industries.

The project proponent has submitted 500 meter distance certificate of State Geologist, Deptt. of Industries vide letter dated 22/4/2016.

Shudh

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:

- The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.

2.3.8 Sh. Amar Chand Sharma, Village-Lachori & Post Office-Thakur Matti, Tehsil-Bhalai, District-Chamba, 176 308, Himachal Pradesh.

Brief outline of the project:

- | | |
|---------------------|---|
| a) Project type | Mining of Minerals
(Extraction of sand, stone & bajri) |
| b) Project Location | Khasra number 673/484/1 falling at Mauza Jenna, Bhalai, Chamba, Himachal Pradesh. |
| c) Capacity | 10,110 TPA. |
| d) Mining Area | 13-00 Bighas, 1.0520 ha (Govt. land acquired by NHPC) |
| e) EMP Cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 0.90 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs.0.85 lakhs |
| f) CSR cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 0.80 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs.0.60 lakhs |

The case was represented before the SEAC in 44th Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:

- The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.

2.3.9 Sh. Rajinder Singh, M/s Bharwana Lime Stone, Village-Ranfua (Jabrog), Post-Andheri, Tehsil-Sangrah, District-Sirmaur, H.P.

Brief outline of the project:

- | | |
|---------------------|---|
| a) Project type | Mining of Minerals
(Extraction of Lime Stone) |
| b) Project Location | Khasra number 1224, 1443/1228, 1444/1228, 1229, 1230 & 1356 falling in Mauza Mandoli, District-Sirmaur, Himachal Pradesh. |
| c) Capacity | 39,375 TPA. |
| d) Mining Area | 2.90 ha/ 36.19 Bighas (Private land). |
| e) EMP Cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 0.55 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.65 lakhs. |
| f) CSR cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 1.00 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 1.10 lakhs. |

The case was represented before the SEAC in 44th Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I.

Checked

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:

- The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.

2.3.10 Sh. Dharamvir Thakur, Prop. Shivalik Stone Crusher, Village & Post Office-Rangas, Tehsil-Nadaun, District-Hamirpur, Himachal Pradesh.

Brief outline of the project:

- | | |
|---------------------|---|
| a) Project type | Mining of Minerals
(Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri from river bed) |
| b) Project Location | Khasra number 143/131/2 (Old)/ 168/143/131 (New) falling in Mohal Bari, Mauza Naungi, Tehsil-Nadaun, District-Hamirpur, Himachal Pradesh. |
| c) Capacity | 34,425 TPA. |
| d) Mining Area | 4-05-33 hectare (Private land). |
| e) EMP Cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 0.6 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 3.50 lakhs. |
| f) CSR Cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 1.25 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.30 lakhs. |

The case was represented before the SEAC in 44th Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:

- The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.

2.3.11 Sh. D.N. Badarinarayan, M/s Indian Oil Corporation Ltd. No. G-9, Ali Yavar Jung Marg, Bandra (E), Mumbai, 400 51.

Brief outline of the project:

- | | |
|---------------------|---|
| a) Project type | Isolated storage & handling of hazardous chemicals (As per threshold planning quantity indicated in column 3 of schedule 2 & 3 of MSIHC Rules 1989 amended 2000). |
| b) Project Location | Khewat no. 141 (min) khatauni no. 533 (min), Khasra number 1/2, 161/2, 162, 191/2, 192/2, 193/2, 1023, 1024, 1025, 1026/2, 1026/5, 1027 kitta 12. |
| c) Capacity | Class A Tanks: 26,440 KL
Class B Tanks: 61,260 KL
Total Capacity: 87,700 KL |
| d) Proposed Area | 29-93-29 Hectares. |

The case was listed in 39th, 41st & 43rd Meeting of SEAC wherein the case application was recommended by SEAC that the grant of environmental clearance may be considered by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions stipulated by SEAC.

The project proponent on 09.03.2015 has re-submitted the Form-1 with enhanced capacity i.e. 87,700 KL instead of 85,900 KL.

The case was referred to the SEAC again and after deliberating on the revised capacity proposed by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-II and subject to prior submission of following documents:

- The project proponent shall submit the copy of approval of Ministry of Petroleum & Gas, Govt. of India for installation of proposed storage capacity/ copy of approval from State Government to install the project.
- The project proponent shall submit the approval under MSIHC Rules 1989 amended 2000 from competent Authority.
- The project proponent shall submit the approved on-site/ off-site emergency plans under prescribed norms from competent Authority.

A representation on above conditions has been received from the project proponent on dated 20/04/2016.

After deliberating on the recommendations of the SEAC the Authority decided that the project proponent to be called for making presentation on 2/5/2016 at 12:00 Noon before the SEIAA.

2.3.12 Sh. Rajinder Singh, S/o Sh. Shawnu Singh, Village-Nei Neti, P.O. Shargaon, Tehsil-Rajgarh, Distt. Sirmaur, H.P.

Brief outline of the project:

- | | | |
|----|------------------|--|
| a) | Project type | Mining of Minerals
(Collection/Extraction of Sand, Stone & Bajri) |
| b) | Project Location | Khasra number 405/2/3, 405/1/2/1, 405/1/1/2, 406/1/1/2, 406/2/2/1 at Mauza Nei Neti, Tehsil-Rajgarh, District-Sirmaur, Himachal Pradesh. |
| c) | Capacity | 8,280 TPA. |
| d) | Mining Area | 0.87 hectare (10-8 Bighas), private land. |
| e) | EMP Cost | Capital cost: Rs. 3.5 lakhs, Recurring cost: Rs. 1.2 lakhs. |

The case was represented before the SEAC in 44th Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:

- The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.

2.3.13 Sh. Jagdish Anand, GPA, Barwas Limestone Mine, M/s R.P. Anand & Sons, V.P.O. Sataun, Tehsil-Paonta Sahib, District-Sirmaur, 173 023, Himachal Pradesh.

Brief outline of the project:

- | | | |
|----|------------------|---|
| a) | Project type | Mining of Minerals
(Extraction of Lime Stone from Barwas Limestone Mine) |
| b) | Project Location | Khasra number 1943/1619/1416/1, 1942/1461, 1966/1621/1461, 1967/1621/1461, 1622/1461/1, 1623/1461/1, 1673/1463, |

1675/1463/1 & 1683/1463/1 in village-Barwas, Tehsil-Paonta Sahib, District-Sirmaur, Himachal Pradesh.

- | | |
|----------------|--|
| c) Capacity | 35,000 TPA. |
| d) Mining Area | 4.91 hectare/ 59-0 Bigha. (Private Land). |
| e) EMP Cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 0.45 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 1.035 lakhs. |
| f) CSR Cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 2.00 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.12 lakhs. |

The case was represented before the SEAC in 44th Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the Committee observed that the total area of the case is less than 25 hectares, therefore, case falls under the category 'B2'. Hence, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:

- The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.

2.3.14 Sh. Arun Grover, M/s Giri Lime & Chemicals, Badrinagar, Tehsil-Paonta Sahib, District-Sirmaur, Himachal Pradesh.

Brief outline of the project:

- | | |
|---------------------|---|
| a) Project type | Mining of Minerals
(Extraction of Lime Stone) |
| b) Project Location | Khasra number 520/1 falling in Mauza Chowki Mrigwal, Tehsil-Paonta Sahib, District-Sirmaur, Himachal Pradesh. |
| c) Capacity | 20,000 TPA from hill slope. |
| d) Mining Area | 47-14 Bighas, 4.6 hectare |
| e) EMP Cost | Capital Cost: Rs. 3.9 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 2.75 lakhs |
| f) CSR cost | Rs.1.50 lakhs. |

The case was represented before the SEAC in 44th Meeting. After deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:

- The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.

3. Sh. Vishal Aggarwal, S/o Sh. Nehar Singh, 12/10, Ashirwad Enclave, Ballupur, Dehradun, Uttarakhand.

The project proponent Sh. Vishal Aggarwal S/o Sh. Nehar Singh vide letter dated 21/3/2016 has requested remove the following condition imposed in grant of environment clearance issued dated 14/5/2015:

"The proponent shall deposit with HPPWD Rs. 1 lakhs/annum to maintain the PWD road being used by the project proponent from mining site to crusher site"

The copy of writ petition, order of Hon'ble High Court, Minutes of the SEAC Meeting and grant of EC has also been enclosed with the representation submitted by the project proponent.

After careful consideration of the representation of the applicant and orders of the Hon'ble High Court, Himachal Pradesh vide CWP No. 3949 of 214-E dated 8/3/2016 it was decided that the condition to deposit with HPPWD Rs. 1 lakhs/annum to maintain the PWD road imposed in EC Letter No. HPSEIAA/2012/127-Sh. Vishal Aggarwal dated 14/5/2015 vide Sr. No. 20 recommended earlier by the SEAC be withdrawn being unfair and un-warranted. The Member Secretary, SEIAA to issue necessary corrigendum accordingly.

The Meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.


(A.K. Lal)
Member Secretary
HPSEIAA


(S.S. Parmar)
Chairperson
HPSEIAA

Dated 5/5/2016, Shimla-1.



State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority

Himachal Pradesh

Ministry of Environment Forest & Climate Change, Government of India,

at Department of Environment Science & Technology,

Paryavaran Bhawan, Near US Club, Shimla-1

Ph: 0177-2656559, 2659608, Fax: 2659609

No. SEIAA/26th Meeting/2016-

Dated: 5/5/2016

PROCEEDINGS OF 26th MEETING OF SEIAA, HIMACHAL PRADESH (DAY-II), HELD UNDER THE CHAIRPERSONSHIP OF SH. S.S. PARMAR, IAS (RETD.) IN THE CONFERENCE HALL OF DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT, SCI. & TECH., PARYAVARAN BHAWAN, SHIMLA-1 ON 2ND MAY 2016 AT 12:00 NOON.

At the outset, the Member Secretary (HPSEIAA) extended warm welcome to Sh. S.S. Parmar, IAS (Retd.), Chairman, HPSEIAA. With the permission of the Chair, following items were discussed:

ITEM NO. 1:

1.1 Sh. D.N. Badarinarayan, M/s Indian Oil Corporation Ltd. No. G-9, Ali Yavar Jung Marg, Bandra (E), Mumbai, 400 51.

Brief outline of the project:

- a) Project type Isolated storage & handling of hazardous chemicals (As per threshold planning quantity indicated in column 3 of schedule 2 & 3 of MSIHC Rules 1989 amended 2000).
- b) Project Location Khewat no. 141 (min) khatauni no. 533 (min), Khasra number 1/2, 161/2, 162, 191/2, 192/2, 193/2, 1023, 1024, 1025, 1026/2, 1026/5, 1027 kitta 12.
- c) Capacity Class A Tanks: 26,440 KL
Class B Tanks: 61,260 KL
Total Capacity: 87,700 KL
- d) Proposed Area 29-93-29 Hectares.

The case was listed in 39th, 41st & 43rd Meeting of SEAC wherein the case application was recommended by SEAC that the grant of environmental clearance may be considered by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions stipulated by SEAC.

The project proponent on 09.03.2015 has re-submitted the Form-1 with enhanced capacity i.e. 87,700 Kl instead of 85,900 Kl.

The case was referred to the SEAC again and after deliberating on the revised capacity proposed by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-II and subject to prior submission of following documents:

- The project proponent shall submit the copy of approval of Ministry of Petroleum & Gas, Govt. of India for installation of proposed storage capacity/ copy of approval from State Government to install the project.
- The project proponent shall submit the approval under MSIHC Rules 1989 amended 2000 from competent Authority.
- The project proponent shall submit the approved on-site/ off-site emergency plans under prescribed norms from competent Authority.

A representation on above conditions has been received from the project proponent on dated 20/04/2016.

The case was discussed in 26th Meeting (Day-I) dated 29th April, 2016. It was decided that the project proponent be called for making presentation on 2/5/2016 at 12:00 Noon before the Authority.

On 2nd May, 2016 the representatives of the M/s Indian Oil Corporation Ltd. Sh. D.N. Badarinarayan, Dy. General Manger (Engg.) and Sh. Timir Shah, Sr. Env. Engineer made presentation before the SEIAA stating clarification and submission on observations as under:-

A. Project proponent to submit copy of approval of MOPNG, GOI/ State Govt. to install the project.

It was clarified by the project proponent that the company is a "Maharatna company", hence a separate approval by Ministry of Petroleum & Natural Gas, GoI was not required. The decision of IOCL Board is a deemed approval for setting up the proposed petroleum storage terminal at Pekhubella, Una, HP. Copy of the IOCL Board approval vide Agenda M/1621 dated 12/2/2016 for setting up the petroleum storage terminal at Pekhubella, Una, HP was submitted to the SEIAA.

B. Project proponent shall submit approval under MSIHC rules 1989 (amended 2000) from competent authority..

It was submitted by the PP that the Environmental Clearance for the proposed project is covered under the item 6(b) [Isolated Storage & Handling of Hazardous Chemicals (as per threshold planning quantity indicated in Column 3 of Schedule 2 & 3 of MSIHC Rules 1989 amended 2000)] of EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006. Further, General Conditions under Sl. No. 6(b) of the schedule is applicable for this project as the proposed site is beyond 10 km from the inter-state boundaries of HP and also other conditions not applicable. The Clearance for the proposed project under Category 'B' mentioned in the schedule shall be the approval under MSIHC Rules as per EIA Notification and no separate approval shall be required from competent authority i.e. MoEF thereafter.

C. Project proponent shall submit approved On-site/ Off-Site Emergency Plans from competent authority..


It was clarified that the On-Site and Off-Site Emergency Plans were submitted to the Office of Labour Commissioner cum Chief Inspector of Factories, Govt. of HP based on which a Site Appraisal Committee (SAC) evaluated the project along with visit to the proposed site in January, 16. Based on the site appraisal, the recommendations have also been made for proposed project to be set up at Pekhubella, Una. The Inspectorate of Factories, Govt. of HP is competent authority for approval of both On-Site Emergency Plan and inputs for Off-Site Emergency Plans. Once the project is all set to commission with name and designation of officer, managers, officials on respective site the information will be submitted to Inspector of factory by name and address. Therefore the approval of OSEP will be issued and once the OSEP are approved and issued before commissioning of the project, we undertake to submit copy of the approval towards On-Site/Off-Site Emergency Plans to your good office.

Since EC is one of the statutory clearances required to be obtained for setting up the oil terminal before undertaking construction, the approval for On-Site/ Off-Site Emergency Plans may not be linked to award of EC at this stage.

After deliberating on the submission of project proponent and considering the facts placed before the SEIAA, recommendation of the SEAC; the Authority considered and approved the case for grant of Environmental Clearance with following additional conditions:

- The project proponent shall submit the copies of approved On-Site/ Off-Site Emergency Plans to the SEIAA before commissioning of the project.
- The project proponent shall obtain necessary authorization under Hazardous Waste (Management & Handling) Rules from SPCB before start of operations.

The Meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.


(A.K. Lal)
Member Secretary
HPSEIAA


(S.S. Parmar)
Chairperson
HPSEIAA

Dated 5/5/2016, Shimla-1.