No. SEIAA/23rd Meeting/2015- Dated: \textbf{19/9/2015}

**PROCEEDINGS OF 23RD MEETING OF THE STATE LEVEL ENVIRONMENT IMPACT ASSESSMENT AUTHORITY**

The 23rd Meeting of the State level Environment Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA) was held in the Conference Hall of office of the Director, Department of Environment, Science & Technology, Paryavaran Bhawan, Near US Club, Shimla-1 on 17th August, 2015 at 12:30 P.M.

**DELIBERATIONS AND DECISIONS OF SEIAA AS PER AGENDA ITEMS:**

**ITEM NO. 1:**

The Authority discussed the Minutes of 22nd Meeting of SEIAA held on 21.7.2015 and confirmed the Minutes.

**ITEM NO. 2:**

Consideration of the projects for grant of Environment Clearance as recommended by the State Level Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC) in its 32nd, 37th, 38th and 39th Meetings. As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting held on 21st July 2015, the documents submitted by the project proponent has been re-examined by the Member Secretary (SEIAA) & Secretary (SEAC), thereafter, listed the case accordingly.


Brief outline of the project:

- **a) Project type:** Mining/Collection of Sand Stone & Bajri.
- **b) Project Location:** Khasra number (s) 242/60/2, 247/60/2 and 249/60 located near Mauza Bahral, Tehsil Paonta Sahib, Distt. Sirmour, H.P.
- **c) Capacity:** 9,260 TPA.
- **d) Mining Area:** 1.0958 hectare.
- **e) EMP Cost:** Rs 2,75,000 under EMP and Rs. 63,000 under CSR.

The case was listed in 37th Meeting of SEAC. The SEAC after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to prior fulfillment certain conditions besides along with Specific and General Conditions annexed at Annexure-A & B of the Minutes of the Meeting.

The case was listed in 21st Meeting of SEIAA and authority after considering the recommendations of SEAC decided that the case be listed in its next Meeting of SEIAA upon prior compliance to the observations of SEAC by the project proponent. In compliance to the conditions imposed by SEAC the project proponent has submitted the desired documents.

The case was listed in 22nd Meeting of SEIAA and after deliberations, the Authority observed that the SEAC has recommended the case on certain conditions and prior submission of certain documents by the project proponent, the Authority observed that the additional information/documents submitted by the project proponent should have been re-examined by...
SEAC itself as to ensure that the compliance reported by the project proponent as per the observations of SEAC was complete. However, considering the long pendency of the application, the Authority decided that the Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) may sit together and re-examine the additional documents and, if found complete in all respect the case may be listed for next Meeting of the SEIAA. Incomplete case be referred back to SEAC.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the documents are complete in all respect. It has been recommended that the case may be considered by SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as recommended and imposed by the SEAC.

On the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as imposed by the SEAC.

2.2 Sh. Rajesh Sharma, S/o Sh. Tulsi Ram, Village-Naya, PO. Shillai, Tehsil-Shillai, Distt. Sirmour, HP:

Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type
   Extraction of Stone (minor minerals).

b) Project Location
   Khasra No. 5075/3613/3 at Mauza Mohal Shillai, Tehsil Shillai, Distt. Sirmour, H.P.

c) Capacity
   25,000 TPA.

d) Mining Area
   34-04 Bighas (3 Hectares).

e) EMP Cost
   Rs.6.5 Lakhs (Capital Cost), Rs.1.3 Lakhs (Recurring Cost) and Rs.1.00 Lakhs under CSR activity for 5 years.

The case was listed in 37th Meeting of SEAC. The SEAC after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to prior fulfillment of certain conditions besides along with Specific and General Conditions annexed at Annexure-A & B of the Minutes of the Meeting.

The case was listed in 21st Meeting of SEIAA and authority after considering the recommendations of SEAC decided that the case be listed in its next Meeting of SEIAA upon prior compliance to the observations of SEAC by the project proponent. In compliance to the conditions imposed by SEAC the project proponent has submitted the desired documents.

The case was listed in 22nd Meeting of SEIAA and after deliberations, the Authority observed that the SEAC has recommended the case on certain conditions and prior submission of certain documents by the project proponent, the Authority observed that the additional information/documents submitted by the project proponent should have been re-examined by SEAC itself as to ensure that the compliance reported by the project proponent as per the observations of SEAC was complete. Though in the instant case considering the pendency of the application for considerable time, the Authority decided that the Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) may sit together and re-examine the additional documents and, if found complete in all respect the case may be listed for next Meeting of the SEIAA. Incomplete case be referred back to SEAC.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the documents are complete in all respect. It has been recommended that the case may be considered by SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as recommended and imposed by the SEAC.
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After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as imposed by the SEAC.


Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type
b) Project Location
  Extraction of Stone from quarry.
  Khasra no. 326 & 328 Mauza & Mohal Khola, Tehsil Kotkhai, Distt. Shimla, H.P.
c) Capacity
  8200 TPA.
d) Mining Area
  1-12-11 hectare (Private land).

The SEAC in its 20th meeting dated 22nd August 2012 issued the ToR’s for the project proponent, which as on date are not valid, so project proponent has applied a fresh.

The case was listed in 37th Meeting of SEAC. The Committee after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to prior fulfillment of certain conditions besides along with Specific and General Conditions annexed at Annexure-A & B of the Minutes of the Meeting.

The case was listed in 21st Meeting of SEIAA and authority after considering the recommendations of SEAC decided that the case be listed in its next Meeting of SEIAA upon prior compliance to the observations of SEAC by the project proponent. In compliance to the conditions imposed by SEAC the project proponent has submitted the desired documents.

The case was listed in 22nd Meeting of SEIAA and after deliberations, the Authority observed that the SEAC has recommended the case on certain conditions and prior submission of certain documents by the project proponent, the Authority observed that the additional information/documents submitted by the project proponent should have been re-examined by SEAC itself as to ensure that the compliance reported by the project proponent as per the observations of SEAC was complete. Though in the instant case considering the pendency of the application for considerable time, the Authority decided that the Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) may sit together and re-examine the additional documents and, if found complete in all respect the case may be listed for next Meeting of the SEIAA. Incomplete case be referred back to SEAC.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the documents are complete in all respect. It has been recommended that the case may be considered by SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as recommended and imposed by the SEAC.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as imposed by the SEAC.

2.4 M/s Time Builder Stone Crusher, Sh. Gian Chand, Mauza Dhaliara Mohal Bharwara, Tehsil-Dehra, District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh.

Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type
b) Project Location
  River-bed mining of Sand, Stone & Bajri.
  Khasra number 363/1 at Mauza Dhaliara & Mohal Bharwar, District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh.
The case was listed in 38th Meeting of SEAC. The Committee after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to prior fulfillment of certain conditions besides along with Specific and General Conditions annexed at Annexure-A & B of the Minutes of the Meeting.

The case was listed in 21st Meeting of SEIAA and authority after considering the recommendations of SEAC decided that the case be listed in its next Meeting of SEIAA upon prior compliance to the observations of SEAC by the project proponent. In compliance to the conditions imposed by SEAC the project proponent has submitted the desired documents.

The case was listed in 22nd Meeting of SEIAA and after deliberations, the Authority observed that the SEAC has recommended the case on certain conditions and prior submission of certain documents by the project proponent, the Authority observed that the additional information/documents submitted by the project proponent should have been re-examined by SEAC itself as to ensure that the compliance reported by the project proponent as per the observations of SEAC was complete. Though in the instant case considering the pendency of the application for considerable time, the Authority decided that the Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) may sit together and re-examine the additional documents and, if found complete in all respect the case may be listed for next Meeting of the SEIAA. Incomplete case be referred back to SEAC.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the documents are complete in all respect. It has been recommended that the case may be considered by SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as recommended and imposed by the SEAC.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as imposed by the SEAC.


Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type River-bed mining of Sand, Stone & Bajri.
b) Project Location Khasra no.287, 320/2, 293 Mauza -Bir Palasi, Tehsil-Nalagarh, District -Solan, H.P.
c) Capacity 27,300 TPA.
d) Mining Area 4-84-29 hectares.
e) EMP Cost Rs. 3,25,000 under EMP and Rs. 80,000 under CSR.

Hon’ble National Green Tribunal has passed an order on dated 04.02.2015 wherein it has been directed that the SEIAA has to dispose the above said application for grant of Environment Clearance within one week from date of filing of the application.

The SEIAA received the application from the project proponent on 27th January, 2015 and circulated the same to all SEAC members on 6th February, 2015 w.r.t. the Hon’ble NGT decision.
The case was listed in 38th Meeting of SEAC. The committee after deliberating on the orders of the Hon’ble NGT as stated above observed that the time involved in processing of the application has been notified by the Ministry of Environment, Government of India and any change in process does not fall in the purview of SEAC. However, on information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee appraised the proposal and recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to prior fulfillment of certain conditions and along with project ‘Specific Conditions’ as at Annexure-A and ‘General Conditions’ to be stipulated as at Annexure-B of the Minutes.

The case was listed in 21st Meeting of SEIAA and authority after considering the recommendations of SEAC decided that the case be listed in its next Meeting of SEIAA upon prior compliance to the observations of SEAC by the project proponent. In compliance to the conditions imposed by SEAC the project proponent has submitted the desired documents.

The case was listed in 22nd Meeting of SEIAA and after deliberations, the Authority observed that the SEAC has recommended the case on certain conditions and prior submission of certain documents by the project proponent, the Authority observed that the additional information/documents submitted by the project proponent should have been re-examined by SEAC itself as to ensure that the compliance reported by the project proponent as per the observations of SEAC was complete. Though in the instant case considering the pendency of the application for considerable time, the Authority decided that the Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) may sit together and re-examine the additional documents and, if found complete in all respect the case may be listed for next Meeting of the SEIAA. Incomplete case be referred back to SEAC.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the documents are complete in all respect. It has been recommended that the case may be considered by SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as recommended and imposed by the SEAC.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as imposed by the SEAC.


Brief outline of the project:

- **a) Project type**: Collection/Extraction of Sand, Stone & Bajri.
- **b) Project Location**: Khasra Number 1/1, Mauza Nagrota Suriyan, Mohal Basa, Tehsil Jawali, Kangra, HP.
- **c) Capacity**: 47,250 TPA.
- **d) Mining Area**: 04-93-68 Hectares (Govt. Land).
- **e) EMP Cost**: Rs 4,50,000 under EMP and Rs. 80,000 under CSR.

The case was listed in 38th Meeting of SEAC. The Committee after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to prior fulfillment of certain conditions besides along with Specific and General Conditions annexed at Annexure-A & B of the Minutes of the Meeting.

The case was listed in 21st Meeting of SEIAA and authority after considering the recommendations of SEAC decided that the case be listed in its next Meeting of SEIAA upon
prior compliance to the observations of SEAC by the project proponent. In compliance to the conditions imposed by SEAC the project proponent has submitted the desired documents.

The case was listed in 22nd Meeting of SEIAA and after deliberations, the Authority observed that the SEAC has recommended the case on certain conditions and prior submission of certain documents by the project proponent, the Authority observed that the additional information/documents submitted by the project proponent should have been re-examined by SEAC itself as to ensure that the compliance reported by the project proponent as per the observations of SEAC was complete. Though in the instant case considering the pendency of the application for considerable time, the Authority decided that the Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) may sit together and re-examine the additional documents and, if found complete in all respect the case may be listed for next Meeting of the SEIAA. Incomplete case be referred back to SEAC.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the project proponent has submitted compliance to the above mentioned conditions imposed by SEAC as per requirement but the scrutiny of documents reveals that the letter of mining lease issued by Doi was issued on dated 16/1/2012 for the period of 5 years w.e.f. 27/2/2009 and thereby the validity of said LOI has been expired before the PP had applied to the SEIAA. It has been recommended that, in view of the validity of mining lease order the case may be reexamined by the SEAC for further necessary action.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the Authority approved to return the case to SEAC for re-examination.

2.7


Brief outline of the project:

- **Project type**: Mining of Sand, Stone & Bajri.
- **Project Location**: Khasra Number 2, Mauza Palakwah, Tehsil Haroli, Distt. Una, H.P.
- **Capacity**: 36,450 TPA.
- **Mining Area**: 4-04-04 Hectares (Govt. Land).
- **EMP Cost**: Rs 4,25,000 under EMP and Rs. 70,000 under CSR.

The case was listed in 38th Meeting of SEAC. The Committee after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to prior fulfillment of certain conditions besides along with Specific and General Conditions annexed at Annexure-A & B of the Minutes of the Meeting.

The case was listed in 21st Meeting of SEIAA and authority after considering the recommendations of SEAC decided that the case be listed in its next Meeting of SEIAA upon prior compliance to the observations of SEAC by the project proponent. In compliance to the conditions imposed by SEAC the project proponent has submitted the desired documents.

The case was listed in 22nd Meeting of SEIAA and after deliberations, the Authority observed that the SEAC has recommended the case on certain conditions and prior submission of certain documents by the project proponent, the Authority observed that the additional information/documents submitted by the project proponent should have been re-examined by SEAC itself as to ensure that the compliance reported by the project proponent as per the observations of SEAC was complete. Though in the instant case considering the pendency of the application for considerable time, the Authority decided that the Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) may sit together and re-examine the additional documents.
and, if found complete in all respect the case may be listed for next Meeting of the SEIAA. Incomplete case be referred back to SEAC.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the documents are complete in all respect. It has been recommended that the case may be considered by SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as recommended and imposed by the SEAC.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as imposed by the SEAC.


Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type  River bed mining of Sand and Bajri.
b) Project Location  Khasra Number 2824/2, Mauza & Mohal Sainsowal, Tehsil Haroli, Una, H.P.
c) Capacity  27,100 TPA.
d) Mining Area  03-38-90 Hectare (Pvt. Land).
e) EMP Cost  Rs. 2,80,000 (capital cost including recurring cost).

The case was listed in 38th Meeting of SEAC. The committee, after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed it recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to prior fulfillment of certain conditions and along with project ‘Specific Conditions’ as at Annexure-A and ‘General Conditions’ to be stipulated as at Annexure-B of the Minutes.

The case was listed in 21st Meeting of SEIAA and authority after considering the recommendations of SEAC decided that the case be listed in its next Meeting of SEIAA upon prior compliance to the observations of SEAC by the project proponent. In compliance to the conditions imposed by SEAC the project proponent has submitted the desired documents.

The case was listed in 22nd Meeting of SEIAA and after deliberations, the Authority observed that the SEAC has recommended the case on certain conditions and prior submission of certain documents by the project proponent, the Authority observed that the additional information/documents submitted by the project proponent should have been re-examined by SEAC itself as to ensure that the compliance reported by the project proponent as per the observations of SEAC was complete. Though in the instant case considering the pendency of the application for considerable time, the Authority decided that the Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) may sit together and re-examine the additional documents and, if found complete in all respect the case may be listed for next Meeting of the SEIAA. Incomplete case be referred back to SEAC.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the project proponent has submitted compliance to the above mentioned conditions imposed by SEAC as per requirement. The additional documents examined/scrutinized and found that Letter of Intent is valid was issued on 3/12/2013 valid upto 2/12/2014. It has been recommended that, in view of the validity of Letter of Intent the case may be reexamined by the SEAC for further necessary action.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the Authority approved to return the case to SEAC for re-examination.
2.9 M/s Lakhwinder Singh, S/o Jagmail Singh, HIG-824, Phase-II, Mohali, Punjab (Mining location at Mauza & Mohal Kungrat, Tehsil Haroli, Distt. Una, H.P.)

Brief outline of the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Project type</th>
<th>Mining of Sand, Stone and Bajri.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b) Project Location</td>
<td>Khasra number 546, 547,548 &amp; 549, Mauza &amp; Mohal Kungrat, Tehsil Haroli, Distt. Una, H.P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Capacity</td>
<td>2,50,000 TPA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Mining Area</td>
<td>4-17-79 Hectares (Private land, Hill slope).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) EMP cost</td>
<td>Rs. 4.55 Lakhs (Capital Cost), Rs. 0.48 lakhs (Recurring cost).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The case was listed in 38th Meeting of SEAC. The committee after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee recommended the case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to prior fulfillment of certain conditions and along with project ‘Specific Conditions’ as at Annexure-A and ‘General Conditions’ to be stipulated as at Annexure-B of the Minutes.

The case was listed in 21st Meeting of SEIAA and authority after considering the recommendations of SEAC decided that the case be listed in its next Meeting of SEIAA upon prior compliance to the observations of SEAC by the project proponent. In compliance to the conditions imposed by SEAC the project proponent has submitted the desired documents.

The case was listed in 22nd Meeting of SEIAA and after deliberations, the Authority observed that the SEAC has recommended the case on certain conditions and prior submission of certain documents by the project proponent, the Authority observed that the additional information/documents submitted by the project proponent should have been re-examined by SEAC itself as to ensure that the compliance reported by the project proponent as per the observations of SEAC was complete. Though in the instant case considering the pendency of the application for considerable time, the Authority decided that the Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) may sit together and re-examine the additional documents and, if found complete in all respect the case may be listed for next Meeting of the SEIAA. Incomplete case be referred back to SEAC.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the documents are complete in all respect. It has been recommended that the case may be considered by SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as recommended and imposed by the SEAC.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as imposed by the SEAC.

2.10 Sh. Ranvir Singh, New Shiva Stone Crusher, Village & PO Kandwal, Kangra, H.P.

Brief outline of the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Project type</th>
<th>River bed mining of Sand, Stone and Bajri.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b) Project Location</td>
<td>Khasra number 700 &amp; 731/2/2 Mohal Maira Doomal, Mauja Maira Bhatrah, Tehsil Nurpur, Kangra, H.P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Capacity</td>
<td>47,200 TPA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Mining Area</td>
<td>4-97-93 Hectare.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) EMP cost</td>
<td>Rs. 6.00 lakhs (Capital Cost), Rs. 3,70 lakhs (Recurring Cost) and Rs. 1.50 lakhs under CSR activities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The case was listed in 38th Meeting of SEAC. The committee after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent viz-a-viz the decision of the SEIAA w.r.t. concentration of mining activities in Chakki river that the lease applications of Environmental clearance in Chakki & its Tributaries in the areas 1 KM upstream of bridge on Mandi-Pathankot NH and 3KMs D/s of Railway bridge on Pathankot-Jallandher section shall be dealt with one level i.e. at the level of MoEF&CC, GoI and considering the facts placed before the committee and verbal explanations given by the proponent on distance of project site w.r.t. above decision of SEIAA recommended the case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to prior fulfillment of certain conditions and along with project ‘Specific Conditions’ as at Annexure-A and ‘General Conditions’ to be stipulated as at Annexure-B of the Minutes.

The case was listed in 21st Meeting of SEIAA and authority after considering the recommendations of SEAC decided that the case be listed in its next Meeting of SEIAA upon prior compliance to the observations of SEAC by the project proponent. In compliance to the conditions imposed by SEAC the project proponent has submitted the desired documents.

The case was listed in 22nd Meeting of SEIAA and after deliberations, the Authority observed that the SEAC has recommended the case on certain conditions and prior submission of certain documents by the project proponent, the Authority observed that the additional information/documents submitted by the project proponent should have been re-examined by SEAC itself as to ensure that the compliance reported by the project proponent as per the observations of SEAC was complete. Though in the instant case considering the pendency of the application for considerable time, the Authority decided that the Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) may sit together and re-examine the additional documents and, if found complete in all respect the case may be listed for next Meeting of the SEIAA. Incomplete case be referred back to SEAC.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the documents are complete in all respect. It has been recommended that the case may be considered by SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as recommended and imposed by the SEAC.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as imposed by the SEAC.

2.11 Sh. Ashok Thakur, Village-Thund (Panjholi), Post Office-Satlai, Tehsil-Junga, District Shimla, H.P. 171012

Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type
b) Project Location
Khasra number 514/1 at Mauza Mohal Thund, Panjholi, Junga, Shimla.
c) Capacity
22,000 TPA.
d) Mining Area
1-36-55 hectare (Private Land).
e) EMP cost
Rs. 0.80 lakhs (Capital Cost), Rs. 0.90 lakhs (Recurring cost) and Rs. 1.00 Lakhs and Rs. 5000 (as Capital & Recurring Cost) under CSR activity.

The proposal was listed in 37th Meeting of SEAC and the project proponent has complied with all conditions imposed by the Committee.

The case was again listed in 39th Meeting of SEAC. The committee after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to project ‘Specific Conditions’ as at
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Annexure-A and ‘General Conditions’ to be stipulated as at Annexure-B and subject to compliance to the certain special conditions. In compliance to the conditions imposed by SEAC the project proponent has submitted the desired documents.

The case was listed in 22nd Meeting of SEIAA and after deliberations, the Authority observed that the SEAC has recommended the case on certain conditions and prior submission of certain documents by the project proponent, the Authority observed that the additional information/documents submitted by the project proponent should have been re-examined by SEAC itself as to ensure that the compliance reported by the project proponent as per the observations of SEAC was complete. Though in the instant case considering the pendency of the application for considerable time, the Authority decided that the Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) may sit together and re-examine the additional documents and, if found complete in all respect the case may be listed for next Meeting of the SEIAA. Incomplete case be referred back to SEAC.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the documents are complete in all respect. It has been recommended that the case may be considered by SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as recommended and imposed by the SEAC.

**After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as imposed by the SEAC.**


**Brief outline of the project:**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a)</td>
<td>Project type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b)</td>
<td>Project Location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c)</td>
<td>Capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d)</td>
<td>Mining Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e)</td>
<td>EMP cost</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri.
Khasra No. 539 (“A” portion) at Mauza Kandraur, Tehsil Sadar, Distt. Bilaspur, H.P.
28,400 TPA.
17-14 Bighas i.e. 1.33 hectare (Private Land).
Rs. 3.00 lakhs (Capital Cost), Rs. 0.80 lakhs (Recurring cost)

The case was listed in 39th Meeting of SEAC. The committee after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of certain conditions and along with project ‘Specific Conditions’ as at Annexure-A and ‘General Conditions’ to be stipulated as at Annexure-B of the minutes. In compliance to the conditions imposed by SEAC the project proponent has submitted the desired documents.

The case was listed in 22nd Meeting of SEIAA and after deliberations, the Authority observed that the SEAC has recommended the case on certain conditions and prior submission of certain documents by the project proponent, the Authority observed that the additional information/documents submitted by the project proponent should have been re-examined by SEAC itself as to ensure that the compliance reported by the project proponent as per the observations of SEAC was complete. Though in the instant case considering the pendency of the application for considerable time, the Authority decided that the Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) may sit together and re-examine the additional documents and, if found complete in all respect the case may be listed for next Meeting of the SEIAA. Incomplete case be referred back to SEAC.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the documents are complete in all respect. It has been recommended that the case may be considered by SEIAA
for grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as recommended and imposed by the SEAC.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as imposed by the SEAC.


Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type
Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri.

b) Project Location
Khasra numbers 1629/1 and 1754 at Mauza Bharmad Mohal Maira, Tehsil-Jawali, District-Nurpur, Kangra.

c) Capacity
19,800 TPA.

d) Mining Area
02-22-16 ha (Govt. Land).

e) EMP Cost
Capital cost as Rs. 2.5 lakhs and Recurring cost as Rs. 1.0 lakhs/Annum.

The case was listed in 39th Meeting of SEAC. The committee after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of certain conditions and along with project ‘Specific Conditions’ as at Annexure-A and ‘General Conditions’ to be stipulated as at Annexure-B of the Minutes. In compliance to the conditions imposed by SEAC the project proponent has submitted the desired documents.

The case was listed in 22nd Meeting of SEIAA and after deliberations, the Authority observed that the SEAC has recommended the case on certain conditions and prior submission of certain documents by the project proponent, the Authority observed that the additional information/documents submitted by the project proponent should have been re-examined by SEAC itself as to ensure that the compliance reported by the project proponent as per the observations of SEAC was complete. Though in the instant case considering the pendency of the application for considerable time, the Authority decided that the Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) may sit together and re-examine the additional documents and, if found complete in all respect the case may be listed for next Meeting of the SEIAA. Incomplete case be referred back to SEAC.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) may re-examine the additional documents and observed that the documents are complete in all respect. It has been recommended that the case may be considered by SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as recommended and imposed by the SEAC.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as imposed by the SEAC.

2.14 Sh. Hemender Chandel, Village-Pawan Kunj, P.O. Brahmna, Tehsil-Kandaghat, District-Solan, H.P.

Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type
Extraction of Stone.

b) Project Location
Khasra numbers 589/1 at Mauza & Mohal Neri of Kandaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh.
c) Capacity 21,160 TPA.
d) Mining Area 13-04-00 Bighas/ 0.9933 ha (Private Land).
e) EMP Cost Rs. 2.0 Lakhs (Capital Cost) and Rs. 1.2 Lakhs per annum (Recurring Cost).

The case was listed in 39th Meeting of SEAC. The committee after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to prior fulfillment of certain conditions and along with project ‘Specific Conditions’ as at Annexure-A and ‘General Conditions’ to be stipulated as at Annexure-B of the Minutes. In compliance to the conditions imposed by SEAC the project proponent has submitted the desired documents.

The case was listed in 22nd Meeting of SEIAA and after deliberations, the Authority observed that the SEAC has recommended the case on certain conditions and prior submission of certain documents by the project proponent, the Authority observed that the additional information/documents submitted by the project proponent should have been re-examined by SEAC itself as to ensure that the compliance reported by the project proponent as per the observations of SEAC was complete. Though in the instant case considering the pendency of the application for considerable time, the Authority decided that the Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) may sit together and re-examine the additional documents and, if found complete in all respect the case may be listed for next Meeting of the SEIAA. Incomplete case may be referred back to SEAC.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the project proponent has submitted compliance to the above mentioned conditions imposed by SEAC as per requirement but has stated that the R&R plan is not applicable to them in view of above submission by the project proponent the case may be relisted before SEAC for further necessary action.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the Authority approved to return the case to SEAC for re-examination.

2.15 Sh. Guljar Mohamed, Village-Gulabpura, P.O. Panjeira, Tehsil-Nalagarh, District-Solan, Himachal Pradesh.

Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type Extraction/ collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri.
b) Project Location Khasra No. 338, 339, 334, 340, 341, 337, 336, 364, 357 and 360 located at Mauza Dhalathon, Nalagarh, Solan, H.P.
c) Capacity 21,730 TPA.
d) Mining Area 17-04 Bigha (Private land).
e) EMP Cost 1.6 Lakhs (Capital Cost) and 1.1. Lakhs/ annum (Recurring cost).

The case was listed in 39th Meeting of SEAC. The committee after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to prior fulfillment of certain conditions and along with project ‘Specific Conditions’ as at Annexure-A and ‘General Conditions’ to be stipulated as at Annexure-B of the Minutes. In compliance to the conditions imposed by SEAC the project proponent has submitted the desired documents.

The case was listed in 22nd Meeting of SEIAA and after deliberations, the Authority observed that the SEAC has recommended the case on certain conditions and prior submission of certain documents by the project proponent, the Authority observed that the additional information/documents submitted by the project proponent should have been re-examined by...
SEAC itself as to ensure that the compliance reported by the project proponent as per the observations of SEAC was complete. Though in the instant case considering the pendency of the application for considerable time, the Authority decided that the Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) may sit together and re-examine the additional documents and, if found complete in all respect the case may be listed for next Meeting of the SEIAA. Incomplete case be referred back to SEAC.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the documents are complete in all respect. It has been recommended that the case may be considered by SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as recommended and imposed by the SEAC.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as imposed by the SEAC.

2.16 Sh. Vijay Kumar, M/s Gargacharya Stone Crusher, Village & Post-Largi, Kullu, Himachal Pradesh.

Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type
b) Project Location
c) Capacity
d) Mining Area
e) EMP Cost

Extraction/collection of Stone.
Tukra No. 1, near Largi in Phati Rot-I, Kothi Bhallan, Sub Tehsil-Sainj, Kullu, HP.
15,000 TPA.
11-14 Bighas (Govt. Land/ Forest Land).
Capital cost Rs. 1.7 lakhs and Recurring cost Rs. 1.2 lakhs.

The case was listed in 39th Meeting of SEAC. The committee after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to prior fulfillment of certain conditions and along with project ‘Specific Conditions’ as at Annexure-A and ‘General Conditions’ to be stipulated as at Annexure-B of the Minutes. In compliance to the conditions imposed by SEAC the project proponent has submitted the desired documents.

The case was listed in 22nd Meeting of SEIAA and after deliberations, the Authority observed that the SEAC has recommended the case on certain conditions and prior submission of certain documents by the project proponent, the Authority observed that the additional information/documents submitted by the project proponent should have been re-examined by SEAC itself as to ensure that the compliance reported by the project proponent as per the observations of SEAC was complete. Though in the instant case considering the pendency of the application for considerable time, the Authority decided that the Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) may sit together and re-examine the additional documents and, if found complete in all respect the case may be listed for next Meeting of the SEIAA. Incomplete case be referred back to SEAC.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the documents are complete in all respect. It has been recommended that the case may be considered by SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as recommended and imposed by the SEAC.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as imposed by the SEAC.
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2.17 Sh. O.P. Mehta, Mauza Pashada, P.O. Khaneri, Tehsil-Rampur, District-Shimla, Himachal Pradesh.

Brief outline of the project:

a) **Project type**
   - Extraction/collection of Stone.

b) **Project Location**
   - Khasra numbers 493/480/33, 109/15, 115/110/15, 117/113/21, 111/21 in Mauza Pashada, Rampur, Shimla, H.P.

c) **Capacity**
   - 30,000 TPA.

d) **Mining Area**
   - 1-10-78 hectares (Approach road 0-01-83 hectare, Stone Crusher 0-09-44 hectare, Dumping site 0-18-45 hectare, quarry site 0-81-06 hectare) (Forest/ Govt. Land).

e) **EMP Cost**
   - Capital cost as Rs. 4.5 lakhs and Recurring cost as Rs. 1.2 lakhs.

The case was listed in 39th Meeting of SEAC. The committee after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to prior fulfillment of certain conditions and along with project 'Specific Conditions' as at Annexure-A and 'General Conditions' to be stipulated as at Annexure-B of the Minutes. In compliance to the conditions imposed by SEAC the project proponent has submitted the desired documents.

The case was listed in 22nd Meeting of SEIAA and after deliberations, the Authority observed that the SEAC has recommended the case on certain conditions and prior submission of certain documents by the project proponent, the Authority observed that the additional information/documents submitted by the project proponent should have been re-examined by SEAC itself as to ensure that the compliance reported by the project proponent as per the observations of SEAC was complete. Though in the instant case considering the pendency of the application for considerable time, the Authority decided that the Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) may sit together and re-examine the additional documents and, if found complete in all respect the case may be listed for next Meeting of the SEIAA. Incomplete case be referred back to SEAC.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the project proponent has submitted compliance to the above mentioned conditions imposed by SEAC as per requirement. The additional documents examined/ scrutinized and found complete in all respect. Therefore, the case may be listed for grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit. It has been recommended that the case may be considered by the SEIAA subject to condition that the pp shall submit the Form-I dully filled as per directions of the SEAC before issuance of the EC letter.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as imposed by the SEAC.


Brief outline of the project:

a) **Project type**
   - Extraction/ collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri.

b) **Project Location**
   - Khasra number 1/1 at Mauza Mohal Choot of Tehsil-Arki, Solan, H.P.
c) Capacity
15,100 TPA.

d) Mining Area
1.813 ha / 24-02-00 Bighas (Private land, River bed).
e) EMP Cost
Capital cost as Rs. 3.7 lakhs, Recurring cost as Rs. 2.67 lakhs and Rs. 1.00 lakhs under proposed CSR activity.

The case was listed in 39th Meeting of SEAC. The committee after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to prior fulfillment of certain conditions and along with project ‘Specific Conditions’ as at Annexure-A and ‘General Conditions’ to be stipulated as at Annexure-B of the Minutes. In compliance to the conditions imposed by SEAC the project proponent has submitted the desired documents.

The case was listed in 22nd Meeting of SEIAA and after deliberations, the Authority observed that the SEAC has recommended the case on certain conditions and prior submission of certain documents by the project proponent, the Authority observed that the additional information/documents submitted by the project proponent should have been re-examined by SEAC itself as to ensure that the compliance reported by the project proponent as per the observations of SEAC was complete. Though in the instant case considering the pendency of the application for considerable time, the Authority decided that the Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) may sit together and re-examine the additional documents and, if found complete in all respect the case may be listed for next Meeting of the SEIAA. Incomplete case be referred back to SEAC.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the documents are complete in all respect. It has been recommended that the case may be considered by SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as recommended and imposed by the SEAC.

**After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as imposed by the SEAC.**

2.19 Smt. Pooja Vij, M/s Guru Kripa Industries Kamla Niwas, Nr. Telephone Exchange, Chotta Shimla, H.P.

**Brief outline of the project:**

a) Project type
Extraction/collection of Stone.
b) Project Location
c) Capacity
15,000 TPA.
d) Mining Area
11-10 Bighas/0.8472 ha. (Private land, Hill slope).
e) EMP Cost
Capital cost as Rs. 7.20 lakhs, Recurring cost as Rs. 5.55 lakhs for five years and Rs. 1.50 lakhs under proposed CSR activity.

The case was listed in 39th Meeting of SEAC. The committee after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to prior fulfillment of certain conditions and along with project ‘Specific Conditions’ as at Annexure-A and ‘General Conditions’ to be stipulated as at Annexure-B of the Minutes. In compliance to the conditions imposed by SEAC the project proponent has submitted the desired documents.

The case was listed in 22nd Meeting of SEIAA and after deliberations, the Authority observed that the SEAC has recommended the case on certain conditions and prior submission of certain documents by the project proponent, the Authority observed that the additional information/documents submitted by the project proponent should have been re-examined by
SEAC itself as to ensure that the compliance reported by the project proponent as per the observations of SEAC was complete. Though in the instant case considering the pendency of the application for considerable time, the Authority decided that the Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) may sit together and re-examine the additional documents and, if found complete in all respect the case may be listed for next Meeting of the SEIAA. Incomplete case be referred back to SEAC.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the documents are complete in all respect. It has been recommended that the case may be considered by SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as recommended and imposed by the SEAC.

**After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as imposed by the SEAC.**


Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type: Extraction of Limestone.
b) Project Location: Khasra number 2658/1 at Mauza Tatiyana, Kamroo, Sirmaur, Himachal Pradesh.
c) Capacity: 45,000 TPA.
d) Mining Area: 18-04 bighas /1.5 hectares (Private Land, hill slope).
e) EMP Cost: Capital cost as Rs. 8.50 lakhs, Recurring cost as Rs. 6.10 lakhs and Rs. 50,000 under proposed CSR activity.

The case was listed in 39th Meeting of SEAC. The committee after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to prior fulfillment of following conditions and along with project ‘Specific Conditions’ as at Annexure-A and ‘General Conditions’ to be stipulated as at Annexure-B of the Minutes. In compliance to the conditions imposed by SEAC the project proponent has submitted the desired documents.

The case was listed in 22nd Meeting of SEIAA and after deliberations, the Authority observed that the SEAC has recommended the case on certain conditions and prior submission of certain documents by the project proponent, the Authority observed that the additional information/documents submitted by the project proponent should have been re-examined by SEAC itself as to ensure that the compliance reported by the project proponent as per the observations of SEAC was complete. Though in the instant case considering the pendency of the application for considerable time, the Authority decided that the Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) may sit together and re-examine the additional documents and, if found complete in all respect the case may be listed for next Meeting of the SEIAA. Incomplete case be referred back to SEAC.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the project proponent has submitted compliance to the above mentioned conditions imposed by SEAC as per requirement but has not submitted the R&R plan and however in place of same a reply has been given for reclamation of mined area/ work stating that there is no need of rehabilitation as such, in view of above submission by the project proponent the case may be relisted before SEAC for further necessary action.
After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the Authority approved to return the case to SEAC for re-examination.


Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type
b) Project Location
Khasra numbers 1123, 1125 & 1126, Mauza & Mohal Jankaur, Tehsil & Distt. Una, H.P.
c) Capacity
24,180 TPA.
d) Mining Area
4-72-93 Hectare (Private Land).
e) EMP Cost
Capital cost as Rs. 2.5 Lakhs and Recurring cost as Rs. 0.50 Lakhs/ Annum.

The case was listed in 39th Meeting of SEAC. The committee after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to prior fulfillment of certain conditions and along with project 'Specific Conditions' as at Annexure-A and 'General Conditions' to be stipulated as at Annexure-B of the Minutes.

The case was listed in 22nd Meeting of SEIAA and after deliberations, the Authority observed that the SEAC has recommended the case on certain conditions and prior submission of certain documents by the project proponent, the Authority observed that the additional information/documents submitted by the project proponent should have been re-examined by SEAC itself as to ensure that the compliance reported by the project proponent as per the observations of SEAC was complete. Though in the instant case considering the pendency of the application for considerable time, the Authority decided that the Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) may sit together and re-examine the additional documents and, if found complete in all respect the case may be listed for next Meeting of the SEIAA. Incomplete case be referred back to SEAC.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the project proponent has submitted compliance to the above mentioned conditions imposed by SEAC as per requirement. The additional documents examined/ scrutinized and found that the provisional mining lease was issued on 24/9/2012 i.e. valid upto 23/9/2013. Therefore, in view of the validity of mining lease the case may be relisted before SEAC for further necessary action.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the authority Approved to return the case to SEAC for re-examination.


Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type
b) Project Location
Khasra No. 1801 Mauza Mandwara, Tehsil-Amb, Una, Himachal Pradesh.
c) Capacity
11,720 TPA.
d) Mining Area
2-42-70 ha (Private Land).
e) EMP Cost
Rs. 1.20 lakhs (Capital Cost), Rs. 0.60 lakhs (Recurring cost) under EMP activities and Rs. 1.60 Lakhs (Capital Cost), Rs. 0.10 (Recurring Cost) under proposed CSR activities.
The project was appraised in 37th Meeting of SEAC and project proponent had complied all conditions imposed by SEAC. The case was again listed in 39th Meeting of SEAC. The committee after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of certain conditions and along with project ‘Specific Conditions’ as at Annexure-A and ‘General Conditions’ to be stipulated as at Annexure-B of the Minutes. In compliance to the conditions imposed by SEAC the project proponent has submitted revised cost proposed under CSR activities.

The case was listed in 22nd Meeting of SEIAA and after deliberations, the Authority observed that the SEAC has recommended the case on certain conditions and prior submission of certain documents by the project proponent, the Authority observed that the additional information/documents submitted by the project proponent should have been re-examined by SEAC itself as to ensure that the compliance reported by the project proponent as per the observations of SEAC was complete. Though in the instant case considering the pendency of the application for considerable time, the Authority decided that the Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) may sit together and re-examine the additional documents and, if found complete in all respect the case may be listed for next Meeting of the SEIAA. Incomplete case be referred back to SEAC.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the documents are complete in all respect. It has been recommended that the case may be considered by SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as recommended and imposed by the SEAC.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as imposed by the SEAC.

2.23 Smt. Ambika, M/s Saraswati Stone Crusher & Screening Unit, Vivek Nagar, Pir Nickel Road, P.O. & District Una, Himachal Pradesh.

Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type
   Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri.

b) Project Location
   Khasra numbers 2846/1236, 2843/1234 & 2845/1236 at Mauza Chalola, Tehsil & District Una, Himachal Pradesh.

c) Capacity
   42,000 TPA.

d) Mining Area
   0-76-38 hectares (Private land, Hill slope).

e) EMP Cost
   Capital cost: Rs. 1.0 lakhs, Recurring cost: Rs. 1.25 lakhs.

The case was listed in 39th Meeting of SEAC. The committee after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to prior fulfillment of following conditions and along with project ‘Specific Conditions’ as at Annexure-A and ‘General Conditions’ to be stipulated as at Annexure-B of the Minutes. In compliance to the conditions imposed by SEAC the project proponent has submitted the desired documents.

The case was listed in 22nd Meeting of SEIAA and after deliberations, the Authority observed that the SEAC has recommended the case on certain conditions and prior submission of certain documents by the project proponent, the Authority observed that the additional information/documents submitted by the project proponent should have been re-examined by SEAC itself as to ensure that the compliance reported by the project proponent as per the observations of SEAC was complete. Though in the instant case considering the pendency of the application for considerable time, the Authority decided that the Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) may sit together and re-examine the additional documents.
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and, if found complete in all respect the case may be listed for next Meeting of the SEIAA. Incomplete case be referred back to SEAC.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22\textsuperscript{nd} Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the documents are complete in all respect. It has been recommended that the case may be considered by SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as recommended and imposed by the SEAC.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as imposed by the SEAC.

2.24 Sh. Rameshwar Guleria, M/s Mahavir Stone Crusher, Mauza & Mohal Majra, Tehsil-Indora, District-Kasagra, H.P.

**Brief outline of the project:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Project type</th>
<th>Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone &amp; Bajri.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b) Project Location</td>
<td>Khasra number 256 at Mauza &amp; Mohal of Tehsil-Indora, Kangra, H.P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Capacity</td>
<td>29,000 TPA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Mining Area</td>
<td>4-64-50 hectare (Private land, Terrace land HFL Chakki Khad).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) EMP Cost</td>
<td>Rs. 5.5 Lakhs (Capital Cost) and Rs. 1.0 Lakhs/Annum (Recurring Cost).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The case was listed in 39\textsuperscript{th} Meeting of SEAC. The committee after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to prior fulfillment of certain conditions and along with project ‘Specific Conditions’ as at Annexure-A and ‘General Conditions’ to be stipulated as at Annexure-B of the Minutes. In compliance to the conditions imposed by SEAC the project proponent has submitted the desired documents.

The case was listed in 22\textsuperscript{nd} Meeting of SEIAA and after deliberations, the Authority observed that the SEAC has recommended the case on certain conditions and prior submission of certain documents by the project proponent, the Authority observed that the additional information/documents submitted by the project proponent should have been re-examined by SEAC itself as to ensure that the compliance reported by the project proponent as per the observations of SEAC was complete. Though in the instant case considering the pendency of the application for considerable time, the Authority decided that the Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) may sit together and re-examine the additional documents and, if found complete in all respect the case may be listed for next Meeting of the SEIAA. Incomplete case be referred back to SEAC.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22\textsuperscript{nd} Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the documents are complete in all respect. It has been recommended that the case may be considered by SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as recommended and imposed by the SEAC.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the Authority Approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as imposed by the SEAC.


| a) Project type | Mining of lime stone. |
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b) **Project Location**  
Khasra number 528/1 and 62 Mauza Kamroo, Distt. Sirmour, HP.

c) **Capacity**  
30,000 TPA.

d) **Mining Area**  
66-04 Bighas.

e) **EMP cost**  
Rs. 15 Lakhs.

The case was transferred by Ministry of Environment, Forests & CC, Govt. of India to SEIAA. The ToR's of the project has been issued by MoEF & CC on 28.04.2011. A letter from Ministry of Mines regarding approval of scheme of mining w.r.t. project proponent dated 03.05.2010 has also been taken by the PP. A letter from State Geologist regarding transfer of mining lease granted to project proponent 18.07.2009 has been supplied.

The case was listed in 37th Meeting of SEAC. The Committee after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and after considering the facts placed before it, concluded that the case application for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA may pend till the fulfillment of certain conditions. In compliance to the conditions imposed by SEAC the project proponent has submitted the desired documents.

The case was listed in 22nd Meeting of SEIAA and after deliberations, the Authority observed that the SEAC has recommended the case on certain conditions and prior submission of certain documents by the project proponent, the Authority observed that the additional information/documents submitted by the project proponent should have been re-examined by SEAC itself as to ensure that the compliance reported by the project proponent as per the observations of SEAC was complete. Though in the instant case considering the pendency of the application for considerable time, the Authority decided that the Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) may sit together and re-examine the additional documents and, if found complete in all respect the case may be listed for next Meeting of the SEIAA. Incomplete case be referred back to SEAC.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the case may be referred back to the SEAC for further appraisal since it is a case of violation and clear recommendations be made by SEAC.

**After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the authority Approved to return the case to SEAC for re-examination & clear recommendations.**

**ITEM NO. 3**

Consideration of the projects for grant of Environment Clearance as recommended by the State Level Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC) in its 40th Meeting held on July 7-9, 2015. As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting held on 21st July 2015, the documents submitted by the project proponent has been re-examined by the Member Secretary (SEIAA) & Secretary (SEAC), thereafter, listed the case accordingly.

3.1 Sh. Narender Kumar Justa, M/s Ambika Stone Crusher, Village-Chamera, P.O. Rawala-Kair, Tehsil-Kotkhai, District-Shimla, H.P.

**Brief outline of the project:**

a) **Project type**  
Extraction of Stone.

b) **Project Location**  
Khasra number 166 falling at Mauza/ Mohal Chamera of Tehsil-Kotkhai, Shimla, HP.

c) **Capacity**  
13,670 TPA.

d) **Mining Area**  
0-74-66 hectare (Private land, Hill slope).

e) **EMP Cost**  
Capital cost: Rs. 4.0 lakhs, Recurring cost: Rs. 3.1 lakhs.
The case was listed in 40th Meeting of SEAC, after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts, the committee recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to prior fulfillment of certain conditions and along with project ‘Specific Conditions’ as at Annexure-A and ‘General Conditions’ to be stipulated as at Annexure-B of the Minutes. In compliance to the above conditions project proponent has submitted the desired documents.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the documents are complete in all respect. It has been recommended that the case may be considered by SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as recommended and imposed by the SEAC.

**After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as imposed by the SEAC.**

### 3.2 Sh. Bharat Bhushan Verma, M/s Bharat Bhushan Stone Crusher, Village & Post Office-Sainj, Tehsil-Theog, District-Shimla, H.P.

**Brief outline of the project:**

- **a) Project type**: Extraction of Stone.
- **b) Project Location**: Khasra number 69, 70, 71, 72, & 76 falling in Mauza Tihana of Tehsil-Theog, Himachal Pradesh.
- **c) Capacity**: 10,000 TPA.
- **d) Mining Area**: 1-69-25 hectare (Private land, Hill slope).
- **e) EMP Cost**: Capital cost: Rs. 3.1 lakhs, Recurring cost: Rs. 3.02 lakhs.

The case was listed in 40th Meeting of SEAC, after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts, the committee recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to prior fulfillment of certain conditions and along with project ‘Specific Conditions’ as at Annexure-A and ‘General Conditions’ to be stipulated as at Annexure-B of the Minutes. In compliance to the above conditions project proponent has submitted the desired documents.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the documents are complete in all respect. It has been recommended that the case may be considered by SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as recommended and imposed by the SEAC.

**After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as imposed by the SEAC.**

### 3.3 Mr. Amber Mahajan, Ward No. 2, Ananad Bhawan, Tehsil-Nurpur, District-Kangra, 176202, Himachal Pradesh.

**Brief outline of the project:**

- **a) Project type**: Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri.
- **b) Project Location**: Khasra number 18 & 24 falling in Mauza & Mohal Halley of Tehsil-Indora, District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh.
- **c) Capacity**: 2,66,490 MT for the leased period of 5 year.
- **d) Mining Area**: 4.70.99 hectare (Private land).
- **e) EMP Cost**: Capital Cost: Rs. 4.5 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 1.5 lakhs.
The case was listed in the 40th Meeting of SEAC. The committee after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of certain conditions and along with project ‘Specific Conditions’ as at Annexure-A and ‘General Conditions’ to be stipulated as at Annexure-B of the Minutes. In compliance to the above conditions project proponent has submitted the desired documents.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the documents are complete in all respect. It has been recommended that the case may be considered by SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as recommended and imposed by the SEAC.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as imposed by the SEAC.

3.4 Mr. Karan Singh, Mauza & Mohal-Bain Attarian, Tehsil-Indora, District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh.

Brief outline of the project:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a)</td>
<td>Project type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b)</td>
<td>Project Location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c)</td>
<td>Capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d)</td>
<td>Mining Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e)</td>
<td>EMP Cost</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Extraction /collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri.
- Khasra number 178/1 falling in Mauza & Mohal-Bain Attarian of Tehsil Indora, District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh.
- 2, 91,025 MT for the leased period of 5 year/ 59,000 TPA.
- 4-77-92 hectare (Private land, terrace mining).
- Capital Cost: Rs. 7.5 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 1.5 lakhs/annum.

The case was listed in 40th Meeting of SEAC. The committee after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to prior fulfillment of certain conditions and along with project ‘Specific Conditions’ as at Annexure-A and ‘General Conditions’ to be stipulated as at Annexure-B of the Minutes. In compliance to the above conditions project proponent has submitted the desired documents.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the documents are complete in all respect. It has been recommended that the case may be considered by SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as recommended and imposed by the SEAC.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the authority Approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as imposed by the SEAC.

3.5 Mr. Ajay Mahajan, M/s Krishna Stone Crusher, Mauza & Mohal Mohtali, Tehsil-Indora, District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh.

Brief outline of the project:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a)</td>
<td>Project type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b)</td>
<td>Project Location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c)</td>
<td>Capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d)</td>
<td>Mining Area</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Extraction /collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri.
- Khasra number 1184, 1185, 1187, 1188, 1189, 1190, 1192, 1193, 1194 & 1195 falling in Mauza Mohali Mohtali, Tehsil-Indora, District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh.
- 95,130 MT for the leased period of 5 year.
- 4-85-87 hectare (Private land, Terrace mining).
e) **EMP Cost**  
Capital Cost: Rs. 8.0 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 1.5 lakhs/annum.

The case was listed in 40th Meeting of SEAC. The committee after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to prior fulfillment of certain conditions and along with project ‘Specific Conditions’ as at Annexure-A and ‘General Conditions’ to be stipulated as at Annexure-B of the Minutes. In compliance to the above conditions project proponent has submitted the desired documents.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the documents are complete in all respect. It has been recommended that the case may be considered by SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as recommended and imposed by the SEAC.

**After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as imposed by the SEAC.**

### 3.5

**Sh. Navdesh Passi,** M/s Himachal Grit Udhyog, Village-Sansiwala, P.O. Barotiwalla, Tehsil-Baddi, H.P.

**Brief outline of the project:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Project type</th>
<th>Extraction of Stone.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b) Project Location</td>
<td>Khasra number 95 (4-08 Bighas), 96/2 (8-12 Bighas) at Mauza &amp; Mohal Vidhi in Tehsil-Baddi, District-Solan, H.P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Capacity</td>
<td>23,000 TPA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Mining Area</td>
<td>13.00 Bighas, 0.978 hectare (Private land, hill slope).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) EMP Cost</td>
<td>Capital Cost: Rs. 3.01 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 2.28 lakhs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The case was listed in 40th Meeting of SEAC, after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts, the committee recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to prior fulfillment of certain conditions and along with project ‘Specific Conditions’ as at Annexure-A and ‘General Conditions’ to be stipulated as at Annexure-B of the Minutes. In compliance to the above conditions project proponent has submitted the documents.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the documents are complete in all respect. It has been recommended that the case may be considered by SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as recommended and imposed by the SEAC.

**After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as imposed by the SEAC.**

### 3.6

**The Manager,** M/s Su-Kam Power System Ltd. Plot No.7, Apparel Park-cum-Industries area, Katha, Baddi, Solan, H.P.

**Brief outline of the project:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Project type</th>
<th>Su-Kam Power Systems Ltd. (Battery Manufacturing &amp; Inverter Assembly Unit).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b) Project Location</td>
<td>Plot No. 7, Apparel Park-cum-Industrial area, Baddi, Solan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Capacity</td>
<td>6 lacs batteries per year (about 13,200 TPA lead consumption)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Type of project</td>
<td>Modernization (unit is now proposing to manufacture inverter also).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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e) Area 2,61,091 square meter.

The project proponent applied for assembling invertors. This additional activity does not come within the purview of SEAC. The proponent also stated that their Environmental Clearance which was granted in 2009 expired in 2014. The proponent has also submitted that their project falls under the category B-Item No. 3(a) of the Schedule specified under the Environment Impact Assessment Notification, 2006. While presenting the case during the appraisal of the application before SEAC the project proponent submitted that in view of the amendment in EIA notification dated 01-12-2009 the EIA, 2006 is not applicable in their case and therefore the SEAC may, therefore, give the necessary permission/exemption from the EIA notification.

The case was listed in 40th Meeting of SEAC, after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts, the committee decided that project proponent may seek clarification w.r.t. primary and secondary metallurgical process from MoEF&CC, GoI and submit the same to the SEAC/ SEIAA for further decision in the matter.

The Authority approved the recommendations of SEAC.

3.7 Sh. Hari Parkash Abhi, Mohal Chhanni, Tehsil-Indora, District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh, 140 110

Brief outline of the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Project type</th>
<th>Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone &amp; Bajri (terrace/ pit mining)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b) Project Location</td>
<td>Khasra number 561/1 falling in Mauza &amp; Mohal Chhanni of Tehsil-Indora, District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Capacity</td>
<td>4,08,933 MT for the leased period of 5 years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Mining Area</td>
<td>04-72-98 hectare (Govt. land).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) EMP Cost</td>
<td>Capital Cost: Rs. 6.0 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 1.0 lakhs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The case was listed in 40th Meeting of SEAC. The committee after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to prior fulfillment of certain conditions and along with project ‘Specific Conditions’ as at Annexure-A and ‘General Conditions’ to be stipulated as at Annexure-B of the Minutes. In compliance to the above conditions project proponent has submitted the desired documents.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the documents are complete in all respect. It has been recommended that the case may be considered by SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as recommended and imposed by the SEAC.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as imposed by the SEAC.

3.8 Sh. Neeraj Nayyar, Mohalla Bhangotu, P.O. Chamba, Tehsil & District-Chamba, Himachal Pradesh.

Brief outline of the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Project type</th>
<th>Extraction of slate mining.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b) Project Location</td>
<td>Khasra number 1883/1579/1 in Mauza Gehra, Tehsil &amp; District-Chamba, H.P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Capacity</td>
<td>1500 TPA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Mining Area</td>
<td>17.05 bighas, 1.392 hectare (Forest land).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) EMP Cost</td>
<td>Capital Cost: Rs. 4.50 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 2.15 lakhs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The project was listed in 28th meeting of SEAC held on 17.06.2013, where, it was observed that the lease area is less than 5 ha, but located in Forest land, hence the project is categorized as B-1. The proponent shall prepare EIA as per standard Term of References (ToRs) fixed vide item no. 4 of the minutes of 25th meeting of SEAC subject to the condition that the proponent shall submit evidence that they have applied for Forest clearance and shall submit certificate issued by Forest Department that there is no protected area notified under Wild Life Protection Act, 1972 within 10 Km of the lease area.

After preparing the draft EIA (as per the generic structure prescribed in Appendix-II of the EIA Notification, 2006) covering the above mentioned issues, the proponent will get the public hearing conducted and take further necessary action for obtaining environment clearance in accordance with the procedure prescribed under EIA Notification, 2006.

Now, the project proponent has applied afresh for obtaining Environment Clearance as category B-2 project stating the application has been submitted as per amendments in EIA Notification.

The project proponent has submitted letter from the Director Industries dated 17.10.2006 regarding extension of mining lease period, supplementary deed document dated 02.04.2007, letter from Technical Officer (Forestry), MoEF regarding renewal of existing mining lease (extraction slates) for a period of five years w.e.f. 05.11.2011 to 04.11.2016, maps, letter of conservator of Forests (central) regarding renewal of mining lease dated 03.03.2008, joint inspection report dated 11.05.2005, surface plan, NOC from DFO-Chamba dated 29.03.2013, letter from Mining Officer dated 06.05.2013, NOC from Gram Panchayat regarding use of water.

The project proponent has submitted the Forest Clearance/ approval from the Ministry of Environment & Forests, Gol besides the certificate from the Forest Department that the project is located beyond 10 KMs from the wild life centaury areas.

The case was listed in 40th Meeting of SEAC, after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts, the committee recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to prior fulfillment of certain conditions and along with project 'Specific Conditions' as at Annexure-A and 'General Conditions' to be stipulated as at Annexure-B of the Minutes. In compliance to the above the project proponent has submitted the document.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the documents are complete in all respect. It has been recommended that the case may be considered by SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as recommended and imposed by the SEAC.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as imposed by the SEAC.

3.9 Sh. Surinder Singh Jamwal, M/s Shankar Stone Crusher, Village & Post Office-Duhak, Sub-Tehsil-Thural, District-Kangra, H.P.

Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type: Extraction/ collection Sand, Stone & Bajri.
b) Project Location: Khasra number 837, 852, 853 in Mauza Alampur Mohal Lahar Duhak of Sub Tehsil-Thural, Kangra, Himachal Pradesh.
c) Capacity: 16,537 TPA
d) Mining Area: 1.04,70 hectare (Private land, hill slope).
e) EMP Cost: Capital cost: Rs. 3.0 lakhs, Recurring cost: Rs. 1.5 lakhs.

The proposed project is open cast semi mechanized mining. No drilling and blasting has been proposed. As proposed the mined out material is to be crushed to make grit hence all the hard...
rock will be utilized in the crusher. The mining is proposed between 702 meters R.L. to 660 meters R.L.

The project proponent has submitted the desired documents like maps of site, NOC from Gram Panchayat dated 27.01.2010, letter from Mining Officer dated 19.02.2015, NOC certificate from DFO, Palampur dated 24.02.2015, joint inspection report dated 25.02.2010, affidavit regarding plantation, letter from Mining Officer dated 17.01.2015, NOC letter from L&PH department dated 30.03.2015, NOC letter from PWD department dated 23.02.2015, undertaking regarding legal source of water, grant of mining lease letter dated 24.03.2011.

The case was listed in 40th Meeting of SEAC, after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts, the committee recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to prior fulfillment of certain conditions and along with project ‘Specific Conditions’ as at Annexure-A and ‘General Conditions’ to be stipulated as at Annexure-B of the Minutes. In compliance the above condition project proponent has submitted the desired documents.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the documents are complete in all respect. It has been recommended that the case may be considered by SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as recommended and imposed by the SEAC.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as imposed by the SEAC.


Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type
   Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri.

b) Project Location
   Khasra number Khasra number 1311/369/1 at Mohal/Mauza Khanni/Baduhi of Tehsil-Nurpur, District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh.

c) Capacity
   26,070 TPA.

d) Mining Area
   04-04-80 hectare.

e) EMP Cost
   Capital Cost: Rs. 6.0 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 1.1 lakhs.

The case was listed in 40th Meeting of SEAC, after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts, the committee recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to prior fulfillment of certain conditions and along with project ‘Specific Conditions’ as at Annexure-A and ‘General Conditions’ to be stipulated as at Annexure-B of the Minutes. In compliance to the above condition project proponent has submitted the desired documents.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the documents are complete in all respect. It has been recommended that the case may be considered by SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as recommended and imposed by the SEAC.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as imposed by the SEAC.
3.11  
Sh. Raman Kumar, S/o Sh. Dharam Pal, Village & Post Office-Ispur, Tehsil & District-Una, H.P.

Brief outline of the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Project type</th>
<th>Khasra number 339/2, 341/1, 339/1, 340 &amp; 341/2 at Mauza Saloh Up-Mohal Ghaluwal of Tehsil &amp; District-Una, Himachal Pradesh.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a)</td>
<td>Project type</td>
<td>Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone &amp; Bajri.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b)</td>
<td>Project Location</td>
<td>Khasra number 339/2, 341/1, 339/1, 340 &amp; 341/2 at Mauza Saloh Up-Mohal Ghaluwal of Tehsil &amp; District-Una, Himachal Pradesh.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c)</td>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>54,570 TPA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d)</td>
<td>Mining Area</td>
<td>03.31.57 hectare. (Private land).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e)</td>
<td>EMP Cost</td>
<td>Capital Cost: Rs. 1.90 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 1.56/annum lakhs and 2.50 lakhs as Capital cost and 12,000/annum Recurring cost under proposed CSR Activity.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The case was listed in 40th Meeting of SEAC, after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts, the committee recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to prior fulfillment of certain conditions and along with project 'Specific Conditions' as at Annexure-A and 'General Conditions' to be stipulated as at Annexure-B of the Minutes. In compliance to the above conditions project proponent has submitted the desired documents.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the documents are complete in all respect. It has been recommended that the case may be considered by SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as recommended and imposed by the SEAC.

3.12  

Brief outline of the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Project type</th>
<th>Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone &amp; Bajri.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a)</td>
<td>Project type</td>
<td>Khasra number 679/1 at Mohal/Mauza Khani/Baduhi of Tehsil-Nurpur, District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b)</td>
<td>Project Location</td>
<td>Khasra number 679/1 at Mohal/Mauza Khani/Baduhi of Tehsil-Nurpur, District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c)</td>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>49,700 TPA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d)</td>
<td>Mining Area</td>
<td>04.61.87 ha (Govt. land, River bed).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e)</td>
<td>EMP Cost</td>
<td>Capital Cost: Rs. 6.0 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 1.1 lakhs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The case was listed in 40th Meeting of SEAC, after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts, the committee recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to prior fulfillment of certain conditions and along with project 'Specific Conditions' as at Annexure-A and 'General Conditions' to be stipulated as at Annexure-B of the Minutes. In compliance to the above conditions project proponent has submitted the desired documents.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the documents are complete in all respect. It has been recommended that the case may be considered by SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as recommended and imposed by the SEAC.
After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as imposed by the SEAC.


Brief outline of the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Project type</th>
<th>Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone &amp; Bajri.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b) Project Location</td>
<td>Khasra number 3024, 3027, 3030, 3031/1 &amp; 3031/2 located in Mohal/Mauza Indora, Kangra, H.P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Capacity</td>
<td>88,000 TPA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Mining Area</td>
<td>4-90-11 ha. (Private Land).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) EMP Cost</td>
<td>Capital Cost: Rs. 4.5 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 2.0 lakhs/annum.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The case was listed in 40th Meeting of SEAC, after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts, the committee recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to prior fulfillment of certain conditions and along with project ‘Specific Conditions’ as at Annexure-A and ‘General Conditions’ to be stipulated as at Annexure-B of the Minutes. In compliance to the above conditions project proponent has submitted the desired documents.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the documents are complete in all respect. It has been recommended that the case may be considered by SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as recommended and imposed by the SEAC.


Brief outline of the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Project type</th>
<th>Extraction/collection Sand, Stone &amp; Bajri.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>c) Capacity</td>
<td>17,440 MTA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Mining Area</td>
<td>2.0715 hectare (Private land, terrace mining).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) EMP Cost</td>
<td>Capital Cost: Rs. 4.6 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 1.8 lakhs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The case was listed in 40th Meeting of SEAC, after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts, the committee recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to prior fulfillment of certain conditions and along with project ‘Specific Conditions’ as at Annexure-A and ‘General Conditions’ to be stipulated as at Annexure-B of the Minutes. In compliance to the above conditions project proponent has submitted the desired documents.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the documents are complete in all respect. It has been recommended that the case may be considered by SEIAA
for grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as recommended and imposed by the SEAC.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as imposed by the SEAC.


Brief outline of the project:

- **a)** Project type: Extraction/collection Sand, Stone & Bajri.
- **b)** Project Location: Khasra number 1490/667, 680, 1491/682 & 1494/682 falling in Mauza/Mohal Khanni/Baduhi of Tehsil-Nurpur, District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh.
- **c)** Capacity: 48,600 TPA.
- **d)** Mining Area: 4-55-50 hectare (Private land).
- **e)** EMP Cost: Capital Cost: Rs. 6.0 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 1.2 lakhs.

The case was listed in 40th Meeting of SEAC, during the presentation the project proponent has submitted the affidavit regarding withdrawal of his request for grant of Environmental Clearance. The committee after deliberating on the affidavit submitted by the project proponent has decided to de-list the case and advised the proponent to apply to the MoEF&CC, Govt. of India under Cat-A being case falling under the cluster and area exceeding the limits as per EIA Notification.

The Authority approved the recommendations of SEAC.


Brief outline of the project:

- **a)** Project type: Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri.
- **b)** Project Location: Khasra numbers 2912, 2912/1/1, 2912/1/2, 2914, 2914/1/1 and 2914/1/2 situated in Mohal/Mauza Indora, Kangra.
- **c)** Capacity: 1,28,405 TPA.
- **d)** Mining Area: 4-88-65 ha.
- **e)** EMP Cost: Capital Cost: Rs. 6.0 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 2.0 lakhs.

The case was listed in 40th Meeting of SEAC, after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts, the committee recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to prior fulfillment of certain conditions and along with project ‘Specific Conditions’ as at Annexure-A and ‘General Conditions’ to be stipulated as at Annexure-B of the Minutes. In compliance to the above conditions project proponent has submitted the desired documents.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the documents are complete in all respect. It has been recommended that the case may be considered by SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as recommended and imposed by the SEAC.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as imposed by the SEAC.

Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type: Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri.
b) Project Location: Khasra number 860/1 in Mauza Mohal Kuthehar of Tehsil Jawali, District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh.
c) Capacity: 49,713 TPA.
d) Mining Area: 4-95-04 hectare (Govt. Land).
e) EMP Cost: Capital Cost: Rs. 1.2 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.6 lakhs.

The case was listed in 40th Meeting of SEAC, after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts, the committee recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of certain conditions and along with project ‘Specific Conditions’ as at Annexure-A and ‘General Conditions’ to be stipulated as at Annexure-B of the Minutes. In compliance to the above conditions project proponent has submitted the desired documents.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the documents are complete in all respect. It has been recommended that the case may be considered by SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as recommended and imposed by the SEAC.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as imposed by the SEAC.

3.18 Mr. Vivek Kalia, M/s Vipasha Stone Crusher, S/O Sh. G.K. Kalia, Near Sub Post Office-Ram Nagar, Tehsil-Dharamshala, District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh.

Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type: Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri.
b) Project Location: Khasra number 747/1/2 in Mauza Ambal, Mohal Harian, Tehsil-Jawali, Kangra, Himachal Pradesh.
c) Capacity: 18,560 TPA.
d) Mining Area: 2.17.34 hectare (Govt. Land, River bed).
e) EMP Cost: Capital Cost: Rs. 3.5 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.9 lakhs.

The case was listed in 40th Meeting of SEAC, after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts, the committee recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of certain conditions and along with project ‘Specific Conditions’ as at Annexure-A and ‘General Conditions’ to be stipulated as at Annexure-B of the Minutes. In compliance to the above conditions project proponent has submitted the desired documents.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the documents are complete in all respect. It has been recommended that the case may be considered by SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as recommended and imposed by the SEAC.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as imposed by the SEAC.

Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type
Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri.

b) Project Location
Khasra number 1 falling in Mohal Gadyara, Mauza Jalari, Tehsil-Nadaun, District-Hamirpur, Himachal Pradesh.

c) Capacity
30,555 TPA.

d) Mining Area
3.47.58 hectare (Pvt. Land).

e) EMP Cost
Capital Cost: Rs. 1.10 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.45 lakhs/annum.

The case was listed in 40th Meeting of SEAC, after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts, the committee recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of certain conditions and along with project ‘Specific Conditions’ as at Annexure-A and ‘General Conditions’ to be stipulated as at Annexure-B of the Minutes. In compliance to the above conditions project proponent has submitted the desired documents.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the documents are complete in all respect. It has been recommended that the case may be considered by SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as recommended and imposed by the SEAC.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as imposed by the SEAC.

3.20 Sh. Chamandeep Kumar, Baddi University of Engineering Sciences and Technologies (BUEST), Village-Makhnumajra, Tehsil-Baddi, Solan, H.P.

Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type
Baddi University of Emerging Sciences and Technologies (BUEST).

b) Project Location
Khasra number 709, 710, 724, 739, 740, 743, 749, 746, 1048, 748, 1046/748, 752, 753, 759 and 1053/775, 711 to 723, 725 to 735, 737, 738, 741, 742, 744, 745, 747, 750, 751, 754 to 758, 776, 778, 779, 781, 783, 784, 1047/748, 1049/775, 1050/775, 1099/736, 1051/775, 1052/775, 1099/736, 1051/775, 1052/775, 1100/736 & 1101/736.

c) Plot Area
1,08,900 m²

d) Built up area
59,404.95 m²

e) Proposed cost
76 Crores

f) EMP Cost
Capital Cost: 73.5 lakhs; Recurring cost: 3.5 lakhs

The project proponent appeared before the committee and stated that they had applied as per earlier provisions of EIA Notification. But since now as per office memorandum issued by MoEF&CC, Gol dated 9th June, 2015, the project does not fall in purview of the provisions of said notification.

The case was listed in 40th Meeting of SEAC, the committee after deliberating on the OM issued by the MoEF&CC, Gol decided to delist the case.

The Authority approved the recommendations of SEAC.

3.21 Kulwant Singh, Managing Director, Janta Land Promoters Ltd., SCO 39-42, Sector-82, SAS Nagar, Mohali, Punjab.

Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type
Janta Land Promoters.

b) Project Location
Khasra number 436/110, 437/110, 438/111, 439/111, 112/1 and
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The proposal was taken up by the SEAC in its 36th meeting. Project proponent appeared before the Committee and submitted that the area involved in the project is less than 20000 sq. mtrs. and therefore the project does not fall in purview of the EIA notification, 2006.

The case was listed in 40th Meeting of SEAC, the SEAC after deliberating and considering the request of project proponent on amendment in EIA notification has decided to delist the case.

The Authority approved the recommendations of SEAC.

3.22 Sh. Tarsem Lal Soni, S/o Sh. Sukhdyal Soni, Surajkund Road, Kangra, District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh.

Brief outline of the project:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Project type</td>
<td>Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone &amp; Bajri.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Project Location</td>
<td>Khasra number 1890/1 at Mauza Mohal Mundla, Tehsil &amp; District-Kangra, H.P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Capacity</td>
<td>16,200 TPA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Mining Area</td>
<td>4-86-20 ha (Govt. land, river bed).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) EMP Cost</td>
<td>Capital cost as Rs. 5.0 lakhs and Recurring cost as Rs. 2.0 lakhs/annum.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The proposal was listed in 39th meeting of SEAC where during the appraisal, committee decided to defer the proposal with the following observations. The project shall be reappraised after compliance to certain observations. In compliance to above observations the proponent has submitted the desired documents.

The case was listed in 40th Meeting of SEAC, after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts, the committee recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to prior fulfillment of certain conditions and along with project 'Specific Conditions' as at Annexure-A and 'General Conditions' to be stipulated as at Annexure-B of the Minutes.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the project proponent has submitted compliance to the above mentioned conditions imposed by SEAC as per requirement but the scrutiny of documents revels that the letter of mining lease valid up to 13-03-2015 and thereby the validity of said LOI has been expired before the PP had applied to the SEIAA. Therefore, in view of the validity of mining lease order the case may be reexamined by the SEAC for further necessary action.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the authority approved to return the case to SEAC for re-examination.

3.23 Partners Sh. Vishal Sharma, legal hereina of Late Sh. Seh Dev Sharma, Rajinder Kumar, Mining Lease Area & H.O. Village & Post Bharoli Kalan of Tehsil- Jhanduta, District-Bilaspur, Himachal Pradesh.

Brief outline of the project:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Project type</td>
<td>Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone &amp; Bajri.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Project Location</td>
<td>Khasra number 357/1 (2.01 Bighas) &amp; 214 (6.03 Bighas), Private Land, river bed in Mauza and Mohal-Bharoli Kalan of Tehsil-Jhanduta, District-Bilaspur, Himachal Pradesh.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Capacity</td>
<td>6,300 TPA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Mining Area</td>
<td>8-04-00 Bighas (Pvt. Land) (river bed).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
e) EMP Cost

Capital Cost: Rs. 4.0 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 1.0 lakhs & Rs. 1.5 lakhs proposed under CSR activity.

The case was listed in 40th Meeting of SEAC. The committee after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to prior fulfillment of certain conditions and along with project ‘Specific Conditions’ as at Annexure-A and ‘General Conditions’ to be stipulated as at Annexure-B of the Minutes. In compliance to the conditions imposed the PP has submitted the desired documents.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the documents are complete in all respect. It has been recommended that the case may be considered by SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as recommended and imposed by the SEAC.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as imposed by the SEAC.


Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type: Extraction of Stone.
b) Project Location: Khasra number 4&5 at Mauza Mohal Bughar Kaneta, Solan, H.P.
c) Capacity: 20,000 TPA.
d) Mining Area: 26-15-00 Bighas (3.0067 ha).
e) EMP Cost: Capital cost as Rs. 2.0 lakhs and Recurring cost as Rs. 1.2 lakhs.

The proposal was listed in 39th meeting of SEAC where during the appraisal, committee decided to defer the proposal with the certain observations. In compliance to observations made by SEAC the proponent has submitted the desired documents.

The case was listed in 40th Meeting of SEAC, after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts, the committee recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to prior fulfillment of certain conditions and along with project ‘Specific Conditions’ as at Annexure-A and ‘General Conditions’ to be stipulated as at Annexure-B of the Minutes. In compliance to the above conditions project proponent has submitted the desired documents.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the additional documents examined/ scrutinized and found that the Letter of Intent issued on 1/4/2013 for the period of one year. It has been recommended that in view of the validity of letter of intent the case may be relisted before SEAC for further necessary action.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the authority approved to return the case to SEAC for re-examination.


Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type: Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri.
b) Project Location: Khasra Number 192 at village/Mauza Banoh, Arki, Solan, Himachal Pradesh.

c) Capacity: 34,814 TPA (33000 TPA Stone).

d) Mining Area: 18.18-00 bigha/ 1.4222 ha (Private Land).

e) EMP Cost: Capital cost as Rs. 3.0 lakhs and Recurring cost as Rs. 5.5 lakhs.

The proposal was listed in 39th meeting of SEAC where during the appraisal, committee decided to defer the proposal with the certain observations. In compliance to observations made by the SEAC project proponent has submitted the desired documents.

The case was listed in 40th Meeting of SEAC, after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts, the committee recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of project ‘Specific Conditions’ as at Annexure-A and ‘General Conditions’ to be stipulated as at Annexure-B of the minutes.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as imposed by the SEAC.


Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type: Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri.

b) Project Location: Khasra number 410 falling in Mauza Alampur Mohal Jagroop Nagar, Tehsil-Jaisinghpur, District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh.

c) Capacity: 50,000 TPA.

d) Mining Area: 04-81-86 hectare (Govt. land).

e) EMP Cost: Capital Cost: Rs. 4.5 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.41 lakhs/anuum.

The case was listed in 40th Meeting of SEAC, after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts, the committee recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to prior fulfillment of certain conditions and along with project ‘Specific Conditions’ as at Annexure-A and ‘General Conditions’ to be stipulated as at Annexure-B of the Minutes. In compliance to the above PP has submitted the document.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the documents are complete in all respect. It has been recommended that the case may be considered by SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as recommended and imposed by the SEAC.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as imposed by the SEAC.


Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type: Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri.

b) Project Location: Khasra number 1030, 1031, 1032, 1033, 1040 & 1042 falling in
c) Capacity 77,625 TPA.
d) Mining Area 4-91-05 ha.
e) EMP Cost Capital Cost: Rs. 4.5 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 2.0 lakhs.

The case was listed in 40th Meeting of SEAC, after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts, the committee recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of certain conditions and along with project ‘Specific Conditions’ as at Annexure-A and ‘General Conditions’ to be stipulated as at Annexure-B of the Minutes. In compliance to the above conditions project proponent has submitted the documents.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the documents are complete in all respect. It has been recommended that the case may be considered by SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as recommended and imposed by the SEAC.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as imposed by the SEAC.


Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type Extraction of Stone.
b) Project Location Khasra number 196/1 falling in Mauza & Mohal Doduwal in Tehsil-Nalagarh, District-Solan, Himachal Pradesh.
c) Capacity 32,000 TPA.
d) Mining Area 12.00 Bighas, 0.903 hectare (Private land, hill slope).
e) EMP Cost Capital Cost: Rs. 3.72 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 3.32 lakhs.

The case was listed in 40th Meeting of SEAC. The committee after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to prior fulfillment of certain conditions and along with project ‘Specific Conditions’ as at Annexure-A and ‘General Conditions’ to be stipulated as at Annexure-B of the Minutes. In compliance to the above project proponent has submitted the desired documents.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the documents are complete in all respect. It has been recommended that the case may be considered by SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as recommended and imposed by the SEAC.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as imposed by the SEAC.


Brief outline of the project:

a) Project type Extraction of Stone.
b) Project Location Khasra numbers 3539/1656, 1658 & 3544/1659 measuring 45-
c) Capacity
73,500 MTA, total five year capacity is 1,97,400 MT.
d) Mining Area
e) EMP Cost
Capital Cost: Rs. 5.34 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 6.79 lakhs.

The case was listed in 40th Meeting of SEAC. The committee after deliberating on the information submitted by the project proponent and considering the facts placed before the committee recommended case application for consideration of project for grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of certain conditions and along with project ‘Specific Conditions’ as at Annexure-A and ‘General Conditions’ to be stipulated as at Annexure-B of the Minutes. In compliance to the above conditions project proponent has submitted the desired documents.

As per the decision of SEIAA in its 22nd Meeting, Member Secretary (SEIAA) and Secretary (SEAC) has re-examined the additional documents and observed that the documents are complete in all respect. It has been recommended that the case may be considered by SEIAA for grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as recommended and imposed by the SEAC.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC, Committee of MS (SEIAA) & Secy. (SEAC), the authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit with Specific and General Conditions as imposed by the SEAC.

The Meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.

(Sh. A.K. Lal)  
Member Secretary  
HPSEIAA

(Prof. Rajnish Shrivastava)  
Member  
HPSEIAA

(Sh. Prem Kumar)  
Chairperson  
HPSEIAA
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