State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority
Himachal Pradesh
Ministry of Environment Forest & Climate Change, Government of India,
at Department of Environment Science & Technology,
Paryavaran Bhawan, Near US Club, Shimla-1
Ph: 9177-2656559, 2659608, Fax: 2659609

No. HPSEIAA/2008/22/Vol-VII/30th Meeting/ Dated: 27/2/2017

PROCEEDINGS OF 30th MEETING OF SEIAA, HIMACHAL PRADESH, HELD UNDER
THE CHAIRPERSONSHIP OF SH. S.S. PARMAR, IAS (RETD.) IN THE CONFERENCE
HALL OF DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT, SCI. & TECH., PARYAVARAN BHAWAN,
SHIMLA-1 ON 27TH FEBRUARY 2017 AT 3:00 P.M.

At the outset, the Member Secretary (HPSEIAA) extended warm welcome to Sh. S.S. Parmar, IAS
(RETD.), Chairman, HPSEIAA. With the permission of the Chair, following agenda items were
discussed:

ITEM NO. 1:

Confirmation of the Minutes of the 29th Meeting of the SEIAA held on 28th November 2016.

1.1 Discussions on details of total Meeting of SEIAA & SEAC held till date.

It was decided by the Authority in its 29th meeting that a Sub-committee of the SEAC
Members along with officials from DEST will be constituted to monitor the conditions of
Environmental Clearance granted to the project proponent. To start with, the Sub-committee
comprising of Member Secretary (SEIAA) and representative of DEST will visit project sites
which have been granted EC in district Sirmour on 7th & 8th December, 2016 and submit its
report to place it before the HPSEIAA in the next meeting.

In compliance to the above decision it was informed that a team of officers alongwith
Member Secretary (SEIAA) visited the sites and the reports of the team was also shared
with the HPSEIAA, after deliberating on the reports, it was decided that henceforth
HPSEIAA secretariat shall convey the observations of the inspecting team to the project
proponents and in future the Member Secretary, HPSEIAA will take necessary action
immediately after the submission of such reports. These reports alongwith action taken
be placed before the SEIAA in the subsequent meetings.

1.2 Budget Provisions & Expenditure:

It was decided that the year wise details of total expenditure shall be furnished and placed
before the HPSEIAA in the next meeting.

In compliance to the above decision, the details of budget provision & expenditure of
HPSEIAA secretariat was placed before the HPSEIAA.

There were no additional comments on minutes of 29th Meeting of HPSEIAA, hence, the
minutes of the 29th Meeting of HPSEIAA were confirmed.

ITEM NO. 2:

List of Cases for Grant of Environmental Clearance as per recommendations of the
SEAC in 49th Meeting held on February 6-7, 2017

2.1 Sh. Rajinder Kumar, Village-Talodi, Post Office-Sundla, Tehsil-Salooni, District-
Chamba, Himachal Pradesh, Pin-176308, Mob: 94599 22623.

Brief outline of the project:

b) Project type Mining of Minerals (Extraction/collection of Sand & Bajri).
c) Project Location  Khasra number 863/419 & 831 falling in Mohal/Mauza-Talodi of Tehsil-Salooni, District-Chamba.
d) Capacity  1,84,149 TPA
e) Mining Area  163-15 Bighas (acquired by NHPC), 13.2521 ha.
f) EMP Cost  Capital Cost: Rs. 3.2 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 2.5 lakhs
g) CSR Cost  Capital Cost: Rs. 1.5 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.5 lakhs

The case was appraised in 47th, 48th & 49th meetings of the SEAC. The SEAC in its 49th meeting recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-1 of minutes of the meeting.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:

- The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.


Brief outline of the project:
b) Project type  Mining of Minerals (Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone & Bajri).
c) Project Location  Khasra number 1324 falling in Mauza Dhabiri, Mauza Datwal, Tehsil-Barsar, District-Hamirpur, Himachal Pradesh.
d) Capacity  1,06,875 MTA.
e) Mining Area  19-49-69 hectare (507-16 kanals), Govt. land  
f) EMP Cost  Capital Cost: 8.5 lakhs; Recurring cost: 7.2 lakhs  
CSR cost  Cost: 1.25 lakhs

The case was appraised in 28th meeting of SEAC where it was observed that this is an admitted case of violation of EIA Notification, 2006 and need to be dealt as per Office Memorandum of MoEF&CC dated 12.12.2012. H.P. State Pollution Control Board has taken action against the unit and project proponent has submitted the fresh report for grant of Environment Clearance.

The case was again appraised by the SEAC in 44th meeting, where it was observed that there are another mines in 500 meter distance and the total area is more than 25 hectares, therefore, the case falls under category 'B1' and SEAC approved that the EIA report may be prepared as per the standard TORs. However, the project proponent shall ensure that while undertaking EIA the effect of other mining area falling within 500 meters distance shall also be taken into account and a report w.r.t. cumulative impact shall also be incorporated in the EIA report and the EMP shall be prepared accordingly. In compliance to the recommendations of the SEAC project proponent submitted a copy of Environmental Public Hearing from H.P. State Pollution Control Board on dated 03.11.2016 and project proponent has submitted the draft EIA report.

The case was again appraised by the SEAC in its 49th meeting and recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-1 in the minutes of 49th Meeting.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:
The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.


Brief outline of the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b) Project type</td>
<td>Mining of Minerals (Extraction of Boulder, Sand &amp; Bajri).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Project Location</td>
<td>Khasra No. 4138, 4139, 4140, 4141 &amp; 4142 falling in Tika Daragan Patti-Darogan Bhurana, Mauza Bajuri, Tehsil-Bamsan, District-Hamirpur, H.P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Capacity</td>
<td>55,125 metric tons per year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Mining Area</td>
<td>5-22-55 ha (Govt. land, reserve pool)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) EMP Cost</td>
<td>Capital Cost: Rs. 1.00 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 1.38 lakhs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) CSR Cost</td>
<td>Capital Cost: Rs. 0.50 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.12 lakhs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The case was appraised in 49th meeting of the SEAC. The SEAC recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I of minutes of the 49th meeting.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:

- The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.


Brief outline of the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b) Project type</td>
<td>Mining of Minerals (Extraction/collection of Sand, Stone &amp; Bajri).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Project Location</td>
<td>Khasra number 4 &amp; 157 falling in Mauza-Tipri, Mauza-Lodhwan, Tehsil-Nurpur, District-Kangra, Himachal Pradesh.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Capacity</td>
<td>1,58,960 TPA (Revised).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Mining Area</td>
<td>7-85-06 ha, Private land, river bed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) EMP Cost</td>
<td>Capital Cost: Rs. 0.70 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs.2.35 lakhs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) CSR Cost</td>
<td>Capital Cost: Rs. 1.25 lakhs; Recurring cost: Rs. 0.12 lakhs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The case was appraised in 48th & 49th meeting of the SEAC. The SEAC in its 49th meeting recommended the case application for consideration of grant of environmental clearance by the SEIAA, subject to fulfillment of conditions annexed at Annexure-I of minutes of the 49th meeting.

After deliberating on the recommendations of SEAC the Authority approved the grant of Environment Clearance in favour of the Unit stipulating the conditions recommended by the SEAC and with following additional condition that:

- The proponent shall resubmit the detailed EMP & CSR with activity wise time frame and costs allocated within one month of the issuance of EC letter to project proponent. The EMP & CSR implementation shall be linked in accordance with the obtaining of Consent to Operate issued by SPCB i.e. actual execution of the project.
ITEM NO. 3:

Transfer of cases to MoEF&CC, GoI.

3.1 Mr. Dewashish, Vice President, M/s Silica Infotech Pvt. Ltd., 142, (Ground floor), Sant Nagar, East of Kailash, New Delhi-110065.

Brief outline of the project:

a) **Online Proposal No.**

b) **Project type**
   Isolated Storage of Liquefied Petroleum Gas (LPG) in pressure vessels in the Bottling plant. [6 (b), isolated storage and handling of hazardous chemicals].

c) **Project Location**
   Khasra no. 271, 272, 273, 276, 294 & 297 falling in village-Baddi (Shitalpur), Tehsil-Baddi, District-Solan, H.P.

d) **Capacity**
   20,000 MT/annum

e) **Shape of the project**
   Block (Polygon)

f) **Project cost**
   228.35 lacs

The project proponents made the presentation before SEAC in 49th meeting. The SEAC observed that the proposed activity falls under category 6(b) of schedule with applicability of General Conditions viz. the interstate boundary is within the radius of 5 kms. from the project location. therefore, as per the provisions, the case is required to be dealt as Category-A project and for the purpose of grant of Environmental Clearance applicant needs to apply to the MoEF&CC, GoI, as per procedure.

The Authority approved to transfer the case to MoEF&CC, GoI as per the recommendations of the SEAC and provisions of EIA Notification, 2006 and its subsequent amendments.

ANY OTHER ITEMS:

- The Chairman also emphasized on need of assessing the carrying capacity of cluster mining areas for further permissions of such activities. It was recommended that the concerned department may be asked to give necessary information on carrying capacity of such areas as to whether the mining activity should be encouraged or not.

- The SEIAA also decided to seek information from the DEIAAs w.r.t. status of applications and disposal of such applications and status of adoption of online mechanism for processing the applications.

- The SEIAA also observed that the time period of overall appraisal of cases may be stick to the prescribed time and the case application be listed and appraised once it is complete in all respect, the SEAC may also be informed to put observation in one go rather than putting observation time and again after listing the case for appraisal to avoid delay in grant/rejection of Environment Clearance.

The Meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.

---

(S.S. Parmar)
Chairperson
HPSEIAA

(Archna Sharma)
Member Secretary
HPSEIAA

Dated 28/2/2017, Shimla-1.