At the outset, the Member Secretary (HPSEIAA) extended warm welcome to Sh. S.S. Parmar, IAS (Retd.), Chairman, HPSEIAA. With the permission of the Chair, following agenda items were discussed:

ITEM NO. 1:

Confirmation of the Minutes of the 33rd Meeting of the SEIAA held 4th & 5th Sept. 2017.

There were no additional comments on minutes of 33rd Meeting of HPSEIAA, hence, the minutes of the 33rd Meeting of HPSEIAA were confirmed.

ITEM NO. 2: Cases recommended by SEAC in 56th Meeting:

2.1 Sh. Gurjant Singh, S/O Sh. Labh Singh, V.P.O. Naulakha, Tehsil & District-Fatehgarh Sahib, Punjab.

Brief outline of the project:

a) Proposal No. SIA/HP/MIN/67614/2017 dated 23.08.2017

b) Project type Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri.

c) Project Location Khasra Numbers 1600, 1599, 1594, 1593, 1587, 1561, 1562, 1586, 1579, 1563, 1564, 1585, 1580, 1578, 1565, 1566, 1567, 1573, 1572, 1570, 1569 & 1568 falling in Mauza-Mohal-Nangran, Jhikla of Tehsil & District-Unna, Himachal Pradesh.

d) Capacity 11,22,680 MT for the leased period of five years.

e) Mining Area 10-28-80 ha., Govt. land

f) EMP Cost Capital Cost: Rs. 1.5 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.5 lakhs/ annum

g) CSR Cost Capital Cost: Rs. 1.0 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.10 lakhs/ annum

The proposed project is extraction of Sand, Stone & Bajri. The proposed area is located in the river bed (Govt. land) of Swan River. As proposed the mining will be done manually as well as in a mechanized way.

The proposed project has submitted report on 23.08.2017 and online on dated 23.08.2017.

The case was listed in 55th SEAC but the Project Proponent did not appear before the Committee. The case was again listed in 56th meeting of SEAC.

The SEAC examined the certificates issued by the mining officer vide no. Udyog (Bhu) Khani-Laghu Auction Sh. Raman Kumar-757 dated 22-08-2017 and revised No. Udyog (Bhu)-Laghu-UNA-Mining Leases-867 dated 11-09-2017 w.r.t. mining leases located within 500 meters radius of the present project location. It was clarified by the Project proponent that the certificate issued by the Mining Department on dated 18-08-2017 was issued on the basis of Auctions of all the Blocks irrespective of the distance between mining leases and their present status whether these are operational or not. There are five Blocks which have been auctioned by the Mining Department and the distance between some of mining leases is greater than 500 meters. Therefore on the request of Project Proponent the Mining Department has issued revised certificate.
After deliberating on the information submitted and considering the facts placed before the committee, and that the Mining Department has issued the certificate of existing mining leases within 500 meters radius of the present case, according to which at present the total cluster area comes out to be less than 25 ha, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I and subject to prior fulfillment of following observations.

- The Project Proponent shall resubmit the NOC from Gram Panchayat.
- The Project Proponent shall resubmit EMP with complete details of activities.
- The Project Proponent shall submit NOC from the concerned authority of primary school for construction of toilets.

In addition the SEAC also recommended that the condition be imposed to the Project Proponent Sh. Gurjant Singh, S/o Sh. Labh Singh, V.P.O. Naulakha, Tehsil & District-Fatehgarh Sahib, Punjab for his proposed project in HP to join and take part in the public hearing jointly with the prospective proponents likely to come up for environmental clearance in future falling within 500 meters of radius under various Blocks of the auction vide notification of Industries Department for District Una vide No, Udyog-Bhu (Khin-4) Laghoo24/2003 dated 17-05-2017, and in case any issue is raised by the public and same is recommended by the concerned authority which may be required to be attended by the proponent Sh. Gurjant Singh, S/o Sh. Labh Singh, V.P.O. Naulakha, Tehsil & District-Fatehgarh Sahib, Punjab for his proposed project in HP, the compliance to same shall be ensured by them as well.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC subject to the following additional conditions:

- The Project Proponent shall submit component wise time frame for implementation of EMP & CSR as proposed along with costs, within one month of the issuance of EC letter. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by HPSPCB and shall complete/implement the CSR within six months from the issuance of Consent to Operate.
- The Project Proponent for his proposed project in HP shall join and take part in the public hearing jointly with the prospective proponents likely to come up for environmental clearance in future falling within 500 meters of radius under various Blocks of the auction vide notification of Industries Department for District Una vide No, Udyog-Bhu (Khin-4) Laghoo24/2003 dated 17-05-2017, and in case any issue is raised by the public and same is recommended by the concerned authority which may be required to be attended by the proponent Sh. Gurjant Singh, S/o Sh. Labh Singh, V.P.O. Naulakha, Tehsil & District-Fatehgarh Sahib, Punjab for his proposed project in HP, the compliance to same shall be ensured by them as well.

2.2 Sh. Raman Kumar, S/o Sh. Dharampal, V.P.O. Ispur, Tehsil-Haroli, District-Una, H.P.

Brief outline of the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposal No.</th>
<th>21.08.2017</th>
<th>HP SEIAA/2017-553</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project type</td>
<td>Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone &amp; Bajri.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>7,89,435 MT for the leased period of five years.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mining Area</td>
<td>7-23-42 ha, Govt. land</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMP Cost</td>
<td>Capital Cost: Rs. 1.5 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.5 lakhs/ annum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSR Cost</td>
<td>Capital Cost: Rs. 1.0 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.10 lakhs/ annum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The proposed project is extraction of Sand, Stone & Bajri. The proposed area is located in the river bed of Swan River. As proposed the mining will be done manually as well as in a mechanized way.
The Proposed Project has submitted report on 23.08.2017 and online on dated 21.08.2017.

The case was listed in 55th SEAC but the Project Proponent did not appear before the Committee. The case was again listed in 56th meeting of SEAC.

The SEAC examined the certificates issued by the mining officer vide no. Udyog (Bhu) Khani-Laghu Auction Sh. Raman Kumar-738 dated 18-08-2017 and revised No. Udyog (Bhu)-Laghu-UNA-Mining Leases-890 dated 13-09-2017 w.r.t. mining leases located within 500 meters radius of the present project location. It was clarified by the Project proponent that the certificate issued by the Mining Department on dated 18-08-2017 was issued on the basis of Auctions of all the Blocks irrespective of the distance between mining leases and their present status whether these are operational or not. There are five Blocks which have been auctioned by the Mining Department and the distance between some of mining leases is greater than 500 meters. Therefore on the request of Project Proponent the Mining Department has issued revised certificate.

After deliberating on the information submitted and considering the facts placed before the committee, and that the Mining Department has issued the certificate of existing mining leases within 500 meters radius of the present case, according to which at present the total cluster area comes out to be less than 25 ha, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I and subject to prior fulfillment of following observations.

- The Project Proponent shall resubmit the NOC from Gram Panchayat.
- The Project Proponent shall resubmit EMP with complete details of activities.
- The Project Proponent shall submit NOC from the concerned authority of primary school for construction of toilets.

In addition the SEAC also recommended that the condition be imposed to the Project Proponent Sh. Raman Kumar, S/o Sh. Dharampal, V.P.O. Ispur, Tehsil-Haroli, District-Una, H.P. to join and take part in the public hearing jointly with the prospective proponents likely to come up for environmental clearance in future falling within 500 meters of radius under various Blocks of the auction vide notification of Industries Department for District Una vide No, Udyog-Bhu (Kin-4) Laghu4/2003 dated 17-05-2017, and in case any issue is raised by the public and same is recommended by the concerned authority which may be required to be attended by the proponent Sh. Raman Kumar, S/o Sh. Dharampal, V.P.O. Ispur, Tehsil-Haroli, District-Una, H.P. , the compliance to same shall be ensured by them as well.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC subject to the following additional conditions:

- The Project Proponent shall submit component wise time frame for implementation of EMP & CSR as proposed along with costs, within one month of the issuance of EC letter. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by HPSPCB and shall complete/implement the CSR within six months from the issuance of Consent to Operate.
- The Project Proponent shall join and take part in the public hearing jointly with the prospective proponents likely to come up for environmental clearance in future falling within 500 meters of radius under various Blocks of the auction vide notification of Industries Department for District Una vide No, Udyog-Bhu (Kin-4) Laghu4/2003 dated 17-05-2017, and in case any issue is raised by the public and same is recommended by the concerned authority which may be required to be attended by the proponent Sh. Raman Kumar, S/o Sh. Dharampal, V.P.O. Ispur, Tehsil-Haroli, District-Una, H.P. , the compliance to same shall be ensured by them as well.

2.3 Mr. Ajay Singh Cheema, Director, G-317, Bhai Randhir Singh Nagar, Ludhiana, Pin-173229. Mob: 097791 77770.

Brief outline of the project:

a) Proposal No. SIA/HP/NCP/17860/2009 dated 29.11.2016,
b) Project type
HPSEIAA/2008-7, Part-II
Princeton Infrastructure Private limited, Category 8(a) i.e. building and construction of EIA Notification, 2006

c) Project Location
Khasra number 79, 82, 102/80/02, 75/1, 76/1 at village-Kalth, Tehsil & District-Solan, Himachal Pradesh.

d) Revised Capacity
Existing: 30,208.6 m²; Revised: 30,169.96 m²
Built up Flats area

No. units: 184 Revised Plan: 113

The project is of the schedule 8(a) i.e. building and construction of EIA Notification, 2006. As proposed it is an existing group housing project, already got Environment Clearance vide letter number HPSEIAA/F(7) Princeton Infrastructure (P) limited/2008-45-52 dated 02.04.2009. Now, Project Proponent has submitted revised layout plan, resultant in to decrease the numbers of flats from 184 to 113 along with approvals from concerned authorities. The built-up areas of the project after modernization will be 30,169.96 m² against existing built-up area 30,208.6 m². It has been proposed that fresh water requirement will be 96 m³/day after modernization against existing 116 m³.

The Project Proponent has applied for revision of Environment clearance on dated 16.11.2016. The Project Proponent has submitted revised Form-1, Form-IA, conceptual plan, certificate of registration, essentiality certificate, NOC from I&PH department, power availability certificate dated 02.03.2016, NOC from H.P. State Electricity Board, NOC from Member Secretary HPGWA-cum-SE, Shimla-9, Solan, letter from DFO-Solan, NOC from Gram Panchayat dated 18.08.2007, drawing, STP plants, conceptual plan, compliance report, detail of air, water and noise environment etc.

The Project Proponent requested to revise his Environment Clearance according to the application proposal submitted for revision of Environment Clearance.

The case was listed in 49th meeting of SEAC, then in 54th meeting of SEAC, where SEAC decided that the case will be listed in next meeting after compliance of following observations:

- The Project Proponent shall submit muck management plans on the basis of anticipated quantum viz-a-viz the reused/ utilized quantity and expected quantity likely to be generated from phase-3 and its disposal thereof.
- The proponent shall adopt a village or Panchayat to carry out the CSR activity and shall develop it as a model village/ Panchayat. An affidavit to this extent shall be furnished containing all components of implementation of different activities with costs etc.

The Project Proponent submitted the compliance on the observations which was discussed before the committee in its 55th meeting of SEAC and committee observed that the reply was not tenable as per the observation hence asked the Project Proponent to resubmit the compliance. The case was again listed in 56th Meeting and the Project Proponent presented his case with the completed details as per the observations of Committee in 55th meeting.

After deliberating on the information & compliance submitted and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-II.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC.

Proceedings 34th Meeting of SEAA (22nd Sept. 2017): 4
2.4 M/s Chanju-III HEP (48 MW), General Manager, Ravi & Chenab Project, Sujaapur Tihra, Tehsil & Distt. Hamirpur.

Brief outline of the project:

a) Proposal No. SIA/HP/RIV/18132/2013 dated 03/01/2017 for TOR’s

SIA/HP/RIV/20089/2013 dated 25/08/2017 for EC

b) Project type Category 1 (c), River Valley and Hydroelectric Projects, for Extension of TOR’s.

c) Project Location Setting up of 48 MW Chanju-III Hydro Electric Project on Chanju and Mahed Nallah in District-Chamba of Himachal Pradesh.

d) Capacity 48 MW

e) EMP Cost 32.45 Crores.

The case was appraised in 31st meeting of SEAC on 03.10.2013. The Proposal was for setting up of 48 MW Chanju-III Hydro Electric Project on Chanju and Mahed Nallah in District Chamba of Himachal Pradesh. The proponent has proposed to construct Trench Weir as diversion structure. Total land requirement for the project is indicated as 11.871 Hectares. The proposal was again placed before the Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC) in its 32nd meeting of SEAC on dated 25th to 26th October, 2013 and recommended the proposal for consideration of Environmental Clearance as Category B1 project with fixed Terms of Reference (TORs) as per Annexure-I already approved by SEAC for the preparation of EIA/EMP studies.

The case was then listed in HP SEIAA in its 17th meeting on dated 18.11.2013 and approved TOR’s fixed by the SEAC in its 32nd meeting. Then after, the Project Proponent vide their office letter number HPPCL/GM-TM&TP HEPs/RCP-E&F/K-1/2015-1613-16 dated 05.11.2015 requested to extend the validity of TOR’s up to 27.11.2016. The SEAC in its 43rd meeting held on 23rd to 26th February, 2016 recommended to extend the validity of TOR’s up to 27.11.2016 on the basis of Office Memorandum No. J-11013/41/2006-IA-II (I) (Part) dated 22/8/2014 of MoEF&CC.

Now, the HP SEIAA has again received the letter from General Manager, HPPCL on dated 12/01/2017 to further extend the validity of TOR’s for another one year. As per the point (iv) of Office Memorandum No. J-11013/41/2006-IA-II (I) (Part), the extension of validity of TOR’s for river valley and Hydro Electric Projects can be extended up to four years.

The case was listed in 50th meeting of SEAC, where SEAC recommended the extension of Tor for another one year of period with explicit condition that in future the date of extension shall be in accordance with provision of OM dated 12-01-2017. The case was again listed in 56th Meeting.

After deliberating on the information & compliance submitted and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-III.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC.

2.5 M/s Deothal Chanju HEP (33 MW), General Manager, Ravi & Chenab Project, Sujaapur Tihra, Tehsil & Distt. Hamirpur.

Brief outline of the project:

a) Online Proposal No. SIA/HP/RIV/18581/2013 dated 16/02/2017 for TOR’s

SIA/HP/RIV/20091/2013 dated 25/08/2017 for EC

b) Project type Category 1(c), River Valley and Hydroelectric Projects, for Extension of TOR’s.

c) Project Location Setting up of 33 MW Deothal-Chanju Hydro Electric Project on Deothal Nallah in District Chamba of Himachal Pradesh.

d) Capacity 30 MW

e) EMP Cost 23.95 Crores
The project was first appraised in 31st meeting of SEAC on 03.10.2013. The Proposal was for setting up of 33 MW Deothal-Chanju Hydro Electric Project on Deothal Nallah in District Chamba of Himachal Pradesh. The proponent has proposed to construct Trench Weir as diversion structure. The proposal was again placed before the Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC) in its 32nd meeting of SEAC on dated 25th to 26th October, 2013 and recommended the proposal for consideration of Environmental Clearance as Category B1 project with fixed Terms of Reference (TORs) as per Annexure-I already approved by SEAC for the preparation of EIA/EMP studies.

The case was then listed in HP SEIAA in its 17th meeting on dated 18.11.2013 and approved TOR’s fixed by the SEAC in its 32nd meeting. Then after, the Project Proponent vide their office letter number HPPCL/GM-TM&TP HEPS/RCP-E&F/K-112015-1613-16 dated 05.11.2015 requested to extend the validity of TOR’s up to 27.11.2016. The SEAC in its 43rd meeting held on 23rd to 26th February, 2016 recommended to extend the validity of TOR’s up to 27.11.2016 on the basis of Office Memorandum No. J-11013/41/2006-IA-II (I) (Part) dated 22/8/2014 of MoEF&CC, Govt. of India.

Now, the HP SEIAA has again received the letter from General Manager, HPPCL on dated 12/01/2017 to further extend the validity of TOR’s for another one year. As per the point (iv) of Office Memorandum No. J-11013/41/2006-IA-II (I) (Part), the extension of validity of TOR’s for river valley and Hydro Electric Projects can be extended up to four years.

The case was listed in 56th meeting of SEAC, where SEAC recommended the extension of ToR for another one year of period with explicit condition that in future the date of extension shall be in accordance with provision of OM dated 12-01-2017. The case was again listed in 56th Meeting.

After deliberating on the information & compliance submitted and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-III, The SEAC also considered and approved the revised capacity of the project from 33 MW to 30 MW.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC.


Brief outline of the project:

- **Online Proposal No.**
  - SIA/HP/MIN/17452/2012 dated 19.01.2017 (withdrawn)
  - SIA/HP/MIN/20135/2011 dated 22/8/2017 (re applied online)

- **Mining of Minerals (Extraction of Sand, Stone & Bajri).**

- **Khasra No.**
  - 873 (18-03 bigha), 874 (17-03 Bighas), 892/1 (7-00 Bigha), measuring 42-06 Bighas falling in Mauza & Mohal-Tikri, khasra number no. 1 Min and 1 Min (128-12 Bighas) falling in Mauza-Bohri, khasra no.1 (53-02 Bighas) falling in Mauza-Amb-da-har totaling measuring (224-00 Bighas).

- **Capacity**
  - 94,500 tons per annum.

- **Mining Area**
  - 17.92 ha, 224-00 bighas, river bed, Govt. land.

- **EMP Cost**
  - Capital Cost: Rs. 5.80 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 3.60 lakhs.

- **CSR Cost**
  - Rs. 3.50 lakhs/ annum.

The proposed project is extraction of Sand, Stone & Bajri in Luhand khad. Mining will be carried out by opencast manual method. The case has been transferred from MoEF&CC, Gol on dated 25.05.2016 as category B project. The TOR’s was issued by MoEF&CC, Gol on dated 30th April, 2012.

The Project Proponent has applied on 29.09.2016. The Project Proponent has submitted EIA report, maps, list of plant species to be planted on page number 171, EMP cost on page number 177, TOR’s issued by MoEF&CC dated 30th April, 2012, grant of mining lease dated 18.10.2006 (which
is valid for 5 year), form-F, land revenue records, production details by Mining Officer, Solan, and counter signed by State Geologist, letter from Mining Officer dated 67th August, 2015, extension of TOR's by MoEF&CC, approval working plan dated 23.11.2013, detail of air, water and noise environment, the copy of public hearing dated 05.09.2013, detail of CSR activities, NOC from I&PH department, NOC from Gram Panchayat, mine plans, working-cum-EMP plan etc.

The case earlier was appraised at the level of MOEF&CC, GOI as Cat-A, The public hearing was conducted and detailed Environment Impact Assessment Report/ Environment Management Plan were prepared for the project as per TORs approved by the MOEF&CC, GoI. The case was there afterward transferred to SEIAA for further necessary consideration treating the case as Cat-B. The SEAC also observed that this was an admitted case of violation of EIA Notification, 2006 as per Office Memorandum of MoEF&CC dated 12.12.2012, considering the fact that the H.P. State Pollution Control Board has taken action against the unit and project proponent has submitted the fresh report, resolution that they will not repeat the violation, the project proponent has submitted the required documents the SEAC appraised the case in its 56th meeting.

After deliberating on the information & compliance submitted and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC subject to the following additional conditions:

- The Project Proponent shall submit component wise time frame for implementation of EMP & CSR as proposed along with costs, within one month of the issuance of EC letter. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by HPSPCB and shall complete/implement the CSR within six months from the issuance of Consent to Operate.


Brief outline of the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Proposal No.</th>
<th>SIA/HP/MI/65818/2017 dated 30.06.2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b) Project type</td>
<td>Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone &amp; Bajri.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Project Location</td>
<td>Khasra number 288/239/2/2/2/2 falling in Mauza-Mohal-Bangran, Tehsil-Paonta Sahib, District-Sirmaur, Himachal Pradesh.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Capacity</td>
<td>96,285 TPA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Mining Area</td>
<td>4-83 ha, (58-01 Bigha), CLUSTER CASE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) EMP Cost</td>
<td>Capital Cost: Rs. 0.80 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 1.25 lakhs / annum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) CSR Cost</td>
<td>Capital Cost: Rs. 0.40 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.50 lakhs / annum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The proposed project is extraction of Sand, Stone & Bajri. As proposed the total mine lease area is a part of river bed of Giri river. The mining of minerals will be carried out only up to a depth of 1 m (3 feet) depth using hand tools like shovels, pan etc.

The Proposed project has submitted report on 05.09.2017 and online on dated 30.06.2017. The Project Proponent has submitted buffer map, land use & land cover map, geological maps, letter of Intent dated 27.09.2016, land revenue records, approval of mining plan dated 22.05.2017, letter from State Geologist dated 23.02.2017, site photographs, joint inspection report dated 22.03.2016, affidavit regarding water availability, list of flora and fauna dated 05.08.2017, detail of air, water and noise environment, affidavit regarding CSR activities, affidavit regarding list of species to be planted in the area, NOC from Gram Panchayat dated 29.11.2014, letter from Mining Officer dated 23.06.2017. The case was listed in 56th Meeting of SEAC.

After deliberating on the information & compliance submitted and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of
environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I subject to prior submission of following:

- The Project Proponent shall submit revised CSR activities as per the advice of the Committee.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC subject to the following additional conditions:

- The Project Proponent shall submit component wise time frame for implementation of EMP & CSR as proposed along with costs, within one month of the issuance of EC letter. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by HPSPCB and shall complete/implement the CSR within six months from the issuance of Consent to Operate.

- The Project Proponent shall install solar lights as additional activity under CSR shall complete/implement this activity within six months. The Project Proponent shall submit an undertaking w.r.t. prior to issuance of Environment Clearance letter.


Brief outline of the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b) Project type</td>
<td>Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone &amp; Bajri.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Project Location</td>
<td>Khasra number 288/239/2/1 falling in Mauza-Mohal-Bangran, Tehsil-Paonta Sahib, District-Sirmaur, Himachal Pradesh.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Capacity</td>
<td>1,28,021 TPA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Mining Area</td>
<td>6-32 ha, 75-00 Bigha (Private land)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) EMP Cost</td>
<td>Capital Cost: Rs. 0.4 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 2.05 lakhs/annum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) CSR Cost</td>
<td>Capital Cost: Rs. 0.50 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.50 lakhs/annum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The proposed project is extraction of Sand, Stone & Bajri. As proposed the mining will be carried out only up to a depth of 1 m (3 feet), using hand tools, shovels, pan etc. As proposed the mining will be confined to the allotted lease area lies in the bed of Giri river.

The Proposed project has submitted report on 16.09.2017 and online on dated 14.09.2017. The Project Proponent has submitted buffer map, land use & land cover map, geological maps, letter of Intent dated 30.06.2017, land revenue records, approved mining plan dated 15.09.2017, letter from State Geologist, certificate from village revenue officer, site photographs, joint inspection report dated 30.11.2016, undertaking regarding water availability, list of flora and fauna dated 26.08.2017, list of fast growing species, detail of air, water and noise environment, affidavit regarding CSR activities, NOC from Gram Panchayat, list of species to be planted in the area. The case was listed in 56th meeting of SEAC.

After deliberating on the information & compliance submitted and considering the facts placed before the committee, the SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC subject to the following additional conditions:

- The Project Proponent shall submit component wise time frame for implementation of EMP & CSR as proposed along with costs, within one month of the issuance of EC letter. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by HPSPCB and shall complete/implement the CSR within six months from the issuance of Consent to Operate.
ITEM NO 3:

Cases for Renewal of Environment Clearance:

Indian Audit and Accounts Department Pr. Accountant General (Audit), HP, Shimla issued audit memo for Non-Renewal of the Environment Clearances vide Audit Memo No. 3 dated 16/6/2016. Further, a complete audit report was submitted to the Department of Environment, Science & Technology dated 19/9/16 mentioning the non-renewal of Environment Clearances.

In order to comply the directions/observations of the Indian Audit and Accounts Department the HPPCB was informed and directed to take necessary action against the projects executing without possessing the valid Environment Clearances. Thereafter, HPPCB took action under the provision contained in Section 25 of Water and Air Acts against these projects.

The HPPCB was informed and directed to take necessary action against the following projects possessing no valid EC as on date:


The EC was issued vide EC letter No. HPSEIIA/(28) Sandwoods Infratech project (P) Ltd./2008-270 dated 24.04.2009 for construction of Residential Colony in Vill. Sansiwalan, Barotiwal, Kasauli, Solan, H.P.

ii) M/s Motia Developers (P) Ltd., Sujanpur, P.O. Baddi, Distt Solan, HP

The EC was issued vide EC letter No. HPSEIIA/F (14) Motia Developers (P) Ltd./2008-60-67 dated 02.04.2009 for construction of commercial complex in Baddi, Nalagarh, Solan, HP.

iii) M/s Delanco Realtors (P) Ltd., Thakur Vatika, Khalini, Shimla-2, HP

The EC was issued vide EC letter No. HPSEIIA/F (4) Delanco Realtors (P) Ltd./2008-84-91 dated 02.04.2009 for construction of Housing Complex at Kishangarh, Solan, HP.

iv) M/s Mount View Group Housing Co., Mouza Katha, Pargana Dharampur Baddi, Distt Solan, HP

The EC was issued vide EC letter No. HPSEIIA/F (4) M/s Mount View Group Housing Co./2008/17 dated 02.04.2009 for construction of Housing Colony at Dharampur, Baddi, Solan, HP.

v) M/s Om Prakash Khullar, New Khullar House, Summer Hill, Shimla, HP

The EC was issued vide EC letter No. HPSEIIA/F (30) Om Prakash Khullar/2008-68-75 dated 09.04.2009 for construction of Commercial Complex at Vill. Billawali, Labana, Solan, H.P.

vi) M/s Shree Jee Land Developers & Promoters (P) Ltd, Kalyanpur, Baddi, Tehsil Nalagarh Distt Solan, HP.

The EC was issued vide EC letter No. HPSEIIA/F (13) M/s Shree Jee Land Developers & Promoters (P) Ltd. 2008/1839-1847 dated 25.10.2008 for construction of Commercial Complex at Baddi, Solan, H.P.

The following projects have applied for extension of validity of EC:

- SHAKUN INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT LTD. HOUSING PROJECT.
- SHREE JEE LAND DEVELOPERS & PROMOTERS (P) LTD.
- SANDWOODS INFRATECH PROJECT (P) LIMITED.
- M/S MOUNTVIEW GROUP HOUSING CO.
As per the Para 9 of EIA Notification 2006 and its subsequent amendments the Validity of Environmental Clearance is explained as under:

The "Validity of Environmental Clearance" is meant the period from which a prior environmental clearance is granted by the regulatory authority, or may be presumed by the applicant to have been granted under sub paragraph (iv) of paragraph 7 above, to the start of production operations by the project or activity, or completion of all construction operations in case of construction projects (item 8 of the Schedule), to which the application for prior environmental clearance refers. The prior environmental clearance granted for a project or activity shall be valid for a period of ten years in the case of River Valley projects (item 1(c) of the Schedule), project life as estimated by Expert Appraisal Committee or State Level Expert Appraisal Committee subject to a maximum of thirty years for mining projects and seven years in the case of all other projects and activities. However, in the case of Area Development projects and Townships (item 8(b)), the validity period shall be limited only to such activities as may be the responsibility of the applicant as a developer. This period of validity may be extended by the regulatory authority concerned by a maximum period of five years provided an application is made to the regulatory authority by the applicant within the validity period, together with an updated Form 1, and Supplementary Form I A, for Construction projects or activities (item 8 of the Schedule). In this regard the regulatory authority may also consult the Expert Appraisal Committee or State Level Expert Appraisal Committee as the case may be.

The item was deferred, the Authority decided to discuss the matter in next meeting.

ITEM NO. 4

Chairman (DEIAA)-cum-DC district Una has conveyed observations of DEAC on implementation of EMP by the minor mineral mining projects. District Committee observed that the project proponent don not take necessary steps to restore the mining sites, leaving these as eyesores on landscape and in some cases as hazardous area for precipitating some kind of crisis in future. The members have felt that some kind of commitment to site restoration has to come from project proponents and for the a mechanism needs to be devised which creates some deterrent for proponents who go in for unscientific and un-sustainable mining activities driven totally by profit motive. The Committee is of the view that for every mining project a net present (NPV) should be assessed and amount equal to this NPV should be pledged by the project proponent in the name of the Authority as a guarantee that after the completion of the project if proponent fails to restore the land to its original position, this amount shall be forfeited.

The item was deferred, the Authority decided to discuss the matter in next meeting.

ITEM NO. 5

Withdrawal of Environment Clearance:

M/s Hill View Infrastructure (P) Ltd., was issued notice on dated 28/1/17 & 22/2/2017 for the non-compliance of terms and conditions of Environmental Clearance in reference to the observations of Regional Office of MoEF&CC, GoI, Dehradun for non-compliance of EC conditions vide EC Letter No. HPSEIAA/F(1)/Hill View Apartments/2008 dated 25/10/2008.

The project proponent submitted the reply to HPPCB with Copy to MS (HPSEIAA) on compliance to the EC conditions that they have handed over the entire maintenance and completion of formalities with concerned Govt. Department to Hill View Residents Welfare Association, Baddi, Solan (HP) and may further communicated with Sh. Mahendra Tandon, President, Hill View Residents Welfare Association, Baddi, Solan.

HPPCB further issued Show cause notice to the project proponent i.e. M/s Hill View Infrastructure (P) Ltd. on dated 14/5/2017 with copy to MS (HPSEIAA).

The project proponent was called to discuss the matter in the office Member Secretary (HPSEIAA) on 29th May, 2017 vide letter dated 19th May, 2017. But no one attended the meeting.
M/s Hill View Infrastructure (P) Ltd. and Sh. Mahendra Tondon, President, Hill View Residents Welfare Association were again asked vide later 27/6/2017 to discussed the matter with MS (HPSEIAA) on 24th July, 2017. In response to this letter Hill View Residents Welfare Association informed that Mr. Mahendra Tondon is out of station and will attend the meeting after one month.

The item was deferred, the Authority decided to discuss the matter in next meeting.

The Meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.

(Archana Sharma)
Member Secretary
HPSEIAA

Dated 26/9/17, Shimla-1.